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## Introduction

1. Under the work programme of GATT adopted after the conclusion of the Tokyo Round in 1979, the Committee on Trade and Development was given a task to work on further trade liberalization in areas identified as being of special interest of developing countries, which included notably tropical products. In this connexion, the secretariat provided during 1981 detailed data on the commercial policy situation and trade flows with respect to a number of tropical products. Data for cocoa and cocoa products were circulated as COM.TD/W/329, and this document was used as background material for the Consultations on Trade Liberalization (tropical products) held in March 1982.
2. The CONTRACTING PARTIES, meeting at the Ministerial level in November 1982, decided "to carry out, on the basis of the work programme pursued by the Commitree on Trade and Development, consultations and appropriate negotiations aimed at further liberalization of trade in tropical products, including in their processed and semi-processed forms, and to review the progress achieved in eliminating or reducing existing obstacles to trade in tropical products at their 1984 Session". At its meeting on 8 March 1983, the Committee on Trade and Development agreed that the background documentation prepared for the 1982 Consultations on Trade Liberalization would be updated, for the purpose of carrying out the consultations and appropriate negotiations called for by the Ministers.
3. This revision updates information provided in document COM.TD/W/329, taking into account discussions in the 1982 Consultations on tropical products. Annex 2 of this document updates the tariff and trade flow data at the tariff line level previously circulated as Annex 2 to COM.TD//W/329. The new Annex 2 covers EEC, Japan, Vorway, Sweden, Switzerland and the United States. Updated tariff and trade flow data for certain other developed countries will be circulated later as they become available.

SECTION I: Production, consumption and prices

## Production

4. Table 1 shows cocoa production (world and in major producing countries) in the period 1976/77-1981/82 as well as five-year averages of production in certain periods. According to the International Cocoa Organization (ICCO), world production of cocoa beans increased steadily from $1,344,000$ tonnes in $1976 / 77$ to a record level of $1,708,000$ tonnes in 1981/82. The biggest increases in production were in the Ivory Coast, Brazil and Malaysia, whose combined crops rose by 336,000 tonnes in the period 1976/77-1981/82 out of the total increase in world production of 364,000 tonnes. The rapid rise of production in these countries can, to a great extent, be explained by an increase in the area planted to cocoa in the 1970's as well as by improved yields. On the other hand, production in Ghana - until the end of the 1970's the biggest bean producer - continued to decline from 321,000 tonnes in $1976 / 77$ to less than 250,000 tonnes in 1981/82. In the same period, production in Nigeria remained at around 160,000-170,000 tonnes, while most of the smaller producing countries increased their cocoa bean crops. As a result of these production trends, the relative importance of the Ivory Coast, Brazil and Malaysia increasad at the expense of Ghana and Nigeria.

Table 1

Five-year averages of production, 1985/66 to 1979i80, and annual production, 1976/77, 1979/80, 1980/81 and 1981/82

| Country | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 1965 / 66 \\ \text { to } \\ 1969 / 70 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 1970 / 71 \\ \text { to } \\ 1974 / 75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1975 / 76 \\ 50 \\ 1979 / 80 \end{gathered}$ | 1976/77 | 1979/80 | 1980/81 | 1981/82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (thousand | tonnes) |  |  |  |
| AFRICA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cameroon | 93 | 114 | 103 | 82 | 122 | 118 | 115 |
| Ghana | 392 | 403 | 303 | 321 | 275 | 252 | 250 |
| Ivory Coast | 147 | 208 | 290 | 230 | 379 | 418 | 435 |
| Nigeria | 221 | 246 | 178 | 165 | . 160 | 155 | 170 |
| Togo | 19 | 21 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 18 |
| Others ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 62 | 63 | 41 | 37 | 42 | 43 | 45 |
| total | 934 | 1055 | 931 | 851 | 993 | 1002 | 1033 |
| NORTH, CENTRAL AND SOUTH ARERICA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brazil | 172 | 203 | 272 | 226 | 296 | 353 | 325 |
| Colombia | 18 | 23 | 31 | 27 | 36 | 38 | 43 |
| Dominican Republic | 30 | 37 | 35 | 38 | 29 | 35 | 35 |
| Ecuador | 59 | 67 | 81 | 72 | 98 | 80 | 85 |
| Mexico | 25 | 29 | 32 | 24 | 34 | 30 | 36 |
| Venezuela | 19 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 16 |
| Orhers ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 30 | 26 | 33 | 31 | 33 | 32 | 34 |
| TOTAL | 353 | 403 | 499 | 435 | 539 | 582 | 574 |
| ASIA AND OCEANIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Malaysia | 2 | 8 | 23 | 18 | 34 | 47 | 50 |
| Papua New Guinea | 22 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 31 | 29 | 28 |
| Others ${ }^{\text {a/ }}$ | 11 | 10 | 14 | 21 | 19 | 22 | 23 |
| TOTAL | 35 | 47 | 67 | 58 | 84 | 98 | 101 |
| WORLD TOTAL | 322 | i 505 | 1498 | 1344 | 1616 | 1682 | 1708 |

a/ Countries which individually produce less than 15,000 tonnes per annum.
Source: International Cocoa Organization

## Consumption

5. Table 2 shows cocoa grindings (world and in major consuming markets) and five-jear averages of grindings in certain periods. While world cocoa grindings indicate the level of world cocoa consumption, cocoa grindings in individual markets indicate the level of cocoa processing. World grindings of cocoa beans have also increased in the six-year period 1976/77-1981/82 from $1,424,000$ to $1,643,000$ tonnes, or 2.9 per cent per year on average. Over the period, therefore, increases in cocoa consumption as measured by grindings have not kept pace with increases in production. The relatively slow growth in cocoa consumption is attributable to a number of factors, including the recession in most industrialized countries, the changing preferences of consumers in favour of finished products containing less cocoa and the increased substitution of cocoa in final products.
6. Between $1976 / 77$ and 1981/82, considerable increases in grindings were recorded in the European Economic Community (up by 16 per cent to 528,000 tonnes) and in the USSR. Grindings in all the other consuming countries were at or below the levels reached in 1976/77. However, grindings in producing countries continued to increase, although at a slower rate than before, to a record level of 531,000 tonnes in 1981/82. The biggest increases were recorded in Brazil (of 57 per cent to 190,000 tonnes), Mexico, the Ivory Coast, Nigeria and Colombia. In Ghana and Cameroon, however, there was a decline in grindings.

## Prices

7. Table 3 shows average ICCO daily prices of cocoa beans in the period 1961-1983. After reaching a peak in 1977 when production fell below grindings, cocoa prices have tended to decline though they recovered for a short while in the period July - September 1981 owing to purchases made in anticipation of biffer stock operation under the International Cocoa Agreement of 1980. However, once the actual buffer stock intervention began and the market judged that the financial resources at the disposal of the buffer stock were inadequite, market sentiment became firmly bearish. As of 27 April 1983, cocoa prices at 90.1 US cents per pound are lower than the minimum price of 100 US cents per pound under the Cocoa Agreement.
8. According to the ICCO, the main factors behind the sharp fall in prices were the excess of production over consumption for several consecutive crop years, the high level of world stocks, izigh interest rates, the appreciation of the doliar, and the disappointing impact of the buffer stock operations.

## Per capita consumption

9. Table 4 provides data on the per capita cocoa consumption in 1976/1977 - 1981/1982 in major cocoa consuming countries. Latest figures in the table show that per capita consumption is highest at a level of 2.5 to 3.8 kgs., in Austria, Belgium, F.R.Germany, Norway and Switzerland; it is at an intermediate level ( 1.3 to 2.0 kgs .) in Australia, Canada, Denmark, France, Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Sweden, the United States, the German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Colombia and the Dominican Republic

Table 2
Five-year averages of grindings, 1965/66-1979/80, and annual grindings. $1976,77,1979180,1984 / 81$ and 1981/82

| Country | $\begin{aligned} & 1965 / 66 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 1969 / 70 \text { al } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1970/71 } 60 / 71 \\ & 1974 / 75^{2 /} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1975 / 76 \\ & 190 / 79 / 80 \\ & 1979 / \end{aligned}$ | 1976/77 | 1979/80 | 1980/81 | 1981/82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (thousand soanes) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EUROPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EEC members |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belgium/Luxembourg | 18 | 19 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 28 | 27 |
| France | 49 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 48 | 48 | 50 |
| Germany, Fed. Rep. of | 138 | 140 | 153 | 150 | 158 | 160 | 167 |
| Italy | 42 | 39 | 31 | 28 | 34 | 32 | 34 |
| Netherlands | 113 | 120 | 127 | 129 | 129 | 140 | 147 |
| Uniced Kingdom | 94 | 91 | 72 | 80 | 62 | 81 | 90 |
| Others | 19 | 16 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 13 |
| Total | 473 | 457 | 452 | 454 | 466 | 500 | 528 |
| Grien members |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Czechoslovakia | 17 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 18 |
| German Dem. Rep. | 18 | 20 | 21 | 23 | 17 | 20 | 20 |
| Poland | 18 | 30 | 23 | 35 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| USSR b/ | 92 | 134 | 108 | 88 | 125 | 114 | 115 |
| Others ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 23 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 24 | 29 | 30 |
| Total | 168 | 233 | 199 | 192 | 190 | 186 | 191 |
| Spaia | 32 | 32 | 33 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| Suizzeryand | 16 | 17 | 15 | 17 | 17 |  | 17 |
| Ochers- | 44 | 45 | 40 | 39 | 35 | 37 | 39 |
| TOTAL | 733 | 794 | 739 | 738 | 745 | 777 | 812 |
| MORTH, CENTRAL AND SOUTH AMERICA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brazil | 60 | 92 | 141 | 121 | 180 | 191 | 190 |
| Colombia | 37 | 36 | 32 | 30 | 36 | 38 | 43 |
| Ecuador | 7 | 18 | 64 | 55 | 85 | 65 | 65 |
| Mexico | 19 | 22 | 29 | 21 | 32 | 30 | 33 |
| United States | 283 | 257 | 177 | 199 | 133 | 186 | 195 |
| 0 -hers | 54 | 52 | 29 | 46 | 45 | 46 | 51 |
| TOTAL | 460 | 477 | 472 | 472 | 511 | 556 | 577 |
| AFRICA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cameroon | 22 | 30 | 31 | 26 | 32 | 21 | 5 |
| Ghana | 49 | 48 | 38 | 44 | 31 | 30 | 30 |
| Ivory Cosst | 28 | 40 | 53 | 41 | 55 | 60 | 60 |
| Nigeriz/ | 14 | 27 | 21 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 40 |
| Others- | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| total | 120 | 153 | 147 | 143 | 143 | 137 | 143 |
| ASIA AND OCEANLA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Japan b/ | 34 | 34 | 26 | 29 | 24 | 28 | 30 |
| Ochers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 37 | 43 | 52 | 42 | 65 | 77 | 81 |
| total | 71 | 77 | 78 | 71 | 89 | 105 | 111 |
| HORLD TOTAL | 1384 | 1501 | 1458 | 1424 | 1488 | $1575^{\circ}$ | 1643 |

[^0]Sources International Cocoa Organizatiun

TABLE 3

## Average ICCO daily orices of cocoa beans

(nearest 3 future trading months, London and New York)

|  | Cents <br> per pound |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1961 | 22.0 |
| 1962 | 20.8 |
| 1963 | 25.1 |
| 1964 | 22.9 |
| 1965 | 16.6 |
| 1966 | 23.5 |
| 1967 | 27.1 |
| 1968 | 32.7 |
| 1969 | 41.0 |
| 1970 | 30.6 |
| 1971 | 24.4 |
| 1972 | 29.2 |
| 1973 | 51.3 |
| 1974 | 70.7 |
| 1975 | 56.5 |
| 1976 | 92.8 |
| 1977 | 171.9 |
| 1978 | 154.3 |
| 1979 | 149.3 |
| 1980 | 118.1 |
| 1981 | 94.2 |
| 1982 | 79.0 |
| 1983 Jan. | 80.9 |
| Feb. | 88.1 |
| Mar. | 84.3 |
| 27 Apr. | 90.1 |
|  |  |

Tableau $4^{-}$- Consonmetion de cacao par hableane at par pays. $1976 / 77-1981 / 82$.

Cundro 4. - Consumo de cacso por capsea por paises. 1976/77-1981/82

| Countyy <br> Pays <br> Crpana <br> pais |  | $1976 / 77$ | 1977/78 | 1978/79 | 1979/80 | 1980/81 | 1940/81 |  |  | 1961/82 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cinm-Mas | Aprosua | sul-sep | Der-o.c | Jan-mar | Apz=Jus. |
| WESTERN EUROPE |  | .....kllograms - Kılogrammes- |  |  |  |  |  | - Allogranos. . . . . |  |  |  |  |
| Auseria |  | 2.86 | 2.76 | 2.77 | 3.01 | 2.98 | . 30 | . 72 | . 65 | . 65 | . 75 | .73 |
| Belalua/tuxeabourg | $\cdots$ | 2.66 | 2.73 | 2.50 | 2.94 | 2.94 | .89 | . 60 | . 67 | . ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | .47 | . 38 |
| Oenmar | M | 1.75 | 1.78 | 1.78 | 1.53 | 1.54 | .43 | . 19 | . 39 | . 37 | . 43 | . 57 |
| grance | M | 1.78 | 1.77 | 1.31 | 1.73 | 1.94 | . 56 | . 51 | . 37 | . 51 | . 52 | . 48 |
| Gerasay, Fed. Rep. of | m | 2.39 | 2.51 | 2.54 | 2.57 | 2.67 | .73 | .63 | . 55 | . 69 | . 63 | . 55 |
| Gzence | M | . 73 | . 71 | . 83 | . 30 | . 81 | . 22 | .21 | -18 | . 25 | .27 | .21 |
| isaly | K | . 58 | . 55 | . 60 | . 60 | .77 | . 18 | .24 | . 16 | . 14 | . 18 | . 18 |
| Netrerlands | M | 1.58 | 2.15 | 2.15 | 2.25 | 1.30 | .36 | . 04 | . 22 | . 58 | . 51 | . 43 |
| Norway | M | 2.23 | 2.24 | 2.29 | 2.79 | 2.54 | . 49 | .61 | . 58 | . 66 | .63 | . 58 |
| Spata | M | . 76 | . 67 | . 69 | . 76 | . 92 | . 25 | . 23 | -19 | . 23 | . 23 | . 23 |
| Sweden | M | 1.90 | 1.61 | 1.96 | 2.03 | 1.59 | . 47 | . 34 | .32 | . 55 | . 58 | .iv |
| Sulezeriana | $\cdots$ | 3.46 | 3.79 | 3. 40 | 3.82 | 3.79 | 1.08 | . 73 | . 88 | 1.21 | - 57 | 1.09 |
| Jn+eed Kingdom | M | 1.87 | 1.59 | 1.63 | 1.65 | 1.58 | . 37 | .47 | . 50 | .47 | . 52 | -49 |
| Yugosidusa | M | . 78 | . 56 | . 95 | . 66 | .72 | . 16 | -18 | .23 | .23 | . 18 | .17 |
| EASTERN EUROPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulgaria | M | 1.17 | 1.24 | 1.08 | . 62 | . 59 | . 16 | . 16 | . 16 | . 18 | . 17 | .17 |
| Czechoslovakia | M | 1.18 | 1.22 | . 97 | 1.29 | 1.11 | . 33 | . 37 | .27 | . 43 | . 25 | . 31 |
| Gerimen Democratic Rep. | M | 1.65 | 1.38 | 1.43 | 1.39 | 1.50 | . 42 | .31 | . 36 | . 36 | . 30 | .32 |
| Hungary | M | 1.31 | 1.74 | 1.50 | 1.30 | 1.46 | .41 | . 38 | . 31 | . 38 | . 38 | . 40 |
| Poiand |  | 1.04 | 1.04 | . 99 | 1.23 | 1.13 | . 28 | . 28 | . 25 | .47 | .06 | .04 |
| Romanta |  | . 47 | . 64 | . 54 | . 34 | . 37 | . 09 | . 09 | . 14 | .09 | . 10 | . 10 |
| USSR | M | . 46 | . 34 | . 49 | .51 | . 57 | . 15 | . 15 | . 13 | . 11 | .13 | . 13 |
| NORTH,CENTRAL ANO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOUTH AMERICA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Argentina | M | . 34 | .27 | . 33 | . 45 | . 33 | . 06 | . 1.1 | . 08 | . 06 | . 05 | . 05 |
| 892921 | M | . 24 | . 21 | . 27 | . 26 | .22 | .01 | . 02 | . 14 | .01 | . 07 | . 19 |
| Canada |  | 1.57 | 1.25 | 1.23 | 1.44 | 1.47 | . 40 | . 36 | . 33 | .47 | . 43 | . 32 |
| colombia |  | 1.27 | 1.31 | 1.28 | 1.08 | 1.26 | .33 | . 33 | . 32 | . 36 | . 35 | . 36 |
| Doumazcan kep. |  | 1.71 | 1.34 | 1.69 | . 86 | 1.31 | . 45 | .27 | . 27 | . 27 | . 19 | .19 |
| scuador | M | . 86 | - 40 | .76 | . 92 | . 37 | . 15 | . 22 | . 16 | . 53 | . 31 | . 19 |
| Mexico | M | . 26 | .36 | . 36 | . 36 | .35 | . 12 | . 10 | . 25 | .11 | . 13 | . 28 |
| Jateed scates |  | 1.59 | 1.49 | 1.50 | -. 42 | 1.64 | .47 | . 38 | . 40 | . 39 | . 38 | . 30 |
| Venezuela | $\cdots$ | . 40 | . 54 | .41 | .27 | . 29 | .09 | . 08 | .06 | . 10 | . 08 | .28 |
| ASIA ANO UGEANIA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auseralla |  | 1.36 | 1.47 | 1.42 | 1.58 | 1.37 | . 34 | . 42 | . 31 | . 30 | . 38 | . 38 |
| Enina |  | . 01 | . 01 | . 01 | . 02 | . 22 | - | - | - | - | . 02 | . 心2 |
| Japan | $\cdots$ | . 51 | . 41 | - +2 | .46 | . 53 | . 11 | . 13 | .13 | . 16 | . 17 | . 14 |
| philipplaes |  | . 12 | . 10 | . 10 | . 14 | . 10 | .03 | .03 | .93 | . 07 | .02 | .05 |



## Consogration/Consure

1 calcurater as grisidinga of cocoa beans plus nee
lyroyages de sives de Eacao plus imporracions netees de chocolace produces la beans equivalene, weing the following conversion gaceors: prodults defrivis du cacao, chocolat ec produies a cocoa buters (1. umber of casea to cechnology)
cocoa powder and cake 1.18
1.25
( 0.20 is used $1 n$ nose cases where
re identified as
conealning only hale
of cocoa)
The councries included in the table account for approximately 95 per cenc of world consumpeion
(SOURCL: International Cocoa Organization)
".milk crumb". $0.15^{\text {de cacaol }}$
2 Las pays reperiorifs dank ce cableau sepretsencont environ 95 pour cent de la concommazion mondiale.
1 Calculado como moliendas de cacao en grano mis mportaciones netes de productos de cacao y de chocolate en su equivalence en grano. empleando loe siguzences faccores de conversión: manteca de cacao
1.33 (se mplea 1.20 en una serie de casos pera reflejar diferente tecnoloala)
cacao en polvo y torca
pasta de cacao chocolace y productos de chocolare
1.18
1.18
1.25
0.40 (se emplea 0.20 en ayuelios casos en que los productos de chocolate contaenen solamente la miead de la cantidad normal de cacaol
and at 0.5 to 0.9 kgs . in Greece, Italy, Spain, Yugoslavia, Bulgaria, USSR, Ecuador and Japan. Per capita consumption has shown no general tendency to rise in the developed countries, with many of these countries recording in the most recent year for which information is available, consumption levels below - and often well below - their peak levels for the 1970's.
10. Factors affecting cocoa consumption include the use of cocoa butter substitutes. Substitutes made from processed vegetable oils (e.g. coconut oil, palm-kernel oil, cotton-seed oil and soyabean oil), as well as shea and illipe nut butter which have characteristics similar to cocoa butter, tend to be increasingly used when cocoa butter is a relatively expensive commodity. Cocoa substitutes are used in the coating or fillings for biscuits, cakes and ice-cream and in baking chocolate, with the addition of cocoa powder to give chocoiate flavour and colour to the end product. The United States is the largest consuming country of cocoa porder for such uses.

## SECTION II: Exports and imports

## Exports

11. The exports of different forms of cocoa from all developing countries in 1978-1981 are indicated below in both voiume and value terms.
$Q=$ thousand tonnes; $V=$ US\$ million

|  |  | 1978 |  | 1979 |  | 1980 |  | 1981 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cocoa beans | $\stackrel{\mathbf{Q}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,031 \\ & 3,285 \end{aligned}$ | (75\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 976 \\ 3,177 \end{array}$ | (73\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 1,040 \\ & 2,897 \end{aligned}$ | (72.5\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 1,108 \\ & 1,967 \end{aligned}$ | (58\%) |
| Cocoa paste | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Q} \\ & \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & 481 \end{aligned}$ | (11\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 142 \\ & 558 \end{aligned}$ | (13\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 149 \\ & 454 \end{aligned}$ | (11.5\%) | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 310 \end{aligned}$ | (11\%) |
| Cocoa butter | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Q} \\ & \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ 328 \end{array}$ | (8\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 404 \end{array}$ | (9\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \\ 529 \end{array}$ | (13\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 87 \\ 527 \end{array}$ | (18\%) |
| Cocoa powder and cake | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Q} \\ & \mathrm{~V} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \\ 275 \end{array}$ | (6\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \\ 215 \end{array}$ | (5\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | (3\%) | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ 83 \end{array}$ | (3\%) |
| Total | V | 4,369 | (100\%) | 4,354 | (100\%) | 4,005 | (100\%) | 2,887 | (100\%) |

(Source: FAO Trade Yearbooks)
The value of cocoa exports from developing countries continued to decline in recent years after reaching a peak in 1978.
12. Cocoa beans accounted for some 73 per cent of the total exports of cocoa and cocoa products from developing countries in 1979 and 1980 and 68 per cent in 1981 as compared with some 80 per cent in mid-1970s. Conversely, this means that the share of cocoa products increased from some 20 per cent in mid 1970 s to about 30 per cent in recent years.
13. Major exporting countries of cocoa in different forms and their exports ( $\$$ million) in 1979 were as follows:

| Cocoa beans: | Ghana 672, Ivory Coast 547, Brazil 487, Nigeria 363+, Cameroon 200*, Papua New Guinea 95, Dominican Republic 73, Malaysia 58 and Ecuador 42. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cocoa paste: | Ecuador 195, Ivory Coast 97+, Cameroon 57+, Ghana 55+ and Nigeria 15+. |
| Cocoa butter: | EEC 172, Brazil 119, Ivory Coast 61, Ghana 44i, Nigeria 36+, Cameroon 30, Ecuador 28 and Mexico 26. |
| Cocoa powder: | EEC 192, Brazil 71, Ivory Coast 10+. |

14. Cocoa is one of the most important commodities in the export earnings of developing countries and a number of developing countries are highly dependent on this product for an imporfant part of their merchandize export earnings, as indicated below for 1979.

| Ghana | 73 per cent | Sierra Leone | 20 per cent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grenada | 30 per cent | Togo | 16 per cent |
| Benin | 35 per cent | Ecuador | 14 per cent |
| Western Samoa | 30 per cent | Papua New Guinea | 10 per cent |
| Ivory Coast | 28 per cent | Dominican Republic | 9 per cent |
| Cameroon | 25 per cent | Brazil | 4 per cent |

${ }^{1}$ Some of the data are estimates.

## Imports

15. Wofld imports of cocoa and cocoa products amounted to $\$ 5,421$ million in $1979^{\circ}$; the eleven developed country markets listed in Table 5 accounted for some 73 per cent of such imports. The EEC and the United States were by far the largest markets accounting for 41 and 21 per cent of world imports respectively. Details according to different forms of cocoa are given below.


FAO import data (including intra-EEC trade) shows recent developrents in world cocoa imports, as follows:

|  |  | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cocoa beans | $Q$ | 1,040 | 1,092 | 1,265 |
|  | $V$ | 3,781 | 3,294 | 2,593 |
| Cocoa paste | $Q$ | 136 | 147 | 147 |
|  | $V$ | 558 | 506 | 382 |
| Cocoa butter | $Q$ | 183 | 183 | 187 |
|  | $V$ | 1,001 | 155 | 953 |
| Cocoa powder | $Q$ | 161 | 386 | 191 |
| and cake | $V$ | 634 | 5,341 | 4,169 |

16. Other importing countries and their imports - \$ million - in 1979 included the following:

| Cocoa beans | USSR 471, Poland 83, Hungary 52, Czechoslovakia 51 , Yugoslavia 49, Romania $40^{+}$, Spaịn 38 , China $32^{+}$, Singapore 26 and Philippines 14 . |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cocoa paste | Poland $55^{+}$, Argentina $23^{+}$, USSR 21, Bu $\ddagger$ garia 20 , Yugoslavia 16 , Hungary $11^{+}$, Colombia $9^{+}$. |
| Cocoa butter | USSR 1978: 36, Yugoslavia 7, Israel 5, Argentina 5, South Africa 5, and Poland $3^{+}$. |
| Cocoa powder | Hungary 11, Romania $4^{+}$, Israel 4, Singapore 3, Yugoslavia 3 and Czechoslovakia $3^{+}$. |

17. Table 5 provides 1980 import value data of the eleven developed country markets for different forms of cocoa. The respective shares of cocoa beans, paste, butter and powder in total cocoa imports into these markets were 64.9, 11.5, 16.4 and 7.2 per cent in 1979 and $64.6,10.2,20.9$ and 4.3 per cent in 1980. The combined share of the various processed forms of cocoa increased slightly from 33.4 per cent in 1977 to 35.1 per cent in 1979 and 35.4 per cent in 1980 .
18. Table 5 indicates that in 1979 and 1980 the share of processed forms of cocoa in all cocoa imports was highest in Australia, Austrial, Canada, Finland, Sweden, Switzerland and the United States (46-77 per cent in 1979 and 52-81 per cent in 1980) and lower in the EEC, Japan, New Zealand and Norway (18-39 per cent in 1979 and $22-46$ per cent in 1980). In the former group of countries the tariffs applicable to imports of processed forms of cocoa from developing countries were generally lower than in the latter group of countries, with the exception of Norway which maintains internal taxes on cocoa paste and butter. While the share increased between 1979 and 1980 in Japan (from 39 per cent to 47 per cent), New Zealand (from 18 per cent to 23 per cent) and Norway (from 35 per cent to 45 per cent), it decreased in the EEC from 23 per cent to 22 per cent.
19. While developing countries are predominant or major suppliers of processed forms of cocoa to major markets, they are often less important as suppliers to smaller markets. This is because users in smaller markets often purchase ready-for-use quality goods from suppliers in adjacent major markets, thus avoiding the costs of keeping large stocks. The

[^1]IABLE 5
Imports of cocoa in different foran into developed country markets fron all sources and from developing countries;
share of cocoa in different foras in ieports of cocoa in all forms (1980)

| Develuped country cuatelt | Cocoa Leana |  | Cucom parte and entie |  | Curua batter |  | Cocan fowder. nlıawectened |  | cocon ith all furms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Shale <br> sin iaporte <br> of all <br> cocus <br> $(y)$ | Japortci frum all aources (from ldc's) (1 millicil) | Ehinve <br> in jmprese <br> uf nll <br> coacoa <br> (名) |  | ```M Elame``` |  | Silare <br> in ineorto <br> of $2 l l$ <br> carcua <br> (g) | Importn from all sinurces (from lde. (f mililure) | $\qquad$ |
| Alstralin | $\begin{gathered} 31.5 \\ (31.4) \end{gathered}$ | 44.2 | $\begin{gathered} 6.6 \\ (4.0) \end{gathered}$ | 9.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 26.9 \\ & (7.8) \end{aligned}$ | 37.7 | $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | 8.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 71.3 \\ & (46.0) \end{aligned}$ | 100 |
| Auctris. | $\begin{gathered} 30.9 \\ (30.9) \end{gathered}$ | 48.4 | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \\ (13.4) \end{gathered}$ | 22.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.5 \\ & (8.8) \end{aligned}$ | 25.9 | $\begin{gathered} 2.1 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | . 3.3 | $\begin{gathered} 63.8 \\ (53.1) \end{gathered}$ | 100 |
| Cunnute | $\begin{gathered} 35.9 \\ (22.2) \end{gathered}$ | 39.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.8 \\ & (7.3) \end{aligned}$ | 26.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 25.2 \\ & (7.9) \end{aligned}$ | 27.7 | $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | 6.7 | $\begin{gathered} 91.0 \\ (37.5) \end{gathered}$ | 100 |
| 5xC | $\begin{gathered} 1588.6 \\ (1586.3) \end{gathered}$ | 78.0 | $\begin{gathered} 142.9 \\ (142.9) \end{gathered}$ | 7.0 | $\begin{gathered} 303.5 \\ (256.8) \end{gathered}$ | 14.9 | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | 0.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2036.3 \\ (1987.0) \end{gathered}$ | 100 |
| Jupun | $\begin{gathered} 71.0 \\ (71.0) \end{gathered}$ | 53.5 | $\begin{gathered} 12.7 \\ (12.1) \end{gathered}$ | 9.6 | $\begin{gathered} 40.4 \\ (24.9) \end{gathered}$ | 30.4 | $\begin{gathered} 8.6 \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | 6.5 | $\begin{gathered} 132.7 \\ (108.4) \end{gathered}$ | 100 |
| Myu 2indant | $\begin{gathered} 16.7 \\ (16.7) \end{gathered}$ | 76.6 | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | 11.0 | 2.5 (0.1) | 11.5 | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | 0.9 | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \\ (17.6) \end{gathered}$ | 100 |
| Findent | 5.0 $(5.0)$ | 18.7 | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | 15.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \\ & (0.0) \end{aligned}$ | 52.1 | $\begin{gathered} 3.8 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | 14.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 26.7 \\ & (6.7) \end{aligned}$ | 100 |
| Horway | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \\ (18.2) \end{gathered}$ | 55.2 | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | 2.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.5 \\ & (0.0) \end{aligned}$ | 37.9 | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ (0.0) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 | $\begin{gathered} 33.0 \\ (18.2) \end{gathered}$ | 100 |
| Sweden | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (15.2) \end{gathered}$ | 26.2 | $\begin{gathered} 4.3 \\ (4.3) \end{gathered}$ | 7.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \\ & (0.0) \end{aligned}$ | 47.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | 18.6 | $\begin{gathered} 58.0 \\ (19.6) \end{gathered}$ | 100 |
| Svilzeriand | $\begin{gathered} 57.7 \\ (53.9) \end{gathered}$ | 47.1 | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | 2.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 58.5 \\ & (0.7) \end{aligned}$ | 48.3 | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ | 1.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 121.1 \\ & (57.1) \end{aligned}$ | 100 |
| dalted Stutes | $\begin{gathered} 414.8 \\ (410.6) \end{gathered}$ | 47.0 | $\begin{gathered} 149.1 \\ (144.7) \end{gathered}$ | 16.9 | $\begin{gathered} 210.7 \\ (199.4) \end{gathered}$ | 23.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 108.8^{*} \\ & (45.7) \end{aligned}$ | 12.3 | $\begin{gathered} 883.4 \\ (800.4) \end{gathered}$ | 100 |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 2285.5 \\ (2261.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 64.6 | $\begin{gathered} 363.4 \\ (333.6) \end{gathered}$ | 10.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 738.3 \\ (506.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 151.9 \\ & (50.2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 | $\begin{array}{r} 3539.1 \\ (3151.6) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 100 |

[^2]highest-value cocoa powders are those manufactured from varicus types of beans in accordance with users' requirements as to flavour, colour, fat content and other quality specifications, and are ready for use by buyers without any further processing. Certain enterprises in larger markets supply such standard quality products: their operations typically include the blending of imported with locally-produced cocoa products and the processing of imports into higher quality products.

## SECTION III: Commercial policy situarion

20. Table 6 summarizes the post-Tokyo Round tariff situation in eleven developed country markets and provides information on certain non-tariff measures. The incidences of specific duties shown in the updated table are often higher than those shown in the original document, reflecting a significant decline in cocoa prices in 1980 compared with those in 1977. A substantial number of m.f.n. concessions and GSP contributions were made on cocoa and cocoa products in the Tokyo Round. Many of these have already been implemented without staging on a de jura or de facto basis. Annex 2 provides data on both pre- and post-Tokyo Round tariff rates for these markets at the tariff line level together with corresponding trade flow data for 1980. An overall picture of the results obtained in the Tokyo Round for the tropical beverage items (coffee, tea and cocoa) is provided in document COM.TD/W/310 (paragraph 14 etc.).

## Tariffs on cocoa beans

21. New Zealand granted m.f.n. duty-free treatment (bound) in the Tokyo Round on cocoa beans, raw or roasted; pre-Tokyo Round rates on raw and roasted beans had been $0.452 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{kg}$. (GSP - 0 per cent) and 50 per cent respectively. Canada, Japan, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and the United States had already conceded such treatment before the Tokyo Round. Australian imports of cocoa beans under the m.f.n. tariff are subject to a temporary revenue duty of 2 per cent; no duty is chargeable under the GSP. Finland has bound its tariff on raw beans at free and accords GSP duty-free treatment to roasted beans (m.f.n. rate: 5 per cent).
22. With respect to raw beans in husks, Austria reduced its m.f.n. duty from 7 per cent to 4 per cent ${ }^{2}$, and grants duty-free treatment to developing countries under the GSP. For other beans, Austria reduçed both m.f.n. and GSP rates from 10 per cent and 5 per cent to 6 per cent ${ }^{2}$ and zero per cent respectively. The EEC reduced its bound m.f.n. rate on cocoa beans from 5.4 per cent to 3 per cent in the Tokyo Round. Under the Lome Convention, eligible African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) developing countries are ent: tied to duty-free entry for cocoa beans.

## Tariff on cocoa paste

23. Cocoa paste falling within CCCN heading 18.03 covers paste obtained by grinding roasted cocoa beans, as well as cocoa cake, i.e. paste partially or completely defatted by extracting cocoa butter. Australia, Canada and Sweden, which had given duty-free treatment to developing countries under the GSP, conceded m.f.n. duty-free treatment (bound) in the Tokyo Round:

[^3]developing countries were predominant or major suppliers of the item in two of these three markets. The United States had already accorded bound m.f.n. duty-free treatment before the Tokyo, kound. Austria reduced its m.f.n. rate from 25 per cent to 15 per cent ${ }_{1}^{1}$, and Finland reduced its m.f.n. rate from 5 per cent to 3.8 per cent ; these countries grant duty-free treatment to developing countries under the GSP. Switzerland, which maintains an m.f.n. rate of SwF $0.40 / \mathrm{kg}$., also grants GSP duty-free treatment.
24. In the Tokyo Round, the EEC introduced a GSP rate of 11 per cent (m.f.n. rate: 15 per cent) and New Zealand, a GSP rate of 15 per cent (m.f.n. rate: 30 per cent). Imports into the EEC from ACP and least-developed countries are eligible for duty-free treatment. Japan maintains an m.f.n. rate of 10 per cent and a GSP rate of 5 per cent on undefatted paste, and an m.f.n. rate of 20 per cent and a GSP rate of 10 per cent on defatted paste.

## Tariffs on cocoa butter

25. With respect to cocoa butter, Australia and the United States, which had given duty-free treatment to developing countries under the GSP, bound their m.f.n. tariffs at zero in the Tokyo Round. Developing countries, which were predominant suppliers of the item to the United States, can now benefit from duty-free access, without the insecurity resulting from limitations to GSP treatment. ${ }^{2}$ Canada and New Zealand had already accorded m.f.n. duty-free treatment (bound) before the Tokyo Round. A number of other countries grant duty-free treatment to developing countries under the GSP: Austria - which reduced its m.f.n. rate from 8 per cent to 5 per cent, Japan - which reduced its m.f.n. rate from 5 per cent to 2.5 per cent, Finland - which maintained an m.f.n. rate of 2 per cent, Sweden which maintains an m.f.n. rate of SKr $0.10 / \mathrm{kg}$., and Switzerland - which maintains an m.f.n. rate of SwF $0.025 / \mathrm{kg}$. The EEC, which maintains an m.f.n. rate of 12 per cent, grants a GSP rate of 8 per cent to imports from its GSP beneficiaries within an annual quota of 22,000 tonnes; duty-free treatment is applicable to imports from ACP and least-developed countries. The GSP tariff quota has never been fully utilized by GSP beneficiary countries and territories.

## Tariffs on unsweetened cocoa powder

26. With respect to unsweetened cocoa powder, Australia bound its tariff at free in the Tokyo Round. Finland, Norway and Sweden grant duty-free treatment to developing countries under the GSP; their m.f.n. rates are 10 per cent, Nkr $0.40 / \mathrm{kg}$. and SKr $0.10 / \mathrm{kg}$. respectively. The United States, which maintains an m.f.n. rate of 0.37 cents/lb, grants GSP duty-free treatment subject to the "competitive need" limitations under its GSP scheme. ${ }^{3}$

[^4]27. In the Tokyo Round, Canada reduced both its m.f.n. and GSP rates, from 15 and 10 per cent to 10 and 5 per cent respectively. The EEC, which maintains an m.f.n. rate of 16 per cent, introduced a GSP rate of 11 per cent in the Tokyo Round and has reduced further the rate to 9 per cent under its 1981 scheme; imports from ACP and least-developed countries are eligible for duty-free access. New Zealand, which maintains an m.f.n. rate of 30 per cent, introduced a GSP rate of 15 per cent in the Tokyo Round. Japan reduced its m.f.n. rate from 30 per cent to 21.5 per cent and grants a GSP rate of 15 per cent. Austria maintains an m.f.n. rate of 27 per cent and a GSP rate of 7 per cent, and Switzerland, an m.f.n. rate of SwF $0.40 / \mathrm{kg}$. and a GSP rate of SwF $0.20 / \mathrm{kg}$.

## Import restrictions

28. New Zealand maintains quantitative restrictions on imports of cocoa paste and powder. As a result of the Tokyo Round, New Zealand enlarged its quota for cocoa powder. There is some provision for local manufacturers to import cocoa paste and cocoa powder where local manufacture is not sufficient to meet demands and/or where pastes and powders are of types not manufactured in New Zealand.

## Selective internal taxes

29. Internal taxes imposed specifically on such tropical products as coffee, cocoa, tea and bananas are a legacy of the time when these products had been considered as "easily taxable luxury items". Such taxes have been gradually reduced or removed by, or have become less significant as revenue sources in, a number of developed countries as these tropical products become ordinary consumer goods, and as general internal taxes such as the value-added tax become increasingly important as a source of government revenue.
30. Selective taxes on tropical products have drawn particular attention in the work of GATT for developing countries since 1959. A report of Committee III in 1959 stated that "in regard to coffee, tea and cocoa, the taxes impinge exclusively on imports from the less-developed countries as there is no domestic production in the country imposing the taxes" (BISD, Eighth Supplement, page 138). The Ministerial Declaration of 1961 stated that "fiscal charges, whether imposed as tariff duties or internal taxes may inhibit efforts directed towards increasing consumption of particular products important in the trade of less-developed countries and, even where applied equally to imports and to competing domestic products, can be a serious obstacle to the expansion of trade" (BISD Tenth Supplement, page 30). One of the results of the GATT activities in this area was the adoption of the provisions of GATT Article XXXVII:I(c) in Part IV with respect to "fiscal measures which would hamper, or which hamper, significantly the growth of consumption of primary products, in raw or processed form wholly or mainly produced in the territories of less-developed contracting parties, and which are applied specifically to those products".
31. As far as cocoa is concerned, selective internal taxes remain in Denmark, France, Italy, Japan and Norway. Most of these taxes are specific rates. The rates of these taxes, as well as ad valorem incidences of specific rates on c.i.f. value, are indicated below.
${ }^{1}$ This concession is being implemented by stages. 2
A quantitative restriction on roasted cocoa beans has been removed.

| CCCN | Description | Denmark | France | Italy | Japan | Norway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1801 | Cocoa beans | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FF } 0.07 / \mathrm{kg} \\ & (0.6 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lit } 180 / \mathrm{kg*} \\ & (6.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| 1803 | Cocoa paste | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{DKr} 6 / \mathrm{kg} \\ & (32.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FF } 0.085 / \mathrm{kg} \\ & (0.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lit } 225 / \mathrm{kg} \\ & (7.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Nkr} 7 / \mathrm{kg} * * \\ & (45.5 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1804 | Cocca butter | $\underset{(17.1 \%)}{\text { DKI } 6 / \mathrm{kg}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FF } 0.085 / \mathrm{kg} \\ & (0.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lit } 280 / \mathrm{kg} \\ & (4.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Nkr} 7 / \mathrm{kg**} \\ & (25.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1805 | Cocoa powder unsweetened | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DKr 6/kg } \\ & (55.0 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FF } 0.085 / \mathrm{kg} \\ & (0.9 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lit } 170 / \mathrm{kg}^{+} \\ & \text {Lit } 225 / \mathrm{kg} \\ & (12.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 5\% | - |

> *The rate of tax on unroasted cocoa beans. The rates on roasted beans are as follows:
> roasted, not shelled: Lit $200 / \mathrm{kg}$ (incidence not available) roasted, shelled, crushed: Lit $225 / \mathrm{kg}$ (incidence not available)
**tax rate effective 1 April 1981. The previous rate was Nkr 5 per kg.
+cocoa powder containing less than one per cent of cocoa butter.
32. The ad valorem incidences of specific rates indicated above have been obtained on the basis of the average import unit value of the country concerned in 1981. By nature of a specific rate, its incidence increases when import prices in terms of the currency of the country concerned decrease, and vice versa. Such import prices have fluctuated in recent years as a result of fluctuations in cocoa prices on the world market and in currency exchange rates. For example, reduced cocoa prices as well as revaluations of a currency bring about increases in the incidence of a specific tax rate, as was the case in certain countries in recent years. It may be noted that the incidence varies also according to the quality of imported cocoa products.
33. The taxes in Denmark and Norway add significantly to the prices of cocoa products. In the Tckyo Round negotiations, some member countries of the EEC made statements with regard to selective internal taxes on tropical products (see COM.TD/W/329, Annex 3).
34. In Switzerland, a contribution is levied on cocoa imports towards a guarantee fund for compulsory reserves. The stated aims of the fund are to protect the holders of stocks against possible losses resulting from dissolution of the compulsory reserves, and to cover their warehouse costs. The contribution is regarded by the Swiss authorities as a fee for services rendered by a mutual aid organization for holders of stocks.
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| PhODUCT | AUSI'RALIM | AUSTMIA | CANADA | EEC | Japan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEN } \\ & \text { ZEALAND } \end{aligned}$ | Finland | NORHAY | SWEDEN | SWII'ZEKLAND | UNITED STATES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCN 1801 Cocoa beams; | $0 \%+$ <br> 2\% temporary revenue duty isp-O\% | Raw in husks: 4\% <br> 1982-5.9\% <br> GSP-0\% <br> EFI'A-0\% <br> ESP-0\% <br> Other: 6\%B <br> 1982-8.5\% <br> CSP-0\% <br> EFTA-O\% <br> ESP-5\% | 0\%8 | 368 | OXB | $0 \% 6$ | Kaw: OXB <br> Roasted: 5\%B CSP-0\% <br> EFTA-O\% | O\%B | 0\%8 | 0\%B | 08B |
|  |  |  |  | ACP-O\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | TUR-0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | STX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCCN } 1803 \\ & \text { Cocoa paste } \end{aligned}$ | $0 \%$ B | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \mathrm{~B} \\ & \text { 1982-21.2\% } \\ & \text { GSP-OX } \\ & \text { EFPAA-O\% } \\ & \text { ESP-O\% } \end{aligned}$ | 0\%B | 15\%B | Not defatted 10\%B <br> CSP-5\% <br> LDC-0\% | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & \text { GSP-15\% } \\ & \text { AUS, CAN-15\% } \\ & \text { SPARTECA-O\% } \\ & \text { QR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \% \mathrm{~B} \\ & 1982-4.7 \% \\ & \text { GSP-O\% } \\ & \text { EFTA-O\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \% \mathrm{BB} \\ & \text { STX } \end{aligned}$ | 0\%B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SWF 0.40/kg.B } \\ & (6.4 \%) \\ & \text { GSP-OW } \\ & \text { EFTA-O\% } \\ & \text { ESP-O\% } \end{aligned}$ | 0\%B |
|  |  |  |  | GSP-11\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ACP-0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | LDC-0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | ESP-6\% ${ }_{\text {SPT-0\% }} /$ | Defatted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | STX | 20\% ${ }_{\text {GSP-10\% }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LDC-O\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCCN 1804 } \\ & \text { Cocoa butter } \end{aligned}$ | 0\%B |  | 0\%B |  | 2.5\%B | 0\% ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \mathrm{~B} \\ & \operatorname{GSP}-0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O\%B } \\ & \text { sTXX } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { SKr 0.10/kg.B } \\ \text { (0.4\%) } \\ \text { GSP-O\% } \\ \text { EFTA-O\% } \end{array}$ | SwF 0.025/kg. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | 0\%B |
|  |  |  |  | GSP-8\% ${ }^{2 /}$ |  |  |  |  |  | (0.2\%) |  |
|  |  |  |  | ACP-0\% |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EFTA-0\% }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {EFPP-O\% }}^{\text {ESP }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | ESP-4.8\% SPT-O\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | STX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCCN 1805 Cocor powder unfivectened | $0 \% \mathrm{~B}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 27 \% \text { B } \\ & \text { GSP-7\% } \\ & \text { EATA-O\% } \\ & \text { ESP-14\% } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1C\%B } \\ & \text { cSP-5\% } \\ & \text { iDC-OO } \end{aligned}$ | 16\%B | $\begin{aligned} & 21.5 \% \mathrm{~B} \\ & \text { GSP } 15 \% \\ & \text { LDC-0\% } \\ & \text { STX } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30\%B } \\ & \text { GSP-15\% } \\ & \text { AUS,CAN-15\% } \\ & \text { SPARTECA-O\% } \\ & \text { QR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \mathrm{~B} \\ & \text { GSP-0\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { NKr } 0.140 / \mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{~B} \\ (7.22) \\ \text { GSP-O\% } \\ \text { EFTA-O\% } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { SKr 0.10/Kg.B } \\ & (0.7 \%) \\ & \text { GSP-O\% } \\ & \text { EFTA-O\% } \end{aligned}\right.$ | SwF 0.28/kg. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | GSP-9\% |  |  |  |  |  | (5.97) $\operatorname{cosp}-0.20 / \mathrm{kg}$. |  |
|  |  |  |  | ACP-0\% |  |  |  |  |  | GSP-0.20/kg. LDC-O\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1.7.82) |  |
|  |  |  |  | ESP-6. ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S SP1-08 |  |  |  |  |  | EFTA-O\% |  |




3/In 1978, 1979, 1880 this GSP rate did not apply to Brazil and in 1979 to Ivory Coast as well under the competitive need limitation.
LDC Preferential tariff rate applying to least-developed developing countries. SPARTECA = member countries of the South Pacific Regional Trade and Economic Co-operation Agreement (the Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, Nauru, Niue, Papua New
Guinea, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu and Western Samoa).

Preferential rates applicable to specified countries have been indicated after country name abbreviation of the country concerned:
a

TABLE 6. Post-Tokyo Kound tariff and non-tariff measure situation

## SECTION IV: Summary of the post-Tokyo Round sicuation

35. The information presented in this note shows that significant progress was made in liberalizing trade in cocoa and cocoa products in the Tokyo Round. Four developed countries, Australia, Finland, Sweden and the United States, now apply duty-free treatment to imports from developing countries under either the m.f.n. or the GSP tariffs. However, it should be noted that in the United States some developing country suppliers have not been eligible for GSP treatment on cocna butter and unsweetened cocoa powder in certain years due to the "competitive need" provisions of the United States scheme. In a further four countries, Austria, Canada, Norway and Switzerland, cocoa and cocoa products other than unsweetened cocoa powder from developing countries are eligible for duty-free import; duties on unsweetened cocoa powder facing developing countries in these markets are 7 per cent or less. In New Zealand and Japan imports of both cocoa paste and unsweetened cocoa powder from developing countries are dutiable, while in the European Communities all imports of cocoa and cocoa products under both the m.f.n. and GSP tariffs are dutiable. However, in the Communities some 82 per cent of imports of cocoa and cocoa products are eligible for import free of duty from the ACP member States of the Lome Convention. Trade figures suggest that in certain markets tariff escalation may be a factor restraining the import of cocoa in its more processed forms.
36. The main non-tariff measures applying to cocoa and cocoa products in the developed country markets considered are the selective internal taxes levied in Denmark, France, Italy, Japan and Norway. In New Zealand, imports of cocoa paste and powder are subject to quantitative restrictions.

## ANNEX 1

Action in other international organizations

International Cocoa Agreement, 1980
The 1980 Cocoa Agreement, which replaced a 1975 agreement, entered into force on 1 August 1981. The membership of the International Cocoa Organization as of mid-1982 includes some forty exporting and importing countries, but does not include Ivory Coast, the largest exporting country of cocoa, and the United States, the largest importing country. The Agreement aims to keep world prices, as measured by a five-day indicator price, based on London and New York futures' prices, between 110 and 150 US cents per pound with minimum and maximum prices of 100 and 160 US cents respectively. The buffer stock would initially buy or sell when prices are below 110 US cents per 1 b . or above 150 US cents per 1 lb . respectively. These intervention prices are subject to two semi-automatic adjustments of four US cents each, upwards or downwards, triggered when buffer stock sales or purchases respectively exceed first 100,000 tonnes and then $175 ; 000$ tonnes. The price levels are also subject to review and possible revision by the Council of the new International Cocoa Agreement. The Agreement provides for a buffer stock of up to 250,000 tonnes (cocoa bean equivalent) to be financed through a levy on exports and imports and through the Common Fund when it is operational. The buffer stock manager is authorized to hold part of the stock ( 10,000 tonnes) in the form of cocoa paste. The Council may, by special vote, institute supplementary measures (e.g. export quotas) in the event that the buffer stock arrangement, after the full utilization of its initial capacity of 250,000 tonnes, proves to be inadequate to maintain cocoa prices between the minimum and maximum prices.

Buffer stock purchases under the Agreement commenced in September 1981 as prices were below the lower intervention level of 110 US cents per 1 lb . In early March 1982 buffer stock purchases amounted to some 100,000 tonnes valued at US $\$ 235$ million and the intervention prices were automatically reduced by four US cents/lb. At its meeting in January 1982, the Council decided to raise the levy on cocoa exports and imports from one cent/lb to two cents/lb as from October 1982 to finance additional buffer stock purchases. In June 1982, the IMF conveyed its conclusion not to support the Cocoa Agreement under its buffer stock financing facility.

## Recommended international standards for cocoa product.s (FAO/WHO)

The FAO/WHO Codex Alimentarius Comission, established to implement the Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme, adopted a Recommended International Standard for Cocoa Butters at its eleventh session in 1976 and a Recommended International Standard for Cocoa Powders (Cocoas) and Dry Cocoa-Sugar Mixtures at its twelfth session in 1978. The Codex Alimentarius includes provisions in respect of food hygiene, food additives, pesticide residues, contaminants, labelling and presentation, methods of analysis and sampling. As of 1 February 1981, two countries (Cyprus and the Philippines) had accepted the cocoa butter standard according to one of the methods of acceptance laid down in the General Principles of the Codex Alimentarius.

# ANNEX 2 <br> TARIFF AND TRADE FLOW DATA AT THE TARIFF LINE LEVEL <br> Explanatory notes 

With respect to tariff items of developed country markets, the tabulations attached provide information at the tariff line level on different tariff treatment (m.f.n., GSP, LDC, etc.) and the corresponding trade flows, as well as imports from individual main suppliers. Import figures refer to the year 1980. In the first line, tariff item number, product description, and quantity unit are indicated.

## TARIFFS

Column TYPE OF DUTY

```
MTN-B: Base rate for the Tokyo Round (pre-Tokyo Round m.f.n. rate)
MTN-F: Final rate (post-Tokyo round m.f.n. rate)
MFN 80: M.f.n. rate applicable in 1980
TEMP: Temporary m.f.n. rate in 1980
GSP-B: GSP rate in 1981 or }198
GSP-F: GSP rate related to post-MTN final rate (Austria, Canada,
    Japan and Switzerland)
LDC-B: Rate applying to least-developed countries in 1981 or }198
FTA: Rate applying to countries signatory to free-trade area
    agreement, e.g. EFTA
zONE: Rate applying to countries signatory to inter-zone free trade
    agreement (e.g. between EEC and EFTA)
PREF: Rates applicable under other preferential arrangement, e.g.
        ACP and Mediterranean countries for EEC.
```


## Column Rate

Percentage ad valorem or ad valorem equivalent of specific, mixed or compound rate is indicated with one decimal point. Ad valorem equivalent is based on imports in the year recorded.

## TRADE FLOW DATA

IMPORTS FROM (i) WORLD (= all sources) and (ii) GSP ORIGIN (= GSP beneficiary countries) are each sub-divided by TOT ( $=$ total imports irrespective of tariff treatment), MFN (= imports entitled to m.f.n. treatment), GSP (imports covered by GSP), LDC (imports entitled to LDC preference) and FTA, ZONE, PREF ( $=$ imports entitled to free trade area or other preferential agreements). It may be noted that "imports covered by GSP" do not take ints account quantitative and origin rule limitations and non-utilization of GSP and that actual imports under GSP are smaller than imports recorded as GSP.

[^5]Some technical details on tariffs are provided in the following columns:
TARIFF RATE

| N | E | B | B | L | P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | S | D | C | I | C |
| T | T | G | V | M | V |

VALUE UNIT AD. V

Data in these columns are explained below.
Column NAT $=$ nature of duty rate (blank for ad valorem rate)
S for specific rate (e.g. \$4.00/kg);
$C$ for compound rate (e.g. $\$ 4.00 / \mathrm{kg}+10 \%$ );
M for mixed rate (e.g. $\$ 4.00 / \mathrm{kg}$. or $10 \%$ whichever is greater);
$\checkmark$ for variable levy or variable component;
0 for other natures.
Column EST $=$ estimation code
E for an estimated rate;
A when the rate is the simple arithmetic average of two or more rates (in cases where trade flow data are not available in the tariff detail and two or more rates are related to import data).

Column BDG = binding status (blank for ordinary binding)
C for ceiling binding;
P for a rate partially bound at a ceiling rate, and partially at the prevailing rate;
U for an unbound rate.
Column BCV = binding coverage (blank for fully bound)
X for partially bound.
Column LIM $=$ limitation code for GSP (blank for no limitation)
C for ceiling or competitive need provisions;
Q for quota or similar limitations.
Column PCV = preference coverage (blank for coverage of whole tariff line)

X for preference covering part of the tariff line.
Columns RATE/VALUE, UNIT, AD.V.
Specific, compound or mixed rate is indicated as illustrated below:
200/LB $=$ specific rate of 2.00 units of currency per pound;
$200 /$ LB $0112=$ compound rate of 2.00 units of currency per pound plus 11.2 per cent ad valorem;
$200 / L B 0112+=$ mixed rate of 2.00 units of currency per pound or 11.2 per cent ad valorem, whichever is higher;
200/LB 0112- = as above, whichever is lower.
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MAIN
SUPPLILRS
OTY: LB

IMPOPTS 1 S10NOI FPGM
nORLD 1 GSP I OEVELOPIMG CJUMTRIES
ORIGIN
value quantityi valuf. guantivy shape
 395298 332602* 334856 284933* 391234 329213* 9
CIV nga bra oom gha CIV NGA bra dom gha 51242049792653
51242049792653 QTY: LB
DOM ECU
DOM ECU , 4279970 BRA V 51536 Q47499728 DOM

$$
22 \quad 526736 \quad 100
$$

$\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{N}$

15S10 COCOA DEANS
tot
MFN


4F: 1 aO FREE
48:1 30
-.......... $15 \$ 30$ COCOA DEAN SHELLS
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { MTM } \\ \text { HIN-F } & \text { FREE } \\ \text { FREE }\end{array}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
22 & 526736 \\
22 & 526736
\end{array}
$$

## ;


IELLS. and cocoa cake not suitable for reduction to cocoa ponder
tot $1019 \quad 2312784 \quad 642 \quad 2102554 \quad 1005 \quad 2292784 \quad 98 \quad$ ECU NGA GHA bra eec ECU NGA GHA DRA EEC $\begin{array}{cc}490 & 110230 \\ 490 & 110230 \\ & \end{array}$

QTY: LB ecu bra per civ can NV9 A15 yヨd val nos



 15040 CGCGA, UnSuEETEAED, AND COCOA CAKE SUITABLE fOR REDUCTION TO CUCOA POWDER OTY: LB TOT 101449 144635* 3623468997553415948840045440 EEC BRA CIV NGA ECU EEC MGA CAN CHE DRA CIV ECU CHR CRI $\begin{array}{rrr}5360 & 019402501 \\ 28 & 0 & 8422 \\ 1796 & 0 & 2619128\end{array}$


[^0]:    a/ Calendar year daca for 1965/66-1972/73, e.g. 1973 for 1972/73.
    b/ Councries which individually grind less than 15,000 tonnes per annum in each period.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ A major part of Austrian imports of processed cocoa entered under GSP duty-free treatment applicable to cocoa paste and butter.

[^2]:    *Including cocoa cake reductible to cocoa pouder.
    SOURCE: UN trade statistics, NIMEXE and national sources.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ For technicai reasons, data on the European Economic Community in this note refers to the Community of nine.

    2
    These concessions are being implemented by stages.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ These concessions are being implemented by stages.
    ${ }^{2}$ The GSP treatment did not apply to Ivory Coast in 1977 and 1978 under the "competitive need" provisions.
    ${ }^{3}$ Under the "competitive need" provisions, Brazil was excluded from eligibility for GSP treatment in 1978, 1979 and 1980 and Ivory Coast in 1979.

[^5]:    With respect to imports from world and imports according to different tariff treatment, names of five main suppliers appear in column MAIN SUPPLIERS and imports from four main suppliers are indicated at the bottom. See page 22 for country name abbreviations.

    SHARE for the percentage share of GSP beneficiaries in imports from world
    $v$ for value in $\$ 1,000$
    Q for quantity

    * for quantity data not showing last three digits, the figure exceeding available space e.g. 199676* $=199676000$

