

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

## ANNEX A

<b>Contents</b>		<b>Page</b>
Annex A	<i>United States — Measures Affecting Trade in Large Civil Aircraft</i> , Request for Consultations by the European Communities, Addendum WT/DS353/1-WT/DS317/1/Add.1-G/L/698/Add.1- G/SCM/D63/1/Add.1	A-2

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

## ANNEX A\*

### UNITED STATES — MEASURES AFFECTING TRADE IN LARGE CIVIL AIRCRAFT

#### Request for Consultations by the European Communities

#### Addendum

The following communication, dated 27 June 2005, from the delegation of the European Communities to the delegation of the United States and to the Chairman of the Dispute Settlement Body, is circulated in accordance with Article 4.4 of the DSU.

---

The European Communities refers to the United States' statement at the meeting of the Dispute Settlement Body ("DSB") on 13 June concerning the European Communities' request for the establishment of a Panel in the above case, where you asserted that 13 of the 28 subsidy programs referenced in the panel request were not listed in the consultation request of 6 October 2004 (circulated as document WT/DS/317/1 on 12 October 2004) and cannot be the subject of panel proceedings.

The European Communities cannot agree with this contention but is prepared to pursue consultations on the issues raised in these proceedings in order to clarify and, if possible, resolve them, it being understood that this is without prejudice to the European Communities' legal position and rights.

Accordingly, the European Communities hereby requests consultations with the United States pursuant to Articles 4.1, 7.1 and 30 of the *Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures* ("SCM Agreement"), Article XXIII:1 of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 ("GATT 1994") and Article 4 of the Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes ("DSU"). These consultations will be a continuation of those held on 5 November 2004 pursuant to the request for consultations of 6 October 2004.

The measures that are the subject of this request are prohibited and actionable subsidies provided to US producers of large civil aircraft<sup>1</sup> ("US LCA industry"), and in particular the Boeing Company and the McDonnell Douglas Corporation, prior to its merger with Boeing, including related legislation, regulations, statutory instruments and amendments thereto. The measures currently include the following:

---

\* This communication was originally circulated on 1 July 2005 as WT/DS317/1/Add.1-G/L/698/Add.1-G/SCM/D63/1/Add. 1. On 4 December 2006, a corrigendum was issued to add "second complaint" at the end of the title of the document and to add "WT/DS353/1" to the document number.

<sup>1</sup> In accordance with the *1992 Agreement between the European Communities and the Government of the United States of America concerning the application of the GATT Agreement on Trade in Civil Aircraft on trade in large civil aircraft*, large civil aircraft ("LCA") includes all aircraft as defined in Article 1 of the GATT Agreement on Trade in Civil Aircraft, except engines as defined in Article 1.1(b) thereof, that are designed for passenger or cargo transportation and have 100 or more passenger seats or its equivalent in cargo configuration. Boeing produces or markets the following families of LCA: 717, 737, 747, 757, 767, 777, and 787.

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

## **1. STATE AND LOCAL SUBSIDIES**

US States and local authorities, where production and headquarter facilities of the US LCA industry are located, transfer in various ways economic resources to the US LCA industry. Such States and local authorities include, but are not limited to, those in the States of Washington, Kansas and Illinois.

These economic resources transferred to the US LCA industry include numerous financial incentives and other advantages effectuated, for example, through tax breaks, bond financing, fee waivers, lease arrangements, corporate headquarters relocation assistance, research funding, infrastructure measures and other benefits.

## **2. NASA SUBSIDIES**

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration ("NASA") transfers economic resources to the US LCA industry, *inter alia*, by:

- (i) allowing the US LCA industry to participate in research programmes, making payments to the US LCA industry under those programmes, or enabling the US LCA industry to exploit the results thereof by means including but not limited to the foregoing or waiving of valuable patent rights, the granting of limited exclusive rights data ("LERD"), or otherwise exclusive or early access to data, trade secrets and other knowledge resulting from government funded research. The following are examples of such NASA programmes:
  - High Speed Research Program;
  - Advanced Subsonic Technology Program;
  - Aviation Safety Program/Aviation Safety & Security Program/Aviation Security & Safety Program;
  - Quiet Aircraft Technology Program;
  - High Performance Computing and Communications Program;
  - Research & Technology Base Program;
  - Advanced Composites Technology Program;
  - Vehicle Systems Program;
  - Materials and Structures Systems Technology Program;
  - Aircraft Energy Efficiency Program, including Composite Primary Aircraft Structures, Transport Aircraft Systems Technology, and Advanced Composite Structures Technology Programs;
- (ii) NASA Personnel and Institutional Support Costs Dedicated to US LCA Industry R&D;
- (iii) NASA Independent Research & Development, and Bid & Proposal Reimbursements;
- (iv) Use by the US LCA industry of research, test and evaluation facilities owned by the US Government, including NASA wind tunnels, in particular the Langley research centre;
- (v) NASA procurement contracts.

## **3. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUBSIDIES**

The Department of Defense ("DOD") transfers economic resources to the US LCA industry, *inter alia*, by:

- (i) allowing the US LCA industry to participate in DOD-funded research, making payments to the US LCA industry for such research, or enabling the US LCA industry to exploit the

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

results thereof by means including but not limited to the foregoing or waiving of valuable patent rights, and the granting of exclusive or early access to data, trade secrets and other knowledge resulting from government funded research, through, for example:

- Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation Programs;
  - Independent Research & Development, and Bid & Proposal Reimbursements;
- (ii) use by the US LCA industry of test and evaluation facilities owned by the US Government, including the Major Range Test Facility Bases;
- (iii) procurement contracts including those for the purchase of goods from the US LCA industry for more than adequate remuneration, including in particular but not limited to the US Air Force contract with the Boeing corporation for the purchase of certain spare parts for its Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) aircraft, the Boeing KC-767A Tanker Program (lease contract), the National Polar-orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System-Conical Microwave Imager Sensor, Boeing, the C-22 Replacement Program (C-40), Boeing, the KC-135 Programmed Depot Maintenance, Boeing/Pemco, the C-40 Lease and Purchase Program, Boeing, the C-130 avionics modernisation upgrade program, the C-17 H22 contract (Boeing BC-17X) and the US Navy contract with Boeing for the production and maintenance of 108 civil B-737 and their conversion into long-range submarine hunter Multi-Mission Aircraft.

The EC is also concerned about pending legislation, in particular draft amendment (Section 817 – Prohibition on Procurement from Beneficiaries of Foreign Subsidies) to the FY06 Defense Authorisation bill (HR 1815) (Hunter Amendment).

#### **4. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SUBSIDIES**

The Department of Commerce ("DOC") transfers economic resources to the US LCA industry, *inter alia*, by allowing the US LCA industry to participate in the National Institute of Standards & Technology ("NIST") Advanced Technology Program, making payments to the US LCA industry under this research programme, or enabling the US LCA industry to exploit the results thereof by means including but not limited to the foregoing or waiving of valuable patent rights, the granting of exclusive or early access to data, trade secrets and other knowledge resulting from government funded research.

#### **5. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR SUBSIDIES**

The US Department of Labor transfers economic resources to the US LCA industry through, *inter alia*, the Aerospace Industry Initiative, an element of the president's High Growth Training Initiative, by granting to Edmonds Community College in the State of Washington funds for the training of aerospace industry workers.

#### **6. FEDERAL TAX SUBSIDIES**

The US Government transfers economic resources to the US LCA industry through the federal tax system, and in particular the following tax measures:

Sections 921-927 of the Internal Revenue Code (prior to repeal) and related measures establishing special tax treatment for "Foreign Sales Corporations" ("FSCs"), including individual applications; the FSC Repeal and Extraterritorial Income Exclusion Act of 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-519, including individual applications; and the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, Pub. L. No. 108-357 including individual applications.

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

The European Communities considers that these measures are inconsistent with the obligations of the United States under the following provisions:

- (1) Articles 3.1 (a) and (b) and 3.2 of the *SCM Agreement*;
- (2) Article 5 (a) and (c) of the *SCM Agreement*;
- (3) Article 6.3 (a), (b), and (c) of the *SCM Agreement*;
- (4) Article III:4 of the GATT 1994.

The European Communities is of the view that the measures referred to above are inconsistent with these provisions as such and as applied.

These measures are subsidies because in each instance there is a financial contribution by the US, State or local government and a benefit is thereby conferred within the meaning of Article 1.1(a) and (b) of the *SCM Agreement*. Each of them is specific to the US LCA industry within the meaning of Article 2 of the *SCM Agreement*.

The subsidies listed above are *de jure* or *de facto* export contingent, and contingent on the use of domestic over imported goods. The use of these measures causes adverse effects, *in particular*, serious prejudice or a threat of serious prejudice to the interests of the European Communities and material injury or threat of material injury to the European Community LCA industry:

- The effect of the measures is significant price undercutting by subsidized products of the US LCA industry as compared with the price of the European Community LCA products, or a threat thereof in violation of Articles 5(c) and 6.3(c) of the *SCM Agreement*;
- The effect of the measures is significant price depression and price suppression in the markets for LCA products or a threat thereof in violation of Articles 5(c) and 6.3(c) of the *SCM Agreement*;
- The effect of the measures is significant lost sales in the markets for LCA products or a threat thereof in violation of Articles 5(c) and 6.3(c) of the *SCM Agreement*;
- The effect of the measures is to displace or impede exports of European Community LCA products in the US market or a threat thereof in violation of Articles 5(c) and 6.3(a) of the *SCM Agreement*;
- The effect of the measures is to displace or impede exports of European Community LCA products in third country markets or a threat thereof in violation of Articles 5(c) and 6.3(b) of the *SCM Agreement*;
- The effect of the measures is material injury to the European Community LCA industry or a threat thereof in violation of Article 5(a) of the *SCM Agreement*.
- The Hunter Amendment would also be incompatible, *inter alia*, with Article 23 of the DSU and Article 32 of the *SCM Agreement*.

Articles 4.2 and 7.2 of the *SCM Agreement* together require that requests for consultations include a statement of available evidence with regard to: (1) the existence and nature of the subsidies in question, and (2) the adverse effects to the interests of the European Communities. The available

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

evidence is listed in the Annex to this letter. It combines the available evidence already contained in the letter of 6 October 2004 and additional evidence on the existence and nature of the subsidies that has become available since then.

The European Communities reserves the right to request the United States to produce further information and documents regarding the measures in question and their effect on the interests of the European Communities. The European Communities also reserves the right to address additional measures and claims under other WTO provisions.

My authorities look forward to receiving in due course a reply from the United States to this request. The European Communities is ready to consider with the United States mutually convenient dates to hold consultations in Geneva.

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

**ANNEX****STATEMENT OF AVAILABLE EVIDENCE**

The evidence set out below is evidence available to the European Communities at this time regarding the existence and nature of the subsidies subject to this dispute, and the adverse effects caused by them to the interests of the European Communities. It is further supported by business confidential internal Airbus documents that are summarized below. The European Communities reserves the right to supplement or alter this list in the future, as required.

**(a) Existence and Nature of the Subsidization**

The evidence currently available to the European Communities includes the following documents. The European Communities' request for consultations describes in more detail the nature of these subsidies.

H.B. 2294, 58th Leg., 2d Spec. Sess. (Wash. 2003)

Final Bill Report, H.B. 2294

Memorandum of Agreement for Project Olympus between the Boeing Company and the State of Washington, dated as of 19 December 2003, *available at* [http://www.effwa.org/pdfs/boeing\\_olympus.pdf](http://www.effwa.org/pdfs/boeing_olympus.pdf)

Project Olympus Master Site Development and Location Agreement between the Boeing Company and the State of Washington, dated as of 19 December 2003, *available at* [http://www.effwa.org/pdfs/boeing\\_olympus.pdf](http://www.effwa.org/pdfs/boeing_olympus.pdf)

First Amendment to Project Olympus Master Site Development and Location Agreement between the Boeing Company and the State of Washington, dated as of 19 December 2003, *available at* [http://www.effwa.org/pdfs/boeing\\_amended.pdf](http://www.effwa.org/pdfs/boeing_amended.pdf)

News Release, Office of Governor Gary Locke, *Gov. Gary Locke Unveils Tax Incentives Package to Help Land Boeing 7E7, Outlines Project's Significant Economic Impact on State*, 9 June 2003, *available at* <http://www.governor.wa.gov/press/press-view.asp?pressRelease=1372&newsType=1>

Bryan Corliss, *7E7 Perks Go to Boeing*, HeraldNet, 20 December 2003, *available at* <http://www.heraldnet.com/stories/03/12/20/17926878.cfm>

Action Washington, Boeing 7E7 Site Agreement: Tax Adjustment Package, 6 December 2004, *available at* <http://dir.cted.wa.gov/DesktopModules/Documents/DocumentsView.aspx?tabID=0&alias=ActionWA&lang=en&ItemID=146&MIId=1116&wversion=Staging>

News Release, Office of Governor Gary Locke, *Gov. Locke, Business, Labor and Government Leaders Celebrate Delivery of State's 7E7 Proposal at 'Action Washington' Rally*, 20 June 2003, *available at* <http://www.governor.wa.gov/press/press-view.asp?pressRelease=1379&newsType=1>

News Release, Office of Governor Gary Locke, *Gov. Gary Locke Credits States Aggressive Proposal, Unified Effort in Winning 7E7 Bid*, 16 December 2003, *available at* <http://www.governor.wa.gov/press/press-view.asp?pressRelease=1491&newsType=1>

David Ammons, *Boeing 7E7 Deal Includes Perks*, The Olympian, 22 January 2004, *available at* [http://www.theolympian.com/home/news/20040122/business/19223\\_Printer.shtml](http://www.theolympian.com/home/news/20040122/business/19223_Printer.shtml)

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

*Our View: Sweet Boeing Deal Leaves a Sour Taste*, King County Journal, 22 January 2004, available at <http://www.kingcountyjournal.com/sited/story/html/154305>

Press Release, Evergreen Freedom Foundation, *Details of Boeing Agreement Revealed*, 21 January 2004, available at [http://www.effwa.org/press\\_releases/2004\\_01\\_21a.php](http://www.effwa.org/press_releases/2004_01_21a.php)

Open Letter to Washington Legislators from Bob Williams, President of EFF, 15 October 2004, available at [http://www.effwa.org/commentaries/2004\\_10\\_15.php](http://www.effwa.org/commentaries/2004_10_15.php)

John Gillie, *A Smooth Landing for the 7E7*, The News Tribune, 20 December 2004, available at <http://www.thenewstribune.com/business/aerospace/story/4356715p-4127928c.html>

Action Washington, available at [http://dir.cted.wa.gov/portal/alias\\_\\_ActionWA/lang\\_\\_en/tabID\\_\\_63/DesktopDefault.aspx](http://dir.cted.wa.gov/portal/alias__ActionWA/lang__en/tabID__63/DesktopDefault.aspx)

State of Washington, House and Senate Floor Debates, HB 2294, 10-11 June 2003

Executive Message Video, 20 June 2003, available at <http://dir.cted.wa.gov/DesktopModules/Documents/DocumentsView.aspx?tabID=0&alias=ActionWA&lang=en&ItemID=177&MIId=115&wversion=Staging>

Washington State and the Boeing Company: Working Together for the Boeing 7E7 Dreamliner, Continuing Support and Collaborative Actions, September Presentation, Greenville, SC (September 2003)

Project Management Services Contract Between State of Washington Employment Security Department and Accenture, LLP, ESD Contract No. 05-415-PS, 5 May 2005, and related exhibits

Boeing's 747 Large Cargo Freighter Development on Plan, 22 February 2005, available at [http://www.boeing.com/news/releases/2005/q1/nr\\_050222g.html](http://www.boeing.com/news/releases/2005/q1/nr_050222g.html)

Snohomish County Airport, Aircraft Rate Schedule, effective 1 April 2002

Aircraft Models and Weights for Reporting All-Cargo Data to FAA, CY 2003 FAA ACAIS, February 2005, available at <http://www.faa.gov/arp/planning/stats/2005/Cy04CargoAircraftEnc2.pdf>

Joint Use Agreement between Snohomish County and the Boeing Company with regard to Boeing's use of the Snohomish County Airport (Paine Field), dated 17 June 1966, and all subsequent amendments and letter agreements in relation thereto, including those dated 14 July 1969, 25 August 1999, 7 December 2000, 17 December 2002, and any amendments pursuant to the Project Olympus Master Site Agreement

Port of Everett, Rail/Barge Transfer Facility, available at <http://www.portofeverett.com/boeingrailbargesh.html>

*Port Commission authorizes staff to go out to bid on Rail-Barge Facility*, Port of Everett Press Release, 26 May 2005, available at [http://www.portofeverett.com/press/2005\\_05\\_26BidRailBargesh.html](http://www.portofeverett.com/press/2005_05_26BidRailBargesh.html)

City of Everett Ordinance 2759-04 (2004), amending Chapter 3.24 of the Everett Municipal Code

Boeing Major Production Facilities, Everett, Washington, available at <http://www.boeing.com/commercial/facilities/>



BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

Spreadsheets of top Everett manufacturing companies as compiled by the City of Everett Mayor's Office

Economic Revitalization and Reinvestment Act, S.B. 281, 2003 Sess., Reg. Sess., § 1(e) (Kan. 2003)

Supplemental Note on S.B. 281, *available at*  
<http://www.kslegislature.org/supplemental/2004/SN0281.pdf>

Richard Williamson, *Kansas Lands Piece of Jet, but Boeing May not Use the Bonds*, The Bond Buyer, 24 November 2003

Caroline Daniel, *Boeing Eyes Highest Handout in Bid to Soar Above Europe*, The Financial Times, 16 June 2003

Steve Painter, *Boeing Wichita Lands State Bonds*, The Wichita Eagle, 22 May 2003

Jean Hays, *Tweaks to Boeing Bill Pass Senate*, The Wichita Eagle, 7 May 2003

Chris Grenz, *Boeing Banking on State*, The Topeka Capital-Journal, 20 April 2003

Kansas Department of Commerce, Legislative Session Track for S.B. 281, *available at*  
[http://kdoch.state.ks.us:82/NewsApp/news\\_legislative\\_updates\\_bill\\_display.jsp?id=1049378817480](http://kdoch.state.ks.us:82/NewsApp/news_legislative_updates_bill_display.jsp?id=1049378817480)

Steve Painter and Molly McMillin, *State Sees Bonds as Boeing's Best Shot*, The Wichita Eagle, 2 April 2003

Kansas Development Finance Authority, Financial Statements Years Ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, and Independent Auditors' Report, *available at*  
<http://www.kdfa.org/admin/UPLOADS/FinalAuditReport-FY2003.pdf>

*Now You Know: Who Received Incentives?* The Wichita Eagle, 11 July 2004

City of Wichita, *IRB Overview, "Industrial Revenue Bond Issuance in the State of Kansas," available at* <http://www.wichita.gov/Business/EconomicDevelopment/IRB/IRBOverview.htm>

Minutes of Meetings of the Wichita City Council, 1995-2005, *available at*  
<http://www.wichitagov.org/Government/MinutesAndAgendas/CityCouncil/>

Lillian Zier Martell, The Wichita Eagle, 10 November 1999

David Dinell, *City approves Boeing industrial revenue bonds*, 7 November 2002, *available at*  
<http://wichita.bizjournals.com/wichita/stories/2002/11/04/daily44.html>

Karen Pierog, *Wichita Council OKs Tax Break for Boeing*, Reuters News Service, 10 November 1999

*Council approves Boeing bonds*, Wichita Business Journal, 14 November 2000, *available at*  
<http://wichita.bizjournals.com/wichita/stories/2000/11/13/daily21.html>

City of Wichita Industrial Revenue Bond Policy, Resolution No. R-98-151, *available at*  
[http://www.wichita.gov/NR/rdonlyres/5C4F7504-A681-47EC-8369-F1AD7C400FAE/0/Industrial\\_Revenue\\_Bond\\_Policy\\_06d.pdf](http://www.wichita.gov/NR/rdonlyres/5C4F7504-A681-47EC-8369-F1AD7C400FAE/0/Industrial_Revenue_Bond_Policy_06d.pdf)

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

Wichita City Council Ordinance Nos. 46-401 (2004), 45-914 (2003), 45-495 (2002), 45-133 (2001), 44-811 (2000), 44-428 (1999), 44-102 (1998), 43-642 (1997), 43-325 (1996), 42-949 (1995), 42-553 (1994), 42-228 (1993), 41-916 (1992), and 41-592 (1991)

Bond transcripts for industrial revenue bonds issued on behalf of Boeing by the City of Wichita since 1979

Letters of intent for Industrial Revenue Bonds issued to the US LCA industry pursuant to actions of the Wichita City Council taken on 17 May 2005, 13 July 2004, 9 November 1999, 13 February 1996, 24 March 1992, 5 December 1989, 21 December 1982, 9 June 1981, and 23 October 1979

Tax Abatement Cost-Benefit Analyses for US LCA-industry industrial revenue bonds performed by the Center for Economic Development and Business Research, W. Frank Barton School of Business, Wichita State University

*Council approves Onex IRBs*, Wichita Business Journal, 17 May 2005, *available at* <http://wichita.bizjournals.com/wichita/stories/2005/05/16/daily16.html>

K.S.A. §§ 12-1740 *et seq.*, as amended

K.S.A. §§ 79-201 *et seq.*, as amended, and Article 11, Section 13 of the Constitution of the State of Kansas

K.S.A. §§ 79-3601 *et seq.*, as amended

Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Wichita Division, "Wichita Overview," *available at* <http://www.boeing.com/commercial/wichita/commercial.htm>

List maintained by the City of Wichita that contains information about all IRBs issued by the City of Wichita since 1979

City of Wichita/Sedgwick County Economic Development Incentives Policy, *available at* [http://www.wichita.gov/NR/rdonlyres/5C4F7504-A681-47EC-8369-F1AD7C400FAE/0/Industrial\\_Revenue\\_Bond\\_Policy\\_06d.pdf](http://www.wichita.gov/NR/rdonlyres/5C4F7504-A681-47EC-8369-F1AD7C400FAE/0/Industrial_Revenue_Bond_Policy_06d.pdf)

The City of Wichita Industrial Revenue Bond Policy, Resolution No. R-98-151

Property Tax Exemption Orders issued by the Kansas Board of Tax Appeals for property owned by or leased to the Boeing Company from FY 1985 to present

Property Tax Exemption Applications filed with the Kansas Board of Tax Appeals by the Boeing Company from FY 1985 to present

Kansas Department of Revenue Ruling No. 19-1996-1, 1 July 1989

Kansas Private Letter Ruling No. P-2001-098, 30 September 2001

Kansas Private Letter Ruling No. P-1999-44, 26 February 1999

Funding provided by the State of Kansas, Kansas Technology Enterprise Corporation ("KTEC"), and the Federal Government to the National Institute for Aviation Research at Wichita State University for collaborations with the US LCA industry, as detailed in the National Institute for Aviation Research, 2003 Annual Report

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

Economic Development for a Growing Economy Tax Credit Act, Illinois Public Act 91-476, as amended

35 Ill. Comp. Stat. §§ 10/5 *et seq.*

35 Ill. Comp. Stat. §§ 5/211 *et seq.*

Corporate Headquarters Relocation Act, Illinois Public Act 92-0207, 20 Ill. Comp. Stat. §§ 611/1 *et seq.*

35 Ill. Comp. Stat. § 200/18-165

Ordinance of the County of Cook, Illinois, Approving Execution of a Tax Reimbursement Payment Agreement with the Boeing Company (2001)

Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois, Approving Execution of a Tax Reimbursement Payment Agreement with the Boeing Company (2001)

The Boeing Company – Corporate Headquarters Relocation Grant Application (10 December 2001), prepared for the Illinois Department of Commerce and Community Affairs

John O'Connor, *Chicago's Boeing Incentives May Be Cut*, Denver Post, 24 May 2001

*Tax Reimbursement Payment Agreement Between the City of Chicago and the Boeing Company*, 1 November 2001, attached as Exhibit A to An Ordinance of the City of Chicago, Illinois Approving Execution of a Tax Reimbursement Payment Agreement with the Boeing Company (ordinance available at [http://egov.cityofchicago/webportal/COCWebPortal/COC\\_Editorial/ Boeing.txt](http://egov.cityofchicago/webportal/COCWebPortal/COC_Editorial/Boeing.txt))

*Tax Reimbursement Payment Agreement Between the County of Cook and The Boeing Company*, 1 November 2001

Boeing amended certification of real estate taxes paid in accordance with the Lease Agreement (attached to Boeing's 23 July 2003 request for Tax Reimbursement from the County of Cook)

Boeing certification of real estate taxes paid in accordance with the Lease Agreement (2 December 2003) (attached to Boeing's 29 January 2004 request for Tax Reimbursement Payment from the City of Chicago)

Statement of Robert Kunze (Deputy Commissioner, Department of Planning and Development, City of Chicago), Report of the Committee on Finance, Board of Commissioners of Cook County, 12 September 2001, available at <http://www.co.cook.il.us/secretary/CommitteePages/Meeting%20Reports/Finance%20Committee/2001/09-12-01.htm>

Meeting of the Cook County Board of Commissioners: Post Agenda Report, 29 September 2001, available at <http://www.cookctyclerk.com/agendas/2001/092001/092001meeting.pdf>

Boeing Moving Headquarters to Chicago, 14 May 2000, available at <http://www.spaceandtech.com/digest/sd2001-19/sd2001-19-001.shtml>

City of Chicago, Office of City Comptroller, *Order Payment Voucher*, No. PV08030801779

City of Chicago, Office of City Comptroller, *Order Payment Voucher*, No. PV08040800041

City of Chicago, Office of City Comptroller, *Order Payment Voucher*, No. PV08040801118

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

City of Chicago, Office of City Comptroller, *Order Payment Voucher*, No. PV08040800574

Cook County, Bureau of Finance, *Cashier's Check 461492*, 25 May 2004

Cook County, Bureau of Finance, *Cashier's Check 456646*, 23 December 2003

Boeing Headquarters Relocation Projected Cost/Benefit Analysis

Lease Termination Compensation Agreement between 100 North Riverside, LLC and the City of Chicago, 15 January 2003

Jack Lyne, *US\$63 million in Incentives, Last-Second Space Deal Help Chicago Land Boeing*, June 2001, available at [www.conway.com/ssinsider/incentive/ti0106.htm](http://www.conway.com/ssinsider/incentive/ti0106.htm)

Press Release from The Boeing Company, Boeing Begins World Headquarters Operations in Chicago (4 September 2001), available at [http://www.boeing.com/news/releases/2001/q3/nr\\_010904z.htm](http://www.boeing.com/news/releases/2001/q3/nr_010904z.htm)

Press Release from the Office of Illinois Governor George Ryan (10 May 2001), available at <http://www.state.il.us/gov/press/01/may/0510boeing.htm>

Ron Starner and Mark Arend, "Behind Boeing's Flight Plan: Why the New Chicago Headquarters is Just Part of the Story," *Site Selection Magazine*, September 2001, available at <http://www.siteselection.com/issues/2001/sep/p572>

Illinois Economic and Fiscal Commission, *Corporate Incentives in the State of Illinois* (August 2001)

*Memo to Honorable Members of the General Assembly from Pam McDonough, Director, Department of Commerce and Community Affairs*, regarding the Corporate Headquarters Relocation Act (23 May 2001)

State of Illinois, 92<sup>nd</sup> General Assembly, House of Representatives, Transcription Debate, 69<sup>th</sup> Legislative Day, 31 May 2001

Jeff McCourt and Greg LeRoy, *Good Jobs First, A Better Deal for Illinois: Improving Economic Development Policy* (January 2003), available at <http://www.heartland.org/Article.cfm?artId=12828>

Corporate Headquarters Relocation Act Master Agreement between The Illinois Department of Commerce and Community Affairs and The Boeing Company (27 March 2002)

Marc J. Lane, *It Pays to Invest in State's Homegrown Technology*, 24 *Crain's Chicago Bus.* 11, 2001 WL 7067142

Reports of Job Creation/Retention and Capital Improvements Expenditures for several years

EDGE Tax Credit Report of Annual Compliance for Year Ending 12/31/2003

Report of the Committee on Finance, Board of Commissioners of Cook County (12 September 2001), available at <http://www.co.cook.il.us/secretary/CommitteePages/Meeting%20Reports/Finance%20Committee/2001/09-12-01.htm>

Hearing Charter, *The Future of Aeronautics at NASA: Hearing Before the House Subcomm. on Space and Aeronautics of the Comm. on Science*, 16 March 2005

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

Statement of Dr. J. Victor Lebacqz, NASA Associate Administrator for Aeronautics Research, before the House Subcommittee on Space and Aeronautics, 16 March 2005

Achieving Aeronautics Leadership, NASA Aeronautics Strategic Enterprise Plan, 1995-2000, April 1995

Statement of Dr. John M. Klineberg, Chair, Committee to Review NASA's Aeronautics Technology Program, Aeronautics and Space Engineering Board, Division on Engineering and Physical Sciences, National Research Council, the National Academies, before the House Subcommittee on Space and Aeronautics, 16 March 2005

NASA Langley Research Center, Economic Impact, Fiscal Year 1998

Joseph R. Chambers, *Concept to Reality: Contributions of the NASA Langley Research Center to US Civil Aircraft of the 1990s* (2003)

Minutes of the NASA Advisory Council, Aerospace Technology Advisory Committee, and Technology and Commercialization Advisory Committee

*Federal Support for US Aeronautics Industry: Hearing Before the House Subcomm. on Government Activities and Transportation of the Comm. on Government Operations*, 102nd Cong. 182 (1992)

*FY98 Budget for NASA: Hearing Before the Senate Subcomm. on Science, Technology and Space of the Comm. on Commerce, Science and Transportation*, 105th Cong. (1997), Federal News Service, 24 April 1997

*Competitiveness of the Aerospace Industry: Hearing on S. 419 Before the Senate Comm. on Commerce, Science, and Transportation*, 103rd Cong. 80-81 (1993)

The Clinton Administration's Initiative to Promote a Strong Competitive Aviation Industry, January 1994

Joe Cobb, *Clinton's Welcome Plan to Improve Air Travel*, Heritage Foundation Reports, 28 January 1994

*Competition in the US Aircraft Manufacturing Industry: Hearing before the House Subcomm. on Aviation of the Comm. on Transportation and Infrastructure*, 107th Cong. 4 (2001)

Jeffrey L. Ethell, *Fuel Economy in Aviation*, NASA SP-462

*NASA's Aeronautics Program: Hearing Before the Senate Subcomm. on Science, Technology and Space of the Comm. on Commerce, Science and Transportation*, 107th Cong. (2001), FDCH Political Transcripts, 24 April 2001

Innovations in Aircraft Design, available at <http://www.sti.nasa.gov/tto/spinoff1997/t1.html>

Statement of Billy M. Glover, Director of Environmental Performance Strategy, Boeing Commercial Airplanes, before the House Subcommittee on Aviation of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, 5 June 2003

Dawn C. Jegley and Harold G. Bush, *Structural Response and Failure of a Full-Scale Stitched Graphite-Epoxy Wing*, AIAA Paper No. 2001-1334-CP

Randy Tinseth, *Boeing Innovations in Technology and Airplane Design*, April 2004

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958, Pub. L. No. 85-568

NASA Appropriations Acts, P.L. 94-116 (FY 1976); P.L. 94-378 (FY 1977); P.L. 95-119 (FY 1978); P.L. 95-392 (FY 1979); P.L. 96-103 (FY 1980); P.L. 96-526 (FY 1981); P.L. 97-101 (FY 1982); P.L. 97-272 (FY 1983); P.L. 98-45 (FY 1984); P.L. 98-371 (FY 1985); P.L. 99-160 (FY 1986); P.L. 99-500 & P.L. 99-591 (FY 1987); P.L. 100-202 (FY 1988); P.L. 100-404 (FY 1989); P.L. 101-144 (FY 1990); P.L. 101-507 (FY 1991); P.L. 102-139 (FY 1992); P.L. 102-389 (FY 1993); P.L. 103-124 (FY 1994); P.L. 103-327 (FY 1995); P.L. 104-134 (FY 1996); P.L. 104-204 (FY 1997); P.L. 105-65 (FY 1998); P.L. 105-276 (FY 1999); P.L. 106-74 (FY 2000); P.L. 106-377 (FY 2001); P.L. 107-73 & P.L. 107-117 (FY 2002); P.L. 108-7 (FY 2003); P.L. 108-199 (FY 2004); P.L. 108-447 (FY 2005)

Basis of NASA FY 1976-FY 2006 Funding Requirements, including sections relevant to the Aircraft Energy Efficiency ("ACEE"), Materials and Structures Systems Technology ("MSST"), Advanced Composites Technology ("ACT"), High Speed Research ("HSR"), Advanced Subsonic Technology ("AST"), High Performance Computing and Communications ("HPCC"), Aviation Safety/Aviation Safety & Security/Aviation Security & Safety ("Aviation Safety"), Quiet Aircraft Technology ("QAT"), Vehicle Systems, and Research and Technology Base ("R&T Base") Programs, as well as Research and Program Management ("R&PM"), and Institutional Support

Basis of NASA ACEE FY 1976-FY 1987 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA MSST FY 1988-FY 1995 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA ACT FY 1996-FY 1997 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA HSR FY 1990-FY 2001 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA AST FY 1992-FY 2001 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA HPCC FY 1991-FY 2003 Funding Requirements

Basis of Aviation Safety FY 2000-FY 2006 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA QAT FY 2000-FY 2006 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA Vehicle Systems FY 2003-FY 2006 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA R&T Base FY 1991-FY 2004 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA R&PM FY 1976-FY 2003 Funding Requirements

Basis of NASA Aerospace Institutional Support FY 2002-FY 2005 Funding Requirements

48 C.F.R. § 31. 205-18

14 C.F.R. § 1274.204(g)

48 C.F.R. §§ 9904.420 *et seq.*

Requirements for Documentation, Approval, and Dissemination of NASA Scientific and Technical Information, section 4.5.7.1 (NPG 2200.2A)

48 C.F.R. §§ 27.400 *et seq.*

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

L.B. Ilcewicz, P.J. Smith, C.T. Hanson, T.H. Walker, S.L. Metschan, G.E. Mabson, K.S. Willden, B.W. Flynn, D.B. Scholz, D.R. Polland, H.G. Fredrikson, J.T. Olson, and B.F. Backman, The Boeing Company, Seattle, WA, NASA/CR 4734, *Advanced Technology Composite Fuselage—Program Overview*, April 1997

Robert H. Kinder, Douglas Aircraft Company, N95-29030, *Impact of Composites on Future Transport Aircraft*

John Quinlivan, N95-29031, *Challenges and Payoff of Composites in Transport Aircraft: 777 Empennage and Future Applications*

R.H. Liebeck, D.A. Andrastek, J. Chau, R. Girvin, R. Lyon, B.K. Rawdon, P.W. Scott, R.A. Wright, McDonnell Douglas Aerospace, Long Beach, CA, NASA/CR-195443, *Advanced Subsonic Airplane Design & Economic Studies*, April 1995

L.B. Ilcewicz, T.H. Walker, K.S. Willden, G.D. Swanson, G. Truslove, S.L. Metschan, and C.L. Pfahl, The Boeing Company, Seattle, WA, NASA/CR-4418, *Application of a Design-Build Team Approach to Low Cost and Weight Composite Fuselage Structure*, 1991

Individual Budgets for DOD Project Related Elements of US Navy, Army, and the Defense Research Projects Agency

10 U.S.C. §§ 2511, 2521

DOD Appropriations Acts P.L. 101-511 (FY 1991); P.L. 102-172 (FY 1992); P.L. 102-396 (FY 1993); P.L. 103-139 (FY 1994); P.L. 103-335 (FY 1995); P.L. 104-61 (FY 1996); P.L. 104-208 (FY 1997); P.L. 105-56 (FY 1998); P.L. 105-262 (FY 1999); P.L. 106-79 (FY 2000); P.L. 106-259 (FY 2001); P.L. 107-117 (FY 2002); P.L. 107-248 (FY 2003); P.L. 108-87 (FY 2004); P.L. 108-287 (FY 2005)

RDT&E US Civil Aircraft Related Project Element Budgets for FY 1991-FY 2005, including: Defense Research Sciences (PE# 0601102F), Materials (PE# 0602102F), Aerospace Flight Dynamics/Vehicle Technologies (PE# 0602201F), Aerospace Propulsion (PE# 0602203F), Aerospace Sensors (PE# 0602204F), Dual Use Applications/Science & Technology (PE# 0602805F), Advanced Materials for Weapon Systems (PE# 0603112F), Flight Vehicle Technology (PE# 0603205F), Aerospace Structures/Technology Dev/Demo (PE# 0603211F), Aerospace Propulsion & Power Technology (PE# 0603216F), Flight Vehicle Technology Integration (PE# 0603245F), RDT&E For Aging Aircraft (PE# 0605011F), Manufacturing Technology/Industrial Preparedness (PE# 0603771F/0708011F/0708011N), C-17 (PE# 0401130F/0604231F), CV-22 (PE# 0401318F), Joint Strike Fighter (PE# 0603800F/0603800N/0603800E/0604800F/0604800N), AV-8B Aircraft (PE# 0604214N), Comanche (PE# 0604223A), F-22 (PE# 0604239F), B-2 Advanced Technology Bomber (PE# 0604240F), V-22 (PE# 0604262N), A-6 Squadron (PE# 0204134N), F/A-18 Squadrons (PE# 0204136N), Dual Use Applications Program (including its predecessor, the Technology Reinvestment Project)

DOD RDT&E Budget Item Justification, Exhibits R-2, FY 1991-FY 2006

DOD FY 1991-FY 2006 Budgets for RDT&E Programs (Exhibit R-1), DOD Component Summary

Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General, "Major Range and Test Facility Base," D-2004-035, 8 December 2003

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

Report of 15 February 2005 of the General Accountability Office (GAO) of the United States' Government to the Secretary of Defense, Contract Management, The Air Force Should Improve How It Purchases AWACS Spare Parts, GAO-05-169.

Management Accountability Review of the Boeing KC-767A Tanker Program, Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, 13 May 2005, Report No OIG-2004-171, available at: <http://www.dodig.osd.mil/tanker.htm>.

Daily Briefing, 15 April 2005

GAO says problems justify rebidding C-130 contract

By Amy Klamper, CongressDaily, available at:  
<http://www.govexec.com/dailyfed/0405/041505cdam2.htm>

E-Mails Detail Air Force Push for Boeing Deal

Pentagon Official Called Proposal Lease of Tankers a "Bailout", Report Finds

By R. Jeffrey Smith, Washington Post, Tuesday 7 June 2005; A01

Holes in the Tanker Story

Washington Post, Monday, 20 June 2005; A14

Factual information and various statements covering, among other things, the "Tanker Deal" available at <http://www.pogo.org/p/x/archivecontractover.html> (Project on Government Oversight website)

Report of 14 April 2005 of the General Accountability Office (GAO) of the United States' Government, Air Force Procurement, Protests Challenging Role of Biased Official Sustained, GAO-05-436T, available at: <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d05436t.pdf>

Congressional Budget Office (CBO) Report, Letter of Douglas Holtz-Eakin, Director addressed to the Honorable Don Nickles, Chairman of the Committee on the Budget United States Senate dated 26 August 2003 and related documents available at:  
<http://www.cbo.gov/showdoc.cfm?index=4494&sequence=0>

Statement of The Honorable Joseph E. Schmitz, Inspector General, Department of Defense before the Airland Subcommittee, Senate Committee on Armed Services on "Air Force Acquisition Oversight", 14 April 2005 available at: <http://armed-services.senate.gov/statemnt/2005/April/Schmitz%2004-14-05.pdf>

DOD Follow-Up on Boeing Probe Results in 8 Contracts Referred to IG, in particular the National Polar-orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System-Conical Microwave Imager Sensor, Boeing, the C-22 Replacement Program (C-40), Boeing, the KC-135 Programmed Depot Maintenance, Boeing/Pemco, the C-40 Lease and Purchase Program, Boeing, the upgrade to the avionics of the C-130J aircraft, the C-17 H22 contract, the Boeing KC-767A tanker program, the contract for the purchase of certain spare parts for the Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) aircraft and Pentagon statement on DCMA Identified Questionable Contracts, BNA of 15 February 2005.

FY06 Defense Authorisation bill (HR 1815), House Armed Services Committee Chairman Duncan Hunter's amendment (Section 817 – Prohibition on Procurement from Beneficiaries of Foreign Subsidies) adopted by the US House on 25 May 2005.



BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

Jeanne Rapley, *Testing for Private Industry: A Legal Perspective*, powerpoint presentation, 17 March 1997, available at <http://www.dtc.army.mil/apbi/1997/legal97/index.html>

AEDC, "Commercial Success Stories," available at <http://www.arnold.af.mil/aedc/commercial/commercial.pdf>

10 U.S.C. § 2681

10 U.S.C. § 2539b

NAWCAD, "Commercial Service Agreements (CSAs)," available at <http://nawcadcounsel.navair.navy.mil/CSAs.htm>

US General Accounting Office, "Aerospace Testing: Promise of Closer NASA/DOD Cooperation Remains Largely Unfulfilled," GAO/NSIAD-98-52, March 1998

US Department of Labor Employment & Training Administration News Release, *US Secretary of Labor Elaine L. Chao Announces Nearly \$1.5 Million to Train Washington Workers for Careers in the Aerospace Industry*, 5 November 2004, available at [http://www.doleta.gov/whatsnew/new\\_releases/november052004-Washington.cfm](http://www.doleta.gov/whatsnew/new_releases/november052004-Washington.cfm)

Notification of Award for Grant No. AN-14571-05-60 to Edmonds Community College, 4 February 2005

Workforce Investment Act of 1998, Pub. L. No. 105-220 (1998)

Statement of Work for Grant No. AN-14571-05-60 to Edmonds Community College, undated

35 U.S.C. §§ 200 *et seq.*

48 C.F.R. §§ 27.300 *et seq.*

Memorandum to the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies: Government Patent Policy, Pub. Papers 248 (18 February 1983)

Executive Order 12591 (10 April 1987)

14 C.F.R. §§ 1274.911 – 1274.914

48 C.F.R. §§ 1827.301 *et seq.*

48 C.F.R. §§ 227.303 *et seq.*

48 C.F.R. §§ 227.7100 *et seq.*

Contract No. NAS1-20267

19 C.F.R. § 351.524(b) (1998)

NASA Langley Research Center, High-Speed Research Program: Technology Transfer Control Handbook (April 1998)

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

National Research Council, Committee on High Speed Research, US Supersonic Commercial Aircraft: Assessing NASA's High Speed Research Program (1997)

James Schultz, "HSR Leaves Legacy of Spinoffs," Aerospace America, September 1999

United States notification to the WTO Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures, G/SCM/N/3/USA/Suppl.1 (19 November 1998)

United States Updating and New and Full Notification to the WTO Committee on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures, G/SCM/N/48/USA (2 July 2002)

Aviation Systems Analysis Capability, Executive Assistant Development, NASA/CR-1999-209119, Logistics Management Institute, March 1999

Testimony to the Subcommittee on Technology, Environment, and Aviation of the US House of Representatives, 10 February 1994, Federal Document Clearing House, 1994 WL 214062

Advanced Subsonic Technology Program, Technology Transfer Control Handbook (August 1998)

Federal News Service, Hearing of the Science, Technology and Space Subcommittee of the Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee, 24 April 1997

Flight International, 11 August 1999

NASA HPCC, Status of Ames Sponsored HPCC NASA Research Announcements

NASA HPCC, Computational Aerospace Sciences ("CAS") Project Description

NASA HPCC, Computational Aerospace Sciences NASA HPCC 1999 Brochure

NASA HPCC, Mission Description

NASA Budget Estimates for FY 1993-FY 2003, NASA Mission Support, Research and Program Management

NASA News, Boeing Names New Airplane Wing Composites Development Center

35 U.S.C. §§ 154, 271

NASA Property Rights in Inventions, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2457 *et seq.*

NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 C.F.R. §§ 1245 *et seq.*

Evidence from Patents and Patent Citations on the Impact of NASA and other Federal Labs on Commercial Innovation, National Bureau of Economic Research (May 1997)

General Information Concerning Patents, published by USPTO

Sylvia K. Kraemer, NASA's Director of Policy Development Office of Policy and Plans, Monopolies, and the Cold War: The Origins and Consequences of NASA Patent Policy, 1958-1998 (October 1999)

NASA Office of Policy and Plans, Value of Patent Rights Waived by NASA

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

US Patent No. 6,497,389

US Patent No. 6,126,110

US Patent No. 6,053,050

US Patent No. 6,014,606

US Patent No. 5,971,252

US Patent No. 6,138,895

US Patent No. 5,953,231

US Patent No. 5,931,107

US Patent No. 5,902,535

US Patent No. 5,242,523

US Patent No. 5,893, 535

US Patent No. 5,909,858

US Patent No. 5,899,413

US Patent No. 5,740,984

US Patent No. 5,681,013

Independent Research and Development and Bid and Proposal Costs: Payments to Contractors,  
10 U.S.C. § 2372

Independent Research and Development and Bid and Proposal Cost Federal Acquisition Rule,  
48 C.F.R. § 31.205-18

Independent Research and Development and Bid and Proposal Cost Defense Acquisition Rule,  
48 C.F.R. § 231.205-18

Department of Defense Directive Regarding IR&D Number 3204.1 (10 May 1999)

Internal Revenue Service National Office Technical Advice Memorandum, Private Ruling 8633004  
(unpublished), 1986 PLR LEXIS 2296 (9 May 1986)

Defense Contract Audit Agency Contract Audit Manual, DCAAM 7640.1, January 2001

DOD Independent Research & Development, Program Report (May 2002)

Assessing the Impact of Regulatory and Legislative Changes to the Independent Research and  
Development Program, Prepared for Office of the Director, Defense Research & Engineering  
Acquisition and Technology, DOD (14 March 1997)

Michael E. Davey & Dahlia Stein, Congressional Research Service Report for Congress: DOD's  
Independent Research and Development Program: Changes and Issues (17 December 1993)

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

10 U.S.C. § 2324(l)(1) (version in effect from FY 1995-FY 2001)

10 U.S.C. § 2306a (version in effect FY 1991-FY 1992)

10 U.S.C. § 2324(m)

48 C.F.R. § 16.301-3(a)(1)

48 C.F.R. § 12.207

48 C.F.R. §§ 37.602-4, *et seq.*

48 C.F.R. § 36.207(a)

48 C.F.R. § 216.104-70(b)(2), (c), (d)(2)

48 C.F.R. § 235.006(b)(i)

48 C.F.R. § 35.006(c)

Defense Contract Audit Agency, Independent Research and Development and Bid and Proposal Costs Incurred by Major Defense Contractors (Multiple Reports for Fiscal Years 1989 through 2004)

GSA Contract Database

Congressional Office of Technology Assessment, *Competing Economies: Government Support of Large Civil Aircraft Industries of Japan, Europe and the United States* (Washington, 1991)

Dual Use Technology 1995 Report

BC-17X Background Information

US Department of Defense News Release: Technology Reinvestment Project Announces FY 94 Selections, 25 October 1994

Commerce Business Daily, "Technology Reinvestment Project Program Announcement," 21 October 1994

Anne Kellogg, "Clinton Administration to Diversify Defense Under Attack," *The Hartford Courant*, 11 May 1996, p. A9

Richard Burnett, "Defense Conversion Faces Own War; The Program Has Come Under Attack by Congress as Lawmakers Search for Prime Budget Cuts," *Orlando Sentinel*, 15 October 1995, p. H1

Potomac Institute for Policy Studies, *A Review of the Technology Reinvestment Project*, 30 January 1999

National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997, 10 U.S.C. § 2511

Defense Acquisition Reform: Hearing before the Subcommittee on Acquisition and Technology of the Senate Committee on Armed Services, 105th Congress, 19 March 1997 (Statement of Hon. Paul G. Kaminski, Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology)

Dual Use Science and Technology Program Web Site, at Fact Sheet hyperlink

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

FY02 Air Force Dual Use Science & Technology Annual Competition: Updated FY 02 Solicitation Schedule

Department of Defense, Report to Congress on the Activities of the DOD Office of Technology Transition, January 1998

Department of Defense, Dual Use Science & Technology Report to Congress, March 1999, Appendix C

DOD Research & Development Contracting Definitions, 48 C.F.R. § 235

Omnibus Trade and Competitiveness Act of 1988, Pub. L. No. 100-418, codified at 15 U.S.C. § 278n

American Technology Preeminence Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-245

National Institute of Standards & Technology Rules, 15 C.F.R. §§ 295.1 *et seq.*

NISTIR-6099, Connie K.N. Chang, ATP Eligibility Criteria for US Subsidiaries of Foreign-owned Companies: Legislation, Implementation and Results, Chapter 1

NISTIR-5896, Rosalie Ruegg, Guidelines For Proposing Economic Evaluation Studies to The Advanced Technology Program (ATP), Chapter 1.4

Slides by Marc G. Stanley, Acting Director of ATP (ATP Awards to Date by Technology Area (1990-2001))

US Secretary of Commerce, A Progress Report on the Impacts of an Industry-Government Technology Partnership

NISTIR-6491, Jeanne W. Powell and Karen L. Lellock, Development, Commercialization, and Diffusion of Enabling Technologies: Progress Report (2000)

Slides About the ATP Proposers' Conference (1999)

Replies to Questions Posed by Chile, the European Community, Mexico and Poland Regarding the New and Full Notification of the United States, G/SCM/Q2/USA/20 (7 April 1999)

NIST Overview of the Advanced Technology Program

Statements of US Senators Danforth and Hollings Regarding ATP Program, 140 Cong. Rec. S2851 (11 March 1994), Cong. Rec. S2763 (10 March 1994)

Historical Statistics on Awards/Winners (1990 – 5 September 2002)

ATP Project Briefs, Projects 93-01-0089 (CVD Diamond-Coated Rotating Tools for Machining Advanced Composite Materials), 95-12-0024 (An Agent-Based Framework for Integrated Intelligent Planning – Execution), 95-01-0108 (Precision Optoelectronics Assembly), 91-01-0267 (PREAMP – Pre-competitive Advanced Manufacturing of Electrical Products), 97-05-0020 (EECOMS: Extended Enterprise Coalition for Integrated Collaborative Manufacturing Systems), and 98-01-0168 (Hot Metal Gas Forming)

Sections 921-927 of the Internal Revenue Code (prior to repeal) and related measures

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

FSC Repeal and Extraterritorial Income Exclusion Act of 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-519

American Jobs Creation Act of 2004, Pub. L. No. 108-357

**(b) Serious Prejudice to the Interests of the European Communities**

The European Communities has voluminous evidence that the subsidies granted to the US LCA industry have caused and continue to cause adverse effects through significant price suppression or depression of prices of LCA worldwide, significant price undercutting and significant lost sales by the Community industry in the market for LCA.

The evidence available includes the following materials:

Information regarding Airbus products, including at <http://www.airbus.com/en/aircraftfamilies/> and <http://www.boeing.com/commercial/flash.html>, and related links and company information

Airbus and Boeing documents describing aircraft in terms of range and seats

Boeing pricing, order and delivery data, including at <http://www.boeing.com/commercial/prices/> and <http://active.boeing.com/commercial/orders/userdefinedselection.cfm>

Airbus order and delivery data, including at [http://www.airbus.com/en/corporate/orders\\_and\\_deliveries/](http://www.airbus.com/en/corporate/orders_and_deliveries/) (and in internal business confidential sources)

Airbus marketing material analysing the competitive relationship between the various Airbus and Boeing products

Airclaims, Client Aviation System Inquiry data (paid access database)

Boeing Current Market Outlook, various editions (including at <http://www.boeing.com/commercial/cmo/index.shtml>)

Airbus Global Market Forecast, various editions (including at [http://www.airbus.com/store/mm\\_repository/pdf/att00003033/media\\_object\\_file\\_GMF2004\\_full\\_issue.pdf](http://www.airbus.com/store/mm_repository/pdf/att00003033/media_object_file_GMF2004_full_issue.pdf))

"Bouncing Boeing," The Economist, 13 June 1998

"Airbus Eclipses Boeing, Sets Order Book Record," Aviation Week and Space Technology, 10 January 2000

"Boeing increases base price for commercial aircraft by 5 percent," Seattle Times, 10 July 1998

Cumulative Deliveries for the Boeing 737 Family since 1974

"Airbus bets the company," The Economist, 18 March 2000

"Boeing, Banking on a big bird," The Economist, 12 March 1994

"Wall Street frets over Boeing," Airline Business, August 1998

"Just in time, not just in case; Boeing's push for production casts," Air Transport World, April 1994

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

"Boeing hit by 737 problems," Financial Times, 23 April 1998

"Airbus, Boeing in costs dogfight," Financial Times, 12 July 1994

"USA: Strong gains expected from Boeing commercial unit," The Seattle Times, 14 January 2000

"USA: Software City starts to find major bugs in reality," Independent, 5 December 1998

"USA: City – what's bugging Boeing," Sunday Telegraph, 6 September 1998

"USA: Boeing sold below cost, study suggests," The Seattle Times, 4 March 1999

"Fearful Boeing," The Economist, 27 February 1999

"Boeing to Revive Plans for Larger Super Jumbo," Seattle Times, 9 September 1998

"Medium Residual Values of 747 Buoyed by A3XX Delay," Aircraft Value News, 13 April 1998

"A340-500/600 Nears Go-Ahead," Aviation Week and Space Technology, 11 August 1997

"Too Big to Fly?," Washington Post, 4 May 1997

Airbus press releases (including at <http://www.airbus.com/en/presscentre/pressreleases/>)

Boeing press releases (including at <http://www.boeing.com/news/releases/archive2004.html>, and for earlier years included in website archives)

Press releases by Airbus and Boeing customers

Commission Decision of 30 July 1997 declaring a concentration compatible with the common market and the functioning of the EEA Agreement, OJ L 336/16 (8 December 1997)

Moody's Investors Service, Global Aerospace/Defense – Industry Outlook January 2002

Society General, Aerospace and Defense Industry Report, June 2002

Aircraft Value News, various editions

Aviation Week & Space Technology, various editions

Air Transport World, various editions

Airline Business, various editions

Citigroup Smith Barney, Boeing analyst reports

Credit Suisse First Boston, Boeing analyst reports

JP Morgan, Boeing analyst reports

Wachovia Securities, Boeing analyst reports

Society of British Aerospace Companies, Has the Business model for commercial aviation changed permanently post September 11<sup>th</sup>?

BCI deleted, as indicated [\*\*\*]

US International Trade Commission, Investigation No. 332-414, Publication 3433, June 2001

US Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration, "The US Jet Industry: Competition, Regulation, and Global Market Factors Affecting US Producers," March 2005

Statement by Airbus that it has also gathered substantial evidence of a business confidential nature (*e.g.*, internal memoranda, communications to and from actual and potential airline and leasing company customers, and internal analyses of campaigns), which confirms the existence of injury, market displacement or impediment, price suppression, depression and undercutting as well as lost sales.

---