VII. Findings and Conclusions

- 298. For the reasons set out in this Report, the Appellate Body:
 - (a) With respect to Article 2.1 of the *TBT Agreement*:
 - (i) <u>upholds</u>, albeit for different reasons, the Panel's finding, in paragraph 7.248 of the Panel Report, that clove cigarettes and menthol cigarettes are "like products" within the meaning of Article 2.1 of the *TBT Agreement*;
 - (ii) <u>finds</u> that the Panel did not act inconsistently with Article 11 of the DSU in its analysis of consumer tastes and habits;
 - (iii) <u>upholds</u>, albeit for different reasons, the Panel's finding, in paragraph 7.292 of the Panel Report, that, by banning clove cigarettes while exempting menthol cigarettes from the ban, Section 907(a)(1)(A) of the FFDCA accords imported clove cigarettes less favourable treatment than that accorded to domestic menthol cigarettes, within the meaning of Article 2.1 of the *TBT Agreement*;
 - (iv) <u>finds</u> that the Panel did not act inconsistently with Article 11 of the DSU in its less favourable treatment analysis; and, therefore,
 - (v) <u>upholds</u>, albeit for different reasons, the Panel's finding, in paragraphs 7.293 and 8.1(b) of the Panel Report, that Section 907(a)(1)(A) of the FFDCA is inconsistent with Article 2.1 of the *TBT Agreement* because it accords to imported clove cigarettes less favourable treatment than that accorded to like menthol cigarettes of national origin; and
 - (b) With respect to Article 2.12 of the *TBT Agreement*:
 - (i) <u>upholds</u> the Panel's finding, in paragraph 7.576 of the Panel Report, that paragraph 5.2 of the Doha Ministerial Decision constitutes a subsequent agreement between the parties, within the meaning of Article 31(3)(a) of the *Vienna Convention*, on the interpretation of the term "reasonable interval" in Article 2.12 of the *TBT Agreement*; and
 - (ii) <u>upholds</u>, albeit for different reasons, the Panel's finding, in paragraphs 7.595 and 8.1(h) of the Panel Report, that, by failing to allow an interval of not less than six months between the publication and the entry into force of

Section 907(a)(1)(A) of the FFDCA, the United States acted inconsistently with Article 2.12 of the *TBT Agreement*.

299. The Appellate Body <u>recommends</u> that the DSB request the United States to bring its measure, found in this Report, and in the Panel Report as modified by this Report, to be inconsistent with the *TBT Agreement*, into conformity with its obligations under that Agreement.

Signed in the	original in Geneva thi	is 22nd day of March 20	12 by:
		Shotaro Oshima	
	Presiding Member		er
•			
	Ricardo Ramírez-Hernández		Peter Van den Bossche
	Member		Member