GENERAL AGREEMENT ON TARIFFS AND TRADE

RESTRICTED

COM.TD/W/403 28 May 1984

Limited Distribution

Original: English

1

Committee on Trade and Development Fifty-Third Session 20-22 June 1984

PART IV CONSULTATIONS: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Submission by the United States

In GATT/AIR/1907 Contracting Parties were invited to provide relevant information for the program of consultations in regard to the application of the provisions of Part IV of the GATT mandated at the 38th Session of the CONTRACTING PARTIES. This document responds to that invitation and contains an explanation of U.S. policies regarding trade with developing countries and a description of U.S. efforts to implement the provisions of Part IV of the General Agreement.

I. Introduction

The United States is committed to achieving sustained global economic growth through the expansion of international trade. A strong international trading system, predicated on the free operation of market forces and based on the principles of nondiscrimination and transparency, must be maintained in order to provide the conditions necessary for the growth and diversification of developing economies. All GATT member countries must assume the obligations of the international trading system, to the extent permitted by their respective levels of economic development, if such efforts are to be successful. The continued integration of developing countries into the existing institutional framework of the GATT is essential to the future well being of the trading system. To facilitate this process of integration, the United States is committed to the implementation of Part IV of the General Agreement.

II. U.S. Trade Trends

A. <u>General</u>

Historically, the United States has been less dependent on foreign trade as a factor contributing to economic growth than most GATT member countries. However, in recent years, the importance of trade to the U.S. economy has grown significantly. In 1980, nearly one third of all farm acreage in the United States was planted for export, while twenty percent of all goods manufactured in the United States were exported abroad--twice the figures of a decade earlier.

International trade accounts for a growing percentage of the U.S. gross national product (GNP). Whereas U.S. exports as a percentage of GNP grew slowly between 1960 and 1970, from 4.1 percent to 4.4 percent, by 1980 the share of total output accounted for by exports had nearly doubled to 8.2 percent. During the same period, U.S. imports more than doubled, rising from 4.1 percent to 9.3 percent of GNP.

The importance of trade to the U.S. economy is further demonstrated by the dramatic increase in the value of U.S. trade since 1970. Since that time, the value of U.S. two-way trade has grown from \$83 billion to nearly \$459 billion in 1983, an increase of 453 percent. During that period, U.S. trade with the European Community grew from \$21 billion to \$83 billion (319 percent), while trade with Canada increased from \$20 billion to \$90 billion (350 percent). During the same period, trade with Japan rose from \$11 billion to \$63 billion (473 percent). Especially dramatic was the growth in trade between the United States and the developing countries (LDCs): from \$5 billion to \$52 billion with oil-exporting LDCs (940 percent) and from \$18 billion to \$159 billion with non-oil-exporting LDCs (783 percent).

In addition to the dramatic increase in the value of U.S. trade during the past decade, a marked shift has occurred in the relative importance of various world regions to U.S. trade. Trade with traditional U.S. developed country (DC) trading partners has declined in relative importance as developing countries have emerged as growing sources of U.S. imports and important new markets for U.S. exports. United States trade (imports and exports) with developing countries as a percent of total U.S. trade rose from 28.3 percent in 1970 to 45.4 percent in 1980. During the same period, U.S. trade with Europe as a percent of total trade fell from 24.8 percent to 19.4 percent, trade with Canada declined from 24.4 percent to 16.7 percent, and trade with Japan fell from 12.8 percent to 11.2 percent.

B. U.S. Trade with the Developing Countries

A major result of U.S. trade policies during the 1970s and, early 1980s has been the shift in U.S. trade patterns from traditional markets in developed countries to emerging markets in the developing countries. Imports from developing countries as a percentage of total U.S. imports increased from 29 percent in 1973 to 48 Over the same period, the percentage of U.S. percent in 1980. exports purchased by developing countries grew from 29 percent to 37 percent. A significant element in the growth of U.S. exports to developing countries has been exports of manufactured goods, which have increased as demand for construction equipment and other capital goods has grown in the developing countries. The percentage of total U.S. exports of manufactured goods purchased by developing countries grew from 29 percent in 1970 to 45 percent in 1981. The developing countries also purchased a growing share of U.S. agricultural exports--40 percent in 1982, up from 29 percent in 1970. (See Appendix; Tables 1-4.)

Since 1980, U.S. imports from non-petroleum-exporting developing countries have risen substantially, while U.S. imports from oil-exporting developing countries have fallen. (See Figure 1.) During this time the United States has improved its trade position with the oil exporters; its trade balance with nonoil exporters, however, has steadily deteriorated. In fact, the U.S. trade deficit with non-petroleum-exporting developing countries now exceeds that with any other single country or regional grouping.

Figure 1

U.S. Trade balance with LDCs, 1980-83* (\$ Billions)

	1980	1981	1982	1983
All LDCs	-36.0	-27.3	-16.3	-30.0
OPEC	-37.6	-27.9	- 8.3	- 8.2
Non-OPEC	+ 1.7	+ 0.5	- 8.0	-21.8

* fas/fas valuations for 1980-1, fas/cus for 1982-3.

C. Changes in Trade Composition with Developing Countries

Overall trends among developing countries suggest increasing specialization in the composition of their exported products over time. Whereas in 1979 U.S. imports from developing countries comprised 23.7 percent of total U.S. imports of manufactures, in 1983 their share had risen to 28.3 percent. Between 1979 and 1983 the value of U.S. imports of manufactured products from developing countries rose 80 percent, while imports from developed countries increased by only 42 percent. In that period, the developing countries' share of U.S. chemical imports rose from 8.9 percent to 13.7 percent, of manufactured goods by material from 24.6 percent to 28.9 percent, of machinery and transport equipment from 14.9 percent to 19.7 percent, and of miscellaneous manufactured articles from 50.8 percent to 54.9 percent. (See Appendix; Table 5.)

At a lower level of data aggregation these trends are more readily apparent. The leading items imported by the United States at the two-digit SITC level from developing countries in 1983 after petroleum (\$29.1 billion) were apparel and clothing (\$7.9 billion), electrical machinery (\$6.8 billion), and telecommunications equipment (\$4.7 billion). Imports of coffee, tea, cocca and spices, the second largest import category in 1979, fell to sixth by 1983. Conversely, imports of office machines, the twenty-third largest category in 1979, rose to tenth place by 1983. Between 1982 and 1983 imports of office machines from developing countries rose by 119 percent. (See Appendix; Table 6.) United States import trade data reflects the increasing technological and production capabilities of the fast-growing economies of certain developing countries. For example, total U.S. imports from Korea, Hong Kong and Singapore grew at a rate of 25.4 percent annually during the period from 1962 to 1980. But much faster growth, ranging from 37 percent to 69 percent annually, occurred in U.S. imports of non-electrical and electrical machinery, office machines and telecommunications equipment from these countries. Electrical machinery imports alone totaled \$2.6 billion in 1980, nearly a quarter of the value of total U.S. imports from these three countries.

A similar pattern, albeit not yet as fully developed, can be seen in aggregate trade data for Mexico and Brazil. The areas of highest growth in U.S. imports from these two countries are in electrical machinery, telecommunications equipment and electromedical equipment. Electrical machinery imports, which grew 68 percent annually between 1962 and 1980, totaled \$1.7 billion in 1980, 10 percent of the value of total U.S. imports from these two countries. U.S. imports of aircraft, motor vehicles and other manufactured goods from Brazil and Mexico have grown rapidly as well. Foodstuffs and raw materials still dominate U.S. imports from these two countries, accounting for roughly 60 percent of total U.S. imports, although this percentage has been declining in recent years.

These patterns are not limited only to U.S. trade with the more developed developing countries. The Philippines in 1963 exported to the United States about \$300 million worth of products of which sugar amounted to over 50 percent. By 1981, electrical machinery was the leading Phillipine export to the United States while sugar had fallen to fifth place, and the total value of Philipine exports to the United States had exceeded \$1 billion.

Similarly, in 1963, Malaysia's exports to the United States totaled \$170 million with 97 percent of the total comprised of non-ferrous metals and rubber. In 1981, U.S. imports of electrical machinery alone from Malaysia reached \$1.0 billion, accounting for nearly half of total Malaysian exports to the U.S. market in that year.

D. Trade in Agricultural Goods

U.S. agricultural imports from developing countries totaled \$9.6 billion in 1983, compared with \$8.8 billion in 1982, and \$10.4 billion in 1981. Agricultural imports from developing countries in those three years averaged 44 percent higher than in the years 1975-1977. Comparison of the two periods shows the largest increases in imports to have been from the more advanced developing countries. U.S. agricultural exports to developing countries totaled \$14.4 billion in 1983, compared with \$12.8 billion in 1982, and \$16.0 billion in 1981. Since the mid-1970's annual exports of U.S. agricultural products to developing countries have more than doubled. As was the case with imports, the largest increases in exports have been to the more advanced developing countries. In 1983, the share of total U.S. agricultural exports to developing countries was 40 percent and in 1982 and 1981 it was 35 and 37 percent, respectively. In most earlier years the developing country share was below 35 percent. Approximately three-fifths of all U.S. agricultural exports to developing countries consist of bulk commodities such as grain and cotton and two-fifths of higher value products such as fresh fruits and vegetables and processed foods.

E. Summary

It is clear that the international trade policies implemented by the United States in the fifteen years since the establishment of Part IV have resulted in the growth, evolution, and diversification of trade between the United States and the developing countries. Most importantly, these polices reflect the continuing commitment of the United States to the creation and maintenance of the dynamic trading environment that has offered unprecedented opportunities for economic growth to developing countries which have followed sound economic and trade policies.

III. U.S. Trade Policy Toward Developing Countries and Part IV of the General Agreement

Since the establishment of Part IV in 1968, the United States has consistently implemented national and international economic policies designed to provide opportunities for developing countries pursuing sound economic and trade policies to enhance their economic development. These policies have contributed significantly to the growth and diversification of the economies of the developing countries, and to their assumption of a greater role in the international trading system.

From the U.S. perspective, one of the most important elements in the integration of the developing countries into the GATT system has been the increased willingness of the developing countries to participate in multilateral efforts to liberalize international trade. Working together during the Tokyo Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations, developed and developing countries made meaningful improvements in the operation of the GATT through the Framework Agreement, and for the first time GATT members negotiated seriously to curtail the less transparent nontariff disruptions to trade. The United States encouraged the participation of the developing countries in the negotiation of the MIN codes on nontariff measures. These agreements demonstrated that the GATT can be strengthened to accomodate the changing international economic environment, and in particular the needs of developing countries. The United States strongly believes that the continued participation of all GATT members, developed and developing alike, in the implementation of the MIN agreements is essential if their full benefits are to be realized by all GATT members.

The tariff negotiations conducted during the Tokyo Round also were a significant step in the integration of the developing countries into the GATT framework. Although the importance of tariffs as barriers to trade has diminished somewhat, the tariff concessions agreed to in the Tokyo Round negotiations have contributed to free world trade. More importantly, developing countries actively participated to an unprecedented extent in multilateral efforts to reduce tariff barriers to international trade.

The United States negotiated bilateral tariff and nontariff agreements with 28 developing countries during the Tokyo Round. In so doing, the United States sought to provide special and differential treatment for developing countries to the maximum extent possible. Where possible, deeper-than-formula cuts were made on products principally supplied by developing countries; small suppliers were not expected to make contributions for U.S. concessions; tariff reclassifications were made whenever possible to accomodate developing country interests; and developing countries were not expected to provide full reciprocity. In addition, the benefits of concessions negotiated with Tokyo Round participants were extended on a most-favored nation basis to those developing countries which did not participate in the The ability of the United States to provide special and MTN. differential treatment on this basis was enhanced greatly by the Contracting Parties' acceptance of the principle that developing countries should accept greater obligations as the level of their economic development progresses, thereby preserving the fundamental balance of rights and obligations inherent in the GATT system.

For the United States and many developing countries, the benefits of this relationship have expanded and increased significantly since the conclusion of the Tokyo Round. Although there has been a substantial increase in bilateral trade, greater gains could have been achieved in a more open trading environment. Recognition of this fact has led the United States to continue to implement trade policies appropriate to the differing needs of developing countries. These policies have had one common objective--the continuous liberalization of trade and the progressive integration of developing countries into the world economy in order to achieve sustainable economic growth. The departures from the GATT principle of nondiscriminatory treatment anthorized under the Enabling Clause have been important mechanisms for assisting the economic development efforts of developing countries. However, in order to preserve the integrity of the General Agreement, and to ensure that preferential treatment benefits those most in need, it is important that the special and differential treatment envisioned by the Enabling Clause be provided in a dynamic manner, i.e. in a way which takes into account changing levels of economic development in individual developing countries.

J *

The United States will continue to provide special and differential treatment for the benefit of developing countries, in accordance with the provisions of Part IV and the Enabling Clause. However, this policy can continue to benefit all developing countries in an effective manner only through the assumption by the developing countries of the obligations of the GATT system to the maximum extent permitted by their respective levels of development. Developing countries' adherence to this principle will continue to be an important consideration governing U.S. trade policy in the years ahead.

If the GATT is to continue to function as the framework for international trade and to effectively address the emerging problems which will confront the international trading system in the coming decades, it will require increased cooperation among all GATT members, developed and developing alike. The commitments made by Contracting Parties to resist protectionist pressures and to explore additional measures to liberalize trade can only be realized if a common will exists among all countries to adopt national policies which contribute to a strengthened international trading system.

At the 1982 GATT Ministerial meeting, ministers took the first in a series of steps to address the problems facing the GATT system. As a result, work is now underway on many of the issues contained in the Ministerial Declaration, including the problems of trade among developed and developing countries, agriculture, and trade in natural resource products. Work has only recently begun on several other issues, such as trade in services and trade in counterfeit goods. Other emerging issues confronting the GATT system remain to be addressed by the Contracting Parties, including trade in high technology products and trade-related investment issues. The United States is convinced that the GATT system can meet the challenges presented by these issues, and looks forward to working with other contracting parties, developed and developing alike, to produce solutions which will enhance the economic benefits of trade for all GATT members.

IV. <u>U.S. Implementation of the Principles and Objectives of</u> GATT Article XXXVI

A. <u>Duty-Free Tariff Treatment under the U.S. Generalized</u> System of Preferences

The United States maintains a Generalized System of Preferences in accordance with the provisions of Article XXXVI of the General Agreement and those of the Enabling Clause. Authorized by Congress for a period of ten years, and implemented in 1976, the U.S. GSP program grants duty-free treatment to certain imports from beneficiary developing countries. The statutory authority for the U.S. scheme is contained in Title V of the Trade Act of 1974 (hereafter referred to as Title V), and the regulations for the administration of the GSP are contained in the Code of U.S. Federal Regulations (15 CFR 2007).

The U.S. GSP program has made a positive contribution to the economic development of developing contracting parties, by facilitating their efforts to expand and diversify production for export. Since implementation of the program in 1976, U.S. GSP imports from beneficiaries have increased dramatically, growing from \$3.2 billion to \$10.8 billion in 1983. During this period, the percentage of total GSP imports comprised of non-agricultural products grew from 83 percent to 90 percent, reflecting the contribution of the Program to the export diversification efforts of developing countries. (See Appendix; Tables 7-14.)

The U.S. GSP program will expire on January 3, 1985. In keeping with President Reagan's pledge to ensure that trade continues to be an effective force for development in the Third World, the Administration is currently seeking Congressional authority to extend the program for an additional ten years.

1. GSP Beneficiary Countries

Title V established certain statutory requirements for designation of beneficiary countries for purposes of GSP eligibility. Developing countries which met the statutory provisions of Title V were designated as eligible for GSP status on the principle of self-election. Subsequently, the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 provided for the designation in certain circumstances of members of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) as beneficiary countries. Under these provisions, Venezuela, Ecuador and Indonesia were added to the list of eligible beneficiaries in 1980. Some 140 developing countries and non-independent countries and territories are now eligible to receive duty-free benefits under the U.S. scheme. (A complete list of eligible beneficiaries is contained in Annex 1.)

2. Product Coverage of the U.S. GSP Program

The U.S. GEP program provides for duty-free treatment on imports of over 3,060 products identified at the 5-digit level in the Tariff Schedule of the United States (TSUS). Over 300 of these products have been added to the original list of eligible articles through the annual review procedures of the GSP (15 CFR 2007). While the majority of eligible products are in the manufactured and semi-manufactured sectors, the list also includes some 400 agricultural products of export interest to developing countries.

Title V statutorily excludes some products from duty-free eligibility. These products are textile and apparel articles subject to textile agreements concluded in accordance with the Multifibre Arrangement (MFA); watches; footwear; and import sensitive electronic, glass, iron and steel articles. Also, GSP eligibility for a product is suspended for the period any article is subject to import relief pursuant to Section 203 of the Trade Act of 1974 or Sections 232 or 351 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962. The President also may exclude other products which are determined to be import sensitive in the context of the GSP.

3. Limitations to Preferential Treatment

In keeping with the objective of the GSP-i.e. to provide tariff preferences to imports from beneficiary countries so that they are able to compete in the U.S. market with imports from developed countries--as well as to provide necessary safeguards for U.S. industries, Title V established statutory limitations affecting preferential treatment granted under the program. These "competitive need" limitations provic: for the automatic exclusion of a beneficiary country from GSP eligibility on an article whenever imports of the article from the beneficiary exceed 50 percent of the value of total U.S. imports of that article, or a certain dollar value which is increased in proportion to the nominal growth in the U.S. Gross National Product (GNP). Originally set at \$25 million in Title V, by 1983 the dollar value limit had grown to \$57.7 million.

The 50 percent competitive need limitation does not apply to any articles for which the President has determined that a like or directly competitive article was not produced in the United States on January 3, 1975. The list of such articles, which has been modified through the annual review procedures of the program, has increased from 50 articles in 1976 to 92 in 1983. In addition, Title V was amended in the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 to allow the President to waive the percentage competitive need limitation in instances where total U.S. imports of an article are <u>de minimis</u>. The <u>de minimis</u> level, originally set at \$1 million, was increased to \$1.37 million in 1983, to reflect the nominal growth in the U.S. GNP. As a result of this provision, an average of \$40 million worth of trade per year of otherwise ineligible imports from beneficiaries has continued to enjoy duty-free treatment under the U.S. scheme.

Administration of the competitive need limits is highly transparent in the U.S. scheme. Each year, a notice is published in January in the U.S. Federal Register of articles which have exceeded, or are likely to exceed, the competitive need limitations based on statistics collected during the first ten-months of the calendar year. Modifications in GSP eligibility as a result of the competitive need limitations required by statute must be implemented 90 days after the close of the calendar year in which the limits were exceeded.

Competitive need exclusions have increased from \$1.9 billion in 1976 to \$10.6 billion in 1983. Once removed from GSP eligibility for a particular beneficiary country, an article may be redesignated if U.S. imports from the affected country fall below the competitive need limitations in any subsequent year. Although redesignation is not automatic, the President redesignated to duty-free status all eligible trade under this provision until 1981. Since that time, all eligible trade from 133 of the 140 beneficiary countries has been redesignated.

4. Rules of Origin in the U.S. Scheme

Pursuant to Title V, a beneficiary may receive duty-free treatment for an article when the sum of the cost or value of materials produced in the beneficiary country plus the direct costs of processing equal at least 35 percent of the appraised value of the article at the time of entry into the United States. Imported materials may be counted towards the 35-percent value-added requirement if they are substantially transformed into new and different constituent materials of which the eligible article is composed.

Recognizing the importance of intra-LDC trade, particularly within regional associations, Title V also provided for cumulative rules of origin in order to treat an association as one country for purposes of the origin requirement. Congress originally set the value-added requirement for regional associations at 50 percent. However, this requirement was amended in the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, which allowed duty-free treatment to be accorded to articles imported from GSP-eligible regional associations, provided the member countries of the association together account for at least 35 percent of the appraised value of the article (the same as for a single country). The regional associations currently eligible to be treated as one country for the purposes of the origin requirement are the ANDEAN GROUP (Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Venezuela); ASEAN (Brunei, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore and Thailand); and CARICOM (Antigua and Barbuda, Bahamas, Barbados, Belize, Dominica, Grenada, Guyana, Jamaica, Montserrat, Saint Christopher-Nevis,

Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, and Trinidad and Tobago).

5. The Annial Review Process

Throughout the program's operation, the United States has utilized the established annual review procedures to expand the base of benefits available under the GSP. This process has enabled beneficiary countries to participate actively in any changes in GSP product eligibility. As a result of the annual reviews, over 300 products of interest to beneficiaries have been added to the list of products eligible for GSP treatment. The review process is consistent with paragraph 3(c) of the Enabling Clause, as it provides for modification of the GSP in order to respond positively to the changing needs of developing countries.

The annual review is a highly transparent, ten-month process which begins on June 1 of each year, the deadline for submission of petitions to modify the list of eligible GSP articles. The petitions are evaluated for adequacy of information and conformity with the GSP regulations. A notification of all petitions accepted for consideration is published in the Federal Register in mid-July. Simultaneously, U.S. Embassies in beneficiary countries also provide this information to the appropriate beneficiary country The review provides for public hearings in September authorities. or October, at which any interested party may appear to support or oppose a requested modification. Parties may also submit written comments on any of the cases under review. Consistent with paragraph 4 of the Enabling Clause, the United States encourages beneficiary country participation in this part of the review as it provides a good opportunity to ensure that modifications in GSP eligibility reflect the individual development objectives of beneficiaries.

The U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC), which provides the President with advice on the probable economic effects of modifying the GSP pursuant to a petitioner's request, also conducts public hearings and provides an opportunity for written comments. All information obtained during the course of the review is evaluated, and recommendations for changes in the GSP product list are formulated for approval by the President. Changes to the list of eligible articles are implemented by Executive Order, generally on March 31 of the following year. This order also includes changes in eligibility which are required by statute. The order appears in the <u>Federal Register</u> and is explained in detail by a press release provided to all interested parties. In addition, U.S. embassies are instructed to inform host countries of the changes.

The addition of new products to the GSP may also occur at the initiative of the U.S. Government. As a part of United States interest in adding special items of export interest to less developed developing countries, five tariff categories covering certified hand-loomed and folklore textile articles were designated as eligible for GSP treatment in 1981 at the initiative of the Administration. Because textile articles subject to textile agreements cannot be granted GSP treatment, the U.S. established a certification procedure in order to grant duty-free treatment to certain articles that are not subject to textile agreements, such as hand-made cottage industry products, and traditional handicraft textile products. Certification arrangements have been completed with Uruguay, Thailand, Korea, Macao, Peru and Romania. Similar arrangements are pending between the United States and Malta, Guatemala and Mauritiuu.

6. Results of the Most Recent GSP Annual Product Review

In COM.TD/W/395/Add.2, the United States notified the GATT that 65 petitions requesting the modification of the list of GSPeligible articles had been taken under consideration in the 1983 GSP annual product review. As a result of this review, based on 1983 trade data, 22 products valued at \$7.2 million were added to the GSP; \$155.4 million of trade was redesignated to GSP eligibility; \$52 million of trade remained eligible for GSP under the <u>de minimis</u> provisions of the GSP; and an additional \$2.2 billion of trade affecting 15 beneficiary countries was excluded for reasons of competitive need. These changes were implemented on March 30, 1984.

7. Mid-Term Review of the GSP in 1980

On April 17, 1980 the President sent Congress A Report to the Congress on the First Five Year's Operation of the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences. This report included a review of the major provisions and regulations governing the administration of the U.S. scheme and an analysis of the impact of the U.S. GSP on developing country economies. As required by the Congress, the report also included a review of the operation of the competitive need limits and a consideration of various measures that would reinforce U.S. objectives of providing preferential treatment in a dynamic manner resulting in a greater distribution of benefits to the less advanced developing countries, and further integrating beneficiary countries into the GATT system. In conjunction with the preparation of the report, the Administration sought the views of interested parties on the operation of the program and their suggestions for improvements to the scheme through public hearings and a comment period for written submissions. Beneficiary countries participated actively in this review, commenting favorably on the contribution of GSP to their country's economic development.

As a result of this review, the Administration made several improvements in the operation of the U.S. program. The first was a commitment to add additional items of special interest to less developed developing countries to the list of GSP eligible articles. Handicrafts, as discussed earlier, were a part of this effort. Similarly, in the annual reviews following the report to Congress, the United States expanded GSP product coverage in the agricultural sector by including an additional 80 agricultural products to the GSP.

Secondly, the Administration established a GSP Information Center within the Office of the United States Trade Representative to provide additional assistance to interested parties regarding changes in GSP eligibility. Establishment of the Center has provided a vehicle for representatives of beneficiary governments to review changes in the GSP and consult informally on the addition of new items of interest for GSP eligibility. The Center's activities have supplemented the on-going participation of U.S. representatives in GSP seminars sponsored by the UNCTAD/UNDP project and seminars undertaken unilaterally by the U.S. Government at the request of beneficiary governments. U.S. officials have participated in recent UNDP regional seminars in the Ivory Coast, Nepal, Chile and the Dominican Republic, and have conducted U.S. sponsored seminars in Yugoslavia, Romania, Cyprus, Morocco, Egypt, ASEAN, and Mexico.

The mid-term evaluation pointed out that the benefits of the U.S. GSP program were not evenly distributed among GSP beneficiary countries. For example, the review revealed that 5 of the 140 beneficiary countries accounted for more than 70% of the benefits extended under the program. The leading recipients of duty-free treatment under the U.S. scheme were and continue to be the largest developing country trading partners of the United States. The Administration and Congress were particularly concerned that the competitive need limits were not leading to a wider distribution of benefits among beneficiary countries; nor were the benefits of the program resulting in an increased adherence to the disciplines of the international trading system commensurate with the development levels of some of the beneficiary countries.

In keeping with U.S. policies to integrate developing countries into the international trading system, the United States implemented new measures designed to reflect the competitiveness and development needs of beneficiaries. The President announced his intention to exercise this authority under a policy of product-specific graduation. The President determined that these measures would be applied when considering the addition of new items to the GSP; in considering whether to reinstate a beneficiary to GSP eligibility after the country had exceeded the competitive need limit; and in considering petitions from interested parties requesting the removal of one or more beneficiaries from eligibility on a particular article.

The report further stipulated that product-specific graduation actions would be based on a review of three elements: (1) the

overall level of economic development of the beneficiary country; (2) the beneficiary's competitiveness in the particular product of concern; and (3) the overall economic interests of the United States, including the potential for future redistribution to less competitive beneficiaries, consumer benefits and the import sensitivity of the relevant domestic industry.

Under this policy of product-specific graduation, the United States has been able to respond to the changing economic needs of individual developing countries by allowing beneficiaries to continue to enjoy preferential treatment on products on which the tariff preference is necessary to enable them to compete in the U.S. market. At the same time, the policy is intended to assure that preferential treatment is preserved for those countries most in need. These measures, which are consistent with paragraphs 3(c) and 7 of the Enabling Clause, supplement the automatic competitive need limitations contained in the U.S. program. They have been implemented only after ample opportunity has been provided during the annual review process for public comment from interested parties.

While this policy has not led immediately to a redistribution of benefits to less developed developing countries, product specific graduation has provided an additional opportunity for less competitive beneficiaries to compete on a more equal basis with imports from more advanced developing countries. It is anticipated that the redistribution of benefits accruing from such measures will be realized over the longer term.

The value of trade affected by product specific graduation has increased from \$443 million in 1981, the first year in which discretionary graduation was applied, to \$1.2 billion in 1983. Significantly, approximately 90 percent of the affected trade is trade on which the graduated country had been previously ineligible for GSP treatment due to competitive need exclusions. Thus far, product specific graduation actions have affected only seven beneficiaries: Taiwan, Korea, Hong Kong, Mexico, Brazil, Singapore and Israel. It is important to note that although the value of graduation actions has increased since the implementation of the policy in 1981, each of the countries affected by graduation has realized a significant increase in their level of GSP benefits. Together, they still account for more than 70 percent of GSP imports.

8. Renewal of the U.S. GSP Program

Statutory authority for the U.S. GSP program will expire on January 3, 1985. Under U.S. law, Congress must provide additional authority to continue the program beyond its expiration. The Administration has submitted a proposal to Congress for renewal of the program. It is committed to securing Congressional approval for an extended GSP program which continues to be responsive in a predictable and transparent manner to the changing needs of the economies of developing countries.

In preparing its proposal, the Administration solicited the views of interested parties regarding renewal of the GSP program through a series of public hearings and a written comment period in April 1983. Comments from foreign and domestic parties were invited on a wide range of issues, including the general operation of the program, suggestions for modifications which would stimulate the growth of exports of less developed beneficiaries, particularly the least developed, and the role of graduation in an extended scheme. Testimony and submissions were received from several hundred interested parties, including a significant number from beneficiary country officials and representatives from their business communities. These consultations provided the basis upon which the United States developed the parameters of its legislative proposal to extend the GSP for ten years.

The Administration has been guided by several objectives in seeking renewal of the GSP program, the most important of which is to foster the economic development of developing countries, while encouraging their further integration into the international trading system. The proposed renewal legislation is intended to provide additional incentives for the expansion of trade among beneficiaries and the United States, while enhancing developing countries' acceptance of the obligations of the international trading system. The legislation also would seek to ensure that the benefits of the program are preserved for products from those beneficiaries most in need of preferential treatment in order to compete in the U.S. market. Accordingly, the Adminstration's proposal would facilitate both increased liberalization and further product-specific graduation. Greater attention will be paid to the progress of beneficiaries in undertaking liberalization of their trading regimes, commensurate with their individual levels of development, as provided for in paragraph 7 of the Enabling Clause. This concern is elaborated in the proposal's references to the extent to which beneficiaries provide equitable and reasonable access to their markets.

The proposed legislation also recognizes U.S. obligations under the Enabling Clause to address the special needs of the least developed developing countries. The Administration has requested authority to exempt the least-developed countries from the statutory competitive need limitations of the program. It is hoped that this exemption will provide an additional incentive for investment and the transfer of resources to aid these countries' economic development.

The Administration's proposal envisages a further expansion of product coverage in the scheme through the annual review process. Furthermore, the proposed legislation would allow the President to waive competitive need limits on a productspecific basis, based on a review of a number of factors, including the effect such a waiver would have on the relevant domestic industry of producer, and the extent to which beneficiaries provide equitable and reasonable access to their markets. If Congress enacts this provision, beneficiary countries could realize substantial additional benefits under the U.S. program for certain products already on the GSP list.

The Administration also is interested in improving its discretionary graduation policy in a manner which enhances the predictability of the program. The renewal legislation includes a provision to supplement the current competitive need limits, with additional limits set at 25 percent of the value of total U.S. imports and \$25 million. Under this provision, the President would undertake a static assessment of all GSP-eligible items and beneficiary countries, and then subject some products from suppliers determined to be highly competitive to these lower limits. In conducting this review, the President would consider a number of factors, including the overall level of development of the beneficiary country, its competitiveness in the particular product, and the extent to which the beneficiary is providing equitable and reasonable market access commensurate with its level of development. Application of the lower competitive need limits would be applied at the conclusion of the review, which would be completed within two years of the enactment of the renewal legislation,

The Administration's legislative proposal was introduced in the Senate on August 1, 1983, as S. 1718. Hearings on GSP renewal were held in the Senate and House in August 1983 and in January and February 1984. The legislation is currently being considered by the Congress.

B. THE TOKYO ROUND OF MULTILATERAL TRADE NEGOTIATIONS: NEGOTIATIONS BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

The United States actively encouraged the participation of developing contracting parties, especially those with significant trade relations with the United States, in the Tokyo Round of Multilateral Trade Negotiations (MTN). These efforts were a factor influencing the decision of many developing countries to participate in the Tokyo Round. As a result of this decision to participate, the agreements reached in the Tokyo Round reflect to the extent possible the interests of developed and developing countries alike, and are of much greater significance than those reached in preceding rounds. Beyond the specific benefits to both U.S. and developing country exporters, these agreements represent a concrete commitment by all the countries involved to the continued liberalization of world trade and the reform of the rules of the international trading system.

1. Tariff Negotiations

During the Tokyo Round, the United States negotiated bilateral agreements with 28 developing countries, including all its major developing trading partners. These agreements were concluded on the basis of a satisfactory balance of concessions between the United States and each developing country partner. In reaching a satisfactory balance, U.S. negotiators not only took into account the relative value of each concession to each country but also the relative economic level of the developing country involved. Consistent with the general principle regarding reciprocity set forth in the Tokyo Declaration which launched the MTN, the United States did not expect developing countries to provide full reciprocity in these negotiations but to make concessions that reflected their individual trade, financial, and development needs.

Concessions agreed to by the United States in negotiations with developing countries consisted primarily of tariff reductions to be bound at lower levels, and, in a few cases, bindings of existing tariff rates. Duty reductions were agreed to on a number of items of particular export interest to developing countries, including canned beef; various metals and mineral products, particularly iron and steel, copper and tungsten; a limited number of textile products including carpets; fertilizer; electrical equipment; light industrial goods; edible oils; plywood; canned fruits and vegetables; cigar tobacco; and paper products.

Concessions negotiated by the United States with developing countries included ceiling bindings, bindings of tariffs at current rates, bound tariff reductions, removal or liberalization of licensing requirements, and liberalization of other nontariff measures over a wide variety of product sectors, both agricultural and industrial.

2. Special and Differential Treatment

In keeping with the provisions of the 1973 Tokyo Declaration, the United States agreed, where appropriate and feasible, to the inclusion of measures providing special and differential treatment for developing countries in both the nontariff and tariff agreements reached during the Round. In accepting inclusion of the concept of special and differential treatment in the Tokyo Round agreements, the United States took the position, which it continues to hold, that developing countries should be expected to assume to a greater extent the obligations of the international trading system, as their level of economic development progresses.

The United States sought to implement the Tokyo Declaration's provisions on reciprocity in its tariff negotiations with developing countries by seeking concessions which reflected the respective countries' trade, financial and development status. Special and differential treatment was provided in several other ways. Where possible, and taking into account the domestic impact, the United States made greater than average tariff reduction on products principally supplied by developing countries. The United States also agreed to accelerate the implementation of tariff reductions on certain products principally supplied by developing countries with whom bilateral MTN agreements were concluded.

The United States also has been mindful of its commitment to provide special and differential treatment in its application of the nontariff measures codes to developing countries. The United States has recognized those provisions of the Standards, Licensing and Customs Valuation codes which allow derogations for developing countries experiencing problems in meeting certain code obligations. Similarly, in the case of the Standards Code and the Customs Valuation Code, the United States has recognized those provisions which call for special technical assistance for developing countries. For example, in 1983, the U.S. Customs Service developed a training course for foreign customs officers from developing countries in the adminstration and application of the Valuation Code. Customs officers from 13 Caribbean nations attended the first course session, held in August 1983.

In applying the Subsidies/Countervailing Measures Code to developing countries, the United States has attempted to encourage developing countries to make commitments to phase out existing export subsidies over time, according to their development needs, in order to foster a greater reliance on prices and market forces as determinants of trade flows.

3. <u>Measures for the Least Developed Countries: Accelerated</u> Staging of MTN Tariff Reductions

As a general rule, the tariff concessions agreed to by the United States in the Tokyo Round are to be implemented in eight annual stages, with the first cuts generally having taken place on January 1, 1980, and the last to take effect on January 1, 1987. However, the United States agreed to implement fully, without staging, all negotiated U.S. duty reductions (except textile and apparel products subject to the Multifiber Agreement) on imports from 27 countries and territories considered to be the poorest and least developed of the developing countries, as defined in the United Nations General Assembly list of "Least Developed Countries" and which are beneficiaries under the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) program. The full reduction on products imported from these countries became effective January 1, 1980.

C. OFFICIAL DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE

1. Programs of the Agency for International Development (AID)

The United States has been, and continues to be, the largest provider of official development assistance, contributing approximately 25 percent of the total contributions by all OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) member countries. Moreover, the level of U.S. economic assistance has been increasing despite overall budgetary constraints and reductions in domestic spending programs.

In its bilateral economic assistance program the United States has pursued a strategy of addressing the basic long-term development constraints of a country. The removal of these constraints is essential to long-term stability and continued economic growth. Accordingly, all U.S. assistance efforts and programs have emphasized and encouraged the adoption of sound economic policies by the recipient with the objective of promoting sustained growth, and an increased reliance on the private sector as a vehicle for promoting development, while creating and strengthening the country's institutional capacity, and increasing the capacity of the country to develop indigenous technology as well as adapt technology to domestic conditions.

Some projects sponsored by AID are directly related to increased export production. Furthermore, by strengthening a country's capacity to produce an increased volume of goods and services more efficiently, most AID assistance, even when not directly focused on increasing developing countries' export production, will have indirectly the long term effect of increasing their export potential.

2. Trade and Development Program

The Trade and Development Program (TDP) was established in 1980 for the purpose of financing feasibility studies to be used in the identification and development of high priority projects in developing countries. A typical feasibility study assists a developing country government with the design, engineering, and construction of a high priority project and enables it to evaluate the economics of the project and incorporate its implementation in a development plan, and then seek financing from appropriate sources. The Program has sponsored feasibility studies in such areas as energy development, telecommunications, transportation, minerals development, agribusiness, and industrial development. While one of the objectives of this program is to facilitate the sale of U.S. goods and services which may result as a follow-up to a feasibility study, many of the projects it is assisting directly or indirectly enhance the export earning capacity of the host country and broaden its export base through production diversification. Below are a few illustrative examples of ongoing or proposed U.S. assistance projects and studies which are directly related to LDC expost potential:

-- Support for the Export Promotion Fund throughout Central America. This fund provides pre-export and/or export financing for non-traditional agricultural commodities.

-- Support for the Caribbean Action Trade Company (CATCO) which will facilitate increased exports of a number of CARICOM countries.

-- Funding of a series of projects in Somalia which have supported livestock production, that country's predominant foreign exchange earner. This year, a Livestock Marketing project will be initiated to facilitate access to foreign markets.

-- A major effort to assist small to medium size private and cooperative agricultural and industrial enterprises (e.g., coffee and oil seed processing, cotton ginning, etc.) to resume full productive potential in order to help Uganda restore its former export capacity.

-- A cost-sharing reimbursement agreement with Caribbean Agri-Systems, an affiliate of the Caribbean Basin Corporation, to study the feasibility of growing and exporting winter vegetables to the United States, and the production of field crops, vegetables and spices for export during the "off-season."

-- Feasibility studies which when carried out as projects will enhance and facilitate significantly the export potential of countries and promote export earnings stability through economic diversification. These studies cover industrial development surveys in China, coal mining and coal utilization in Indonesia and the Philippines, cobalt mining in Peru, phosphate mining in Tunisia, agribusiness in Turkey and the Caribbean, and a mineral shipping port in Gabon.

D. TRADE FINANCE PROGRAMS

United States trade finance programs have helped finance a large volume of U.S. exports to developing countries since 1970. Between 1970 and 1983, the Export-Import Bank authorized \$70.3 billion in credits, guarantees, and insurance to support exports to developing countries, accounting for almost two-thirds of Exim-bank's total authorizations. Direct credits, which were usually offered at subsidized rates to counter foreign, subsidized competition, totaled \$26.3 billion from 1970-1983. Current Eximbank exposure in developing countries amounts to \$21.9 billion, or 70.7 percent of its total exposure. Since 1956, the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) has helped finance developing countries' imports of U.S. agricultural commodities, totaling \$10.8 billion dollars. This represents over 60 percent of total financial assistance extended during that 28 year period. Between 1956 and 1980, developing countries received \$4.3 billion in direct credits. Between 1981 and 1983, CCC authorized \$6.5 billion in guarantees to developing countries, or 76 percent of the total guarantee budget. CCC's current exposure to developing countries is \$7.3 billion, or 73 percent of its total exposure.

The programs funded by CCC are of two types--credit guarantees and blended credit. The blended credit is a combination of credit guarantees and interest-free direct credit. The repayment period for credit guarantees and blended credit can be up to three years. In this fiscal year, \$4 billion is available for the credit guarantee program and up to \$175 million of direct credit is available for the blended credit program.

E. OTHER MEASURES

1. The Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Program

Together with Canada, Mexico, Venezuela, and Colombia, the United States has undertaken efforts to restore stability and prosperity to the Caribbean Basin. The small and fragile economies of this region have been seriously affected by escalating costs of imported oil, declining prices for their major exports (sugar, coffee, etc.), a shrinking of export markets due to world-wide recession, and a decline in tourism. To meet the soaring cost of imports--imports which are essential to the smooth functioning of their economies--these nations must now sell far greater quantities of their traditional export goods than was the case only five years ago.

In an effort to respond to the situation faced by these countries, the Administration proposed the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act (CBERA), which was approved by the Congress in July and signed into law by the President on August 5, 1983. The CBERA is a multi-faceted development program combining trade and tax liberalization with tailored financial assistance programs. Intended to promote self-sustaining revitalization of the economies of the 27 nations of the Caribbean basin, the measures are designed to catalyze expansion of local productive capacity in response to the opening of new markets for Caribbean exports, and to assist the development of key service sectors of their economies, especially tourism.

The centerpiece of the U.S. program is the temporary extension of one-way, duty-free access to the U.S. market for goods from the Caribbean nations. Duty-free treatment is to be extended on all products with the exception of textiles and apparel, footwear, petroleum, leather apparel, and canned tuna fish. The granting of duty-free access will be uninterrupted for a period of 12 years. The duty-free tariff provisions of the CBERA will result in improved access to the U.S. market for the exports of the Caribbean countries. At the present time, approximately 80 percent of these countries' non-petroleum exports enter the United States duty-free, either on a most-favored nation basis or under the U.S. GSP program. The CBERA will ensure a security of market access for these products, while providing an incentive for Caribbean countries to diversify their production of goods to be exported to the U.S. market.

The program also offers the beneficiary nations the prospect of important new investors in the agricultural and manufacturing sectors of their economies. By providing the Caribbean special access to the U.S. market, under a concessionary trade regime, the attractiveness of the Caribbean as a target for new venture capital will be enhanced. New capital will soon generate new export income in non-traditional as well as traditional export products. For the Caribbean states, these export earnings will provide the wherewithal to reduce social tensions, through improved living conditions for all members of their societies.

The trade, investment and tax elements of the CBERA were developed in close consultation with the governments of the region, and with the private sectors and labor movements represented in each of the countries. Of equal importance has been the input of U.S. entrpreneurs with experience in this region. Both groups contributed greatly to the choice of specific program elements. There has been a strong positive reaction from the public and private groups who are the targets of this program's incentives.

2. U.S. Bilateral Investment Treaty Program

In 1982, U.S. direct investment abroad totalled \$221 billion, one-fourth of which was in developing countries. Despite the large amount of U.S. direct investment already in developing countries, the Administration is convinced that the U.S. private sector can play an even greater role in the future in the global development process. Accordingly, in December 1981 the Administration launched the Bilateral Investment Treaty (BIT) Program, to facilitate, <u>inter alia</u>, greater U.S. investment in developing countries.

The BIT program can assist developing countries in maintaining a favorable foreign attitude towards their foreign investment policies. As world wide competition for capital intensifies, developing countries will have to maintain an open investment climate if they wish to attract foreign investment. This will requires that they specify and guarantee the "rules of the game" that will apply to foreign investors in their development plans. Bilateral investment treaties are designed to provide an agreed and stable legal framework for those interested in pursuing investment opportunities in developing countries. Conclusion of a BIT will allow a developing country to send a positive signal to prospective investors as to that country's favorable investment environment. Accordingly, the BIT program can be especially valuable to developing countries which wish to use it as a complement to their other efforts to attract foreign direct investment.

To date, the United States has discussed the BIT program with over 40 developing countries, and has entered into negotiations with 15 of them. By the end of 1983, the United States had signed BITs with Egypt, Panama, Haiti and Senegal. The United States expects to conclude several more BITS in 1984, and to initiate negotiations with 5-10 additional countries.

V. U.S. TRADE POLICY MEASURES IN LIGHT OF THE COMMITMENTS CONTAINED IN GATT ARTICLE XXXVII

A. TARIFF MEASURES

1. Benefits for Developing Countries from U.S. MTN Concessions

As a result of the Tokyo Round negotiations, the United states made tariff reductions averaging 26 percent on \$10 billion of U.S. imports of industrial products from developing countries. Reductions in sectors of particular interest to developing countries included consumer electronics, where average duties were reduced to 4.6 percent, other electronics, where average tariffs were lowered to 4.7 percent, and certain manufactures, where average duties were cut by over 50 percent to 4.7 percent. Developing country exports to the United States in these three sectors alone amounted to \$4 billion (1976 trade).

In the agricultural sector, approximately \$860 million (1976 trade) in imports were covered by U.S. duty reductions made at the request of developing countries. The average depth of cut of these reductions was 49 percent. The U.S. market was generally open to agricultural imports from developing countries before the Tokyo Round negotiations, with duties averaging about 4 percent and approximately 40 percent of all agricultural products entering duty free. Once the Tokyo Round concessions are fully implemented, the average duty on agricultural imports from developing countries will be 2.6 percent.

2. Other Tariff Actions

In recent years, the United States Congress has temporarily suspended or permanently eliminated tariffs on a number of items principally supplied by developing countries. These products include such diverse items as silk yarn, cantaloupes, and clock radios. Approximately \$156 million in annual U.S. imports benefitted from these suspensions.

3. Tariff Negotiations under GATT Article XXVIII

The United States has sought reciprocity from developing countries in negotiations held under GATT Article XXVIII. However, in conducting these negotiations, the United States has not requested that developing countries make concessions which are inconsistent with their development, financial, or trade needs. In some cases, this has meant accepting substantial across the board tariff increases on bound items and the reduction of the number of products subject to tariff bindings in GATT schedules. The United States has requested some reasonable concessions in return in these instances, as developing countries should not be excused entirely from their obligations under this Article of the GATT.

B. NONTARIFF BARRIERS

As a general tenet of its trade policy, the United States has strived to avoid imposing nontariff barriers to trade, preferring instead to regulate trade through the use of tariffs. In this regard, the United States has been particularly mindful of the provisions of GATT Article XXXVII which encourage contracting parties to refrain from introducing, or increasing the incidence of, nontariff barriers on products of export interest to developing countries.

The United States maintains a minimum number of nontariff measures, notwithstanding those regulating trade in certain agricultural products and certain textile and apparel products (described below) which are maintained pursuant to international agreement or joint action by the Contracting Parties.

C. FISCAL MEASURES

The United States does not maintain fiscal measures which are intended to hinder the exports of developing countries. The few excise taxes in existence, such as Federal and State excise taxes on tobacco products and alcoholic beverages, are collected on a non-discriminatory basis, and have not had an inordinate effect on exports of developing countries. State sales taxes are also non-discriminatory, and in some cases food items and other necessities are not taxed.

Import fees on certain agricultural products, authorized by Section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933, are not considered fiscal measures as their intent is to protect the domestic price support system and not to raise revenue.

D. TRADE IN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS

The average rate of duty on all agricultural products imported in to the United States in 1983 was 3.4 percent. The average tariff rate on dutiable imports was 6.8 percent. Nearly half of all imported agricultural products entered duty free, either on a most-favored nation basis or under the JSP program. Imports of agricultural products under the GSP program in 1983 amounted to \$1.03 billion.

Imports of most agricultural products are subject only to tariffs, with the following exceptions:

Imports of peanuts, cotton, certain dairy products, and certain sugar blends, mixtures, and syrups are subject to quota restriction under Section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933, as amended. The quota for peanuts is global and no licenses are required. Global quotas are in effect for some cotton items. For other cotton items, quotas are allocated on a country basis. In both cases, no licenses are required and the quotas are administered on a first come, first served basis.

Similarly, the quotas on some dairy products are global, while others are allocated on a country basis. The quotas for several dairy products are administered through licenses. The application period for such licenses begins each year on August 1 and ends on November 1. The quotas on dairy products include quotas on chocolate and animal feeds that contain milk or milk derivatives.

Imports of sugar are subject to quota restriction under the Trade Expansion Act of 1962. They also are subject to import fees imposed under the authority of Section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933, as amended.

The Meat Import Act of 1979 requires quotas on certain meats (primarily beef and veal) under certain circumstances. No such quotas are in effect now.

E. TRADE IN TEXTILE AND APPAREL PRODUCTS

The United States is a signatory to the current Multifibre Arrangement (MFA). In 1981, U.S. negotiators played a leading and constructive role in bringing about agreement between the major importing and exporting countries to extend the MFA through July 31, 1986.

Under the umbrella of the MFA, the United States currently maintains 27 bilateral agreements which contain specific restraint levels with supplying countries. Each of these bilateral agreements provides for trade growth, assured market access, and consultation procedures to resolve questions which may arise, including possible action with respect to products not under restraint. The United States also has consultation agreements with eleven other countries which prescribe the procedures to be followed in establishing specific restraint levels.

As envisioned in the objectives of the MFA--i.e., inter alia, to facilitate the orderly expansion of trade in textiles, U.S. policies have contributed to the expansion of import trade into the U.S. market. Imports of textiles and apparel have increased substantially since the MFA was implemented in January 1974, with the growth in imports particularly marked in recent years. For example, in 1983, U.S. imports of textiles, fiber, and apparel increased by 25 percent over the previous year. During the period 1980-1983, U.S. imports grew about 50 percent, an increase which far exceeded growth in the U.S. domestic market and which occurred during a period of relatively flat production in the United States.

Last December, the Administration announced additional criteria for use by the U.S. Government in identifying imports of particular products from particular suppliers which might be contributing to disruption or the threat thereof in the U.S. market, as set forth in the MFA. These criteria do not modify U.S. application of the procedures of the MFA or the terms of bilateral agreements negotiated by the United States under it. They are intended to help the U.S. Government resolve the very important problem of facilitating a continuing expansion of trade in textiles while at the same time avoiding unacceptable disruption of the U.S. domestic market.

In deciding when to request consultations, preparing market assessments, conducting bilateral consultations, and discussing U.S. actions with other MFA signatories, the United States will continue to base its requests for consultations on the criteria of market disruption contained in Annex A of the MFA. The MFA will continue to be the governing framework with which U.S. textile trade policy will be conducted, and the United States will continue to implement its textile program and the bilateral agreements it has negotiated, in accordance with the MFA's provisions.

F. <u>CONSULTATIONS</u>

The United States has actively participated in bilateral and plurilateral consultations within the Committee on Trade and Development (CTD) and other GATT fora with the purpose of exploring possibilities for further action to promote the economic development of developing countries and to expand trade between developing and developed countries.

As evidenced by its participation in the present series of consultations, the United States is willing to consult with the Contracting Parties on matters relating to its implementation of Part IV of the General Agreement, in accordance with the objectives set out in Article XXXVI.

VI. JOINT ACTION UNDER GATT ARTICLE XXXVIII

A. TRADE IN PRIMARY PRODUCTS: PRIMARY COMMODITY AGREEMENTS

Since the adoption of Part IV of the GATT, the United States has participated in many joint actions to improve access to world markets for primary products of particular export interest to developing countries. The United States also has participated in efforts to devise measures designed to stabilize and improve conditions in world markets for primary products, including measures designed to attain stable, equitable and remunerative prices for exports. In particular, the United States has helped to negotiate and implement international commodity agreements designed to stabilize world market prices for coffee, sugar, rubber and tin. The United States elected not to join the present tin and cocoa agreements because those agreements did not provide for large enough buffer stocks to defend the negotiated price ranges.

The United States has consistently viewed price stabilizing commodity agreements as economic instruments that should be designed to moderate price fluctuations around long term trends thereby protecting the interests of consuming as well as producing countries. Consequently, the United States examines each proposed commodity agreement to weigh its economic provisions as well as the need and feasibility of such an agreement. The United States has signed the agreement for a common fund for commodities. It will consider ratifying that agreement when there is an indication of which, if any, of the buffer stocking international commodity agreements intend to associate with the Common Fund.

The United States believes that international commodity agreements designed to promote market transparency and research and development may fulfill some of the objectives of Part IV, as noted in Article XXXVI, paragraph 4. For that reason, the United States recently joined the International Jute Organization and has participated in the negotiations of the International Tropical Timber Agreement. These two agreements should serve as focal points for producers and consumers to exchange market information and to cooperate on research, development and marketing efforts. Consequently, producers of these two primary products should gain greater access to the international markets for these commodities and the rates of production and consumption of these commodities should become more stable as the market becomes more transparent.

In addition, the United States belongs to four international commodity study groups: the International Lead and Zinc Study Group, the International Rubber Study Group, the International Cotton Advisory Committee, and the UNCTAD Committee on Tungsten. These groups also promote market stability by gathering and publishing data on historical and projected rates of production and demand for those primary products.

B. JOINT ACTION IN COOPERATION WITH OTHER INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

The United States is a member of UNCTAD, and believes that UNCTAD has the potential to contribute to international understanding of the global problems surrounding trade and development.

The United States is a major supporter of the UNCTAD/GATT International Trade Center (ITC) through its payments to the regular UNCTAD and GATT budgets. The UNCTAD and GATT contributions to the ITC provide almost a third of ITC operating funds. In addition, the United States supports ITC activities through direct contributions to the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) which finances a number of ITC projects in developing countries. APPENDIX

	L
	-
	1
	•
-	
ł	
	Turnet -

U.S. Imports by 1 Digit SITC U.S. imports for consumption

Commodi ty/Country	1979	1980	1981	1982 :	1983
LC 0111ER :	5,233,228	5,356,034	9,6/0,000 : 5,445,154 :	5,640,472 :	9,236,893 6,173,183
rages & tobacco:	28.42	A 1 . A 8	9 4 . 0 7	79 07	70 07
ALL 01HER!	1,969,200	2,116,075 :	2,361,174 :	2,451,940 :	2,671,784
					•
1	. 154.69	.250.09	492.38	561.364	7.446.71
ALL OTHER	7, 190, 151	7,009,645 :	7,546,047	6,109,138 :	7,139,868
51				•• •	
	9, 162,45	7,232,07	8,661,30	7.945.532	29,668,86
ALL OTHER:	40,628,736	1 51,405,756 :	51,970,906 :	37,031,287 :	27,641,457
0 > *	-				
1	6.22	6.63	2.721	0.32	4.16
	82,193	85,925 :	85,251		83,756
				1,001,898	1,457,962
 	10110			11,616,	11,812,4
			-	••	
1	7,480	8,056	9, 183	7,894,622	10,076,2
ALL 0711ER :	, 927, 87	, 339,85	96,814	25,243,574	,832,46
7 Machinery 2 transport					
1	14 310	0 4 9 4 4 5 7	() EE7 000	07 700 61	
	46.091.634	51.364.263 :	57.963.933	· ////////////////////////////////////	CI H CI K 101
8 Miscellaneous mand :					
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	10,550,520	12,144,087	14, 143, 413		17,237,853
ALL UINTK:	01362210	1, 244, 24	120110111	40121912	94.191.9
	970	492	686	: 1,813,195 :	058
1	85,59	, 324, 99	, 395, 76	,565,53	, 580, 99
	1.945.18	1,000,71	0 151 77	R 057 075	10 282 00
THED :					

SOURCE: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

.

•

COM.TD/W/403 Page 30

Table 1

Table 2

•

. -

Leading items in U.S. imports for consumption from LDCs in 1983, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, and 1983

2/15/84

511C number	a Description	1979	1960	1981	1982 :	1983
55	: Petrolaum, petrolaum products; : Articles of annarel and ciothi;	988	,529	.894.436	,235,91	.116.76
1	Electrical machinery, apparatu:	. 358, 00	299, 14	, 992, 26	,721,875	,757,58
89	<pre>istecommunications and sound f: Miscellangous manufactures ar:</pre>	, 375, 87	42,14 56,52	5.5	3,778,465 :	4,700,160 3,624,321
07	: Coffee, tea, cocoa, spices and:	, 149,44	,917,51	,698,96	,496,210	473.14
5) C	<pre>/ footuger====================================</pre>	1,525,731 :	1,964,199 :	2,156,515:	2,286,898 :	2,818,814
	tals	147,08	,401,84	,222,24	697.228	176.06
15	<pre>b Office machines and automatic: </pre>	457,44	580,09	698,96	914,606	,998,04
99	Non-metallic mineral manufactu-	147,09	, 427, 66	, 589, 09	,436,675	,834,02
~ ~		, 285, 31	54,94	, 354, 63	. 526, 326	, 740, 44
67				1,402,155 :	13,064	12,120
93	Special transactions, n.e.s.	91,15	70,33	238,31	295,339	• •
65		22,03	.051.03	.228.95	70.656	108.10
06	Sugar, sugar preparations and	25,45	90,34	40,97	813,040	38,74
280	<pre>F Power generating machinery and ***: # Motallifornue orga and motal ****:</pre>	47,28 28 71	302,38 668 00	402,30	650,110	962,08
74	General industrial machinery	286,253	438,222 :	711,703 :	724,838 :	750,670 848,095
	: • Bhataanahia aasaatus aasiiasaasi					
	Travel goods, handbags and sim-	90,59	55.64	46.34	28,215	22,11
		70,88	71,50	47,38	04,155	10,31
18	 rurniture and parts thereof	410,4/3 F	464,2/2 = 414,428 =	561,657 : 450,203 :	586,277 : 466,273 :	784,595 703,556
	<pre>i Crude rubber (Including synthe~~! i Tobacco and tobacco manifacturant</pre>	6,59 45	31,22	87,81	45,36	75,68
		63, 13	01.87	22.09	20172 74.19	24,28
4	Gas, natural and manufactured	172,021	695,956 1	750,567 :	706,352 :	540,766
	" Urganic chemical granterianteria	68,25	11,70	84,55	64,07	11,71
	Other transport aquipment	59,25	69,59	12,64	70,73	99,24
	<pre>i Meat and meat preparations; i find incodeling of a red fit and incodeling.</pre>	598,164 :	- •	5	64,94	83, 15
	rixed Veguciate of a and (eather. loather manufact	76,97	24,25	80,83 20,63	20,CU	80,75 7:75
29	Crude animal and vegetable mat:	34,72	77,5	0	392,035 :	367,063
~	: : Rubber manufactures, nes:	5.41	33.47	55.55	56.14	56.61
8	Professional, scientific and c:	5	1.77	2	1,18	21,38
200	<pre>Anorganic Clemicals</pre>	5,00	22,66	70,03	63,88	01,70
0 4	Paper, paperboard, and article		140,256	85,24	570,647 -	256,003
27	: Cruda fertilizers and crude mi:	265,241 :	296,210 :	350,750 :	289,332 :	102'022 🎝. 1
		•			•	

COM.TD/W/403 Page 31

••

1983,
ľ
LDCs
from Ntinu
consumption from LDCs in and 1933Continued
fo.
imports for 1981, 1982,
tems in U.S. 1979, 1980,
i tems 1979
Lading

٠

5 2
10 m
1
50
- 5
``
Nr

COM. Page	TD/W/40	03
Table 2 (cont.)	1983 1983	
T		,

		•	•			
SITC number	bascription i	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
58	: Artificial resins and plastic	4.82	7.75	9.78	1.48	11.20
81	anitary, olumbing	5.57			2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
2.4	Motaluncking market					** ' 00
	1 fluo solati a chioflu for food					2
		200	2224	0,201	9 / 1	51,86
-		3,21	2,10	1,251	5,397	5,09
54	<pre>// Modicinal and obsermaceutical action // // // // // // // // // // // // //</pre>	5.80	2.851	70 U	201 0	-
5	Cork and Wood	164.776	8.26	 	2 8 7 1	ñe
20	<pre>: Fertilizers, manufactured:</pre>	4.60	2,05	9.44	1.00.0	0 M 0 V
5	: Essential oils and perfume mat:	4.19	5.40	9.05	0.689	
59	: Chemical materials and product:	5,09	56,255 :	67,753 :	70,871 :	50.31
6 ·	Σ	34,028 :	40°954 :	ñ	2,24	7.1
26	Taxti	0,55	0,60	9,90	7.395	5.7
25	: Pulp and waste paper	5,41	3,43	9,42	5,074	5.2
04	: Coreals and cereal preparation:	6,26	1,79	3,36	6.066	10 10
22	: Oil seeds and oleaginous fruit:	1,99	8,765	4,30	35,250 :	36,43
			•			
0,	reading sturf for animals (not	96.9	4,840	9,483	8,536	
20	Dyeing, tanning and colouring	21,512 1	511	24,290 1	21,034 :	26,71
20	<pre>! Dairy products and birds' aggs":</pre>	5,85	5,469	1,668	9,757	9.9
50	Animals, live, n.e.s.	, 62	0,411	1 5 0 ' 5	3,643	4.7
43	<pre>? Animal and vagatable oils and; .</pre>	5	5,822	6,446	4,290	3.3
32	<pre>* Coal, coke and briquettes;</pre>	, 54	. 8 4	6.30	. 26	
95	t Armaments	, 94	, 300	, 226	, 734	•
57	: Explosives and pyrotechnic pro:	10,781 1	8,607	8,220	7,735 :	-
21	<pre>i Hides, skins and furskins, rawi</pre>	6,67	: 0	, 56	,023	5
86	· ××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	••••	, 41	. 33	57	
4	: Animal oils and fata	218 :	. 47	4	Ø	F
•	tal.		ょう	80,353,778 :	78,957,075 :	90,288.48
	i Total, all items imported i					
	from LOCs	60,945,189 :	73,999,718 :	80,353,778 :	78,957,075 :	90,288,48

W i

• ...

S
ð
-
<u>p</u>
Ta

.

: --:

U.S. EXPORTS BY 1 DIGIT SITC U.S. domastic exports

Commodi ty/Country	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
d & livo animals:					
[DCg;	6,877,485 : 15 285 251 :	10,405,742 :	11,614,101 : 	8,430,347 :	10,006,14
orages & tobacco:	r31 r 031	12126211	coc'hoc'o	21,446,01	4,061,
1	8.37	887,731	023,069	1,096,57	52,8
HER:	98,80	75, 118	,891,77	1,929,	1,960,08
1	88.9.8K.	1728.10	146 707	21 081 2	
ALL OTH	15,850,125 :	17,984,570 :	15,553,716 :	14,003,854	13.225.48
al fuels, lubricants					
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	126	1,671,266 :	2,058,474	3,441	.820,
	, 521, 79	, 345, 319	,234,974	9,301,67	6,691,80
			• ••		
1 1 1 1	1,168,205 :	100	3,117	1,046,14	37.7
222222222222222222222222222222222222222	713,71	632,831	651,306		
	13 001				
	0, 377, 33U	10,809,100 1 11,620,318	10,454,441 : 12 626 220	9,6/5,988 1	9,822,19
chief				121012121	1 1 200 1
matorial:					
	5,670,793	8, 192, 465 :	8,328,953	6,646,619	5,164
1 1 2 5	. 404.00	.411,998	,686,603	10,470,691	10,050,3
			•• ••		
	4.035.78	0.675.730	4.836.314	30.710.646	24.194.3
ALL OTHER!	45,363,670	52, 323, 398 :	59, 198, 327	54,525,341	56, 105, 08
<pre>6 Miscellaneous mand</pre>					
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.712.29	547.613	.251.61	4.875.462	4.158.2
01HER:	9,001,631	11.512.443	11,115,303 :	10.733.227	10.696.78
odities & transaction	•				
	36 710				
		1/// CO2/1	1,207,03,1 1,102,17	943,103 : F 062 002 ·	819,74
		1 4 1 4 1 0 0		20012661	n*/n) * n
	57,712,736	75,523	81,356,327	72,055,656 :	64,210,40
	0,865,26	1.068.570	7.513.25	5.101.98	1.758.9

SOURCE: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce. • •

2/15/89	leading iter (In	ns in U.S. domesti 1979, 1930, 1981, thousands of doll	c exports to LDC 1982, and 1983 arsi f.a.s. valu	s in 1983,	La	able 4
511C numbar	e Dascription : :	: 6197 	1980	1981	1982	1983
40 01	: Carcals and carcal proparation:	.053.596	,665,24	. 157 . 4 16	, 977, 90	.807,64
	<pre>* Uther transport equipment</pre>	. 157.096	, 536, 93 , 445, 99	,102,595 .052.105	, 905, 99 873, 68	547,27
72 59	<pre>i Machinary spacialized for part: Clomical materials and product:</pre>	4, 301, 306 1 2, 301, 141 1	5,660,595 : 2,968,819 :	6.616,652 : 3.124.481 :	6, 167, 456 : 1, 188, 411 :	3,665,881 3,665,881
75	: 1 Office machinem and automatic	117 691				
	ndustrial machinery a-	413,248	,326,43	, 239, 96	, 522, 52	, 703, 18 . 691. 77
82	<pre>' rowor generating machinery and: ' Road vahicles (including air c:</pre>	2,416,349 :	3,181,008 :	3,382,431 :	, 380, 74	,467.72
51	chemical summer and	,917,09	,225,68	.264.79	2,088,275 :	2,124,532
33	: Petroloum, petroloum products:	32.49	51.64	20 201	L7 697	
96	: Telacommunications and sound r:	, 364, 70	,712,76	.868.64	.895.24	, 774 . 28 . 774 . 28
22	: Uil seeds and cleaginous fruit: : Professional scientific and are:	,054,19 205 01	.241.53	, 357, 19	,432,78	,646,72
58	ificial rosins and plastic	0,4	1,833,451	1,650,416	1,528,383 :	1,565,887 1,545,208
89	: Miscellanoous manufactures ar:	. 197.96	612.92	80 1 CY.	6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
26	Textile fibres and their waster-	64,06	570, 32	, 345, 72	,318,38	199,12
69	Handactures of metal, neg		1,138,910 : 1.465.744 :	1,295,054 : 1,780,498 :	1,197,980 :	76,73
52	organic chamicals	857,95	, 163, 80	. 147.39	878.64	
62	la yarnı fabrics, mada-up	84,31	64,42	06,42	119,39	63.40
21		64.34	802,05	943,24	,023,41	39,03
32	Coal, coke and briquettes:	518,755 1	671,964	1 127,800	4°29 2.03	51,82 44,91
42	<pre>i Fixed vegetable oils and fats: </pre>	61,19	73,40	83,43	30,3	625,759
	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	57,90	48,73	55,49	80.55	22.42
	<pre>i Madicinal and phermaceutical p: i Non-motallic minoral manufacture;</pre>	84,38 54,38	59,96	594,82	592,70	94,86
50	and fruit	455,334	800.827 :	1,043,227 :	738,612 :	585,046
	<pre>' rert!L!zers, manutactured: '</pre>	93, 17	04,13	80,52	20,13	68,23
52 • •	Pulp and waste paper		27,62	95,44	19,40	44.87
0.5	· rocographic apparatus, equipm~~: i Articles of apparel and clothi~~:	30,18	690,361 I 609,995 :	728,843 1	636,621 :	2,06
20	atal s-	38.62	28.75	21.43	08,93 08,93	99,29 91,91
80	s (no	28,05	47,39	89,36	54,25	52,1
21	Hidas, skins and furskins, raw:	70,90	80,61	04,37	11,51	98,58
	nd fats	55,84	30, 54	56,41 90,61	74,81	98,31
5 5 5 5 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	: Essential oils and porfume mat: : Non-forrous metals	340,299 : 453,929 :	404,227 : 921,549 :	661,092 : 676,189 :	424,261 : 424,261 :	302,217 374,447 347,138
27	: Cruda fertilizers and crude mi	360,719 :	396.233	366.223	a	11 10
		•				1750

COM. TD/W/403 Page 34

Table 4 (cont.)

Laading itams in U.S. domastic exports to LDCs in 1983, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, and 1983--Continued

12/15/84

54,214,546 10, 182 91, 483 72, 682 321,745 299,556 273,012 272,219 263,176 229,909 224,343 198,084 183,400 183,254 175,509 70,066 63,895 57,141 52,894 47,586 33,473 29,678 20,292 19,961 11,958 3,292 64,214,546 1983 369,512 343,086 249,419 186,956 194,205 195,206 217,620 217,620 114,624 99,583 100,785 106,826 54,995 73,193 65,674 65,657 46,605 66,475 26,056 28,580 28,580 28,580 72,065,565 347,538 85,890 58,884 3,478 72,065,565 311,59 1982 94,114 512,142 79,842 65,815 95,591 57,917 157, 111 183, 585 210, 565 240, 695 205, 477 308, 175 464, 986 571, 770 336, 761 225, 553 54,734 32,373 40,067 92,368 3,029 81,362,693 224.641 \$1,362,693 137 1981 Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce (In thousands of dollarsi f.a.s. valua) 254, 141 147,655 151,645 191,609 186,303 85,680 50,589 242,822 56,893 244.287 176.549 105.281 69,415 56,442 55,431 48,319 41,133 298,828 390,197 471,358 161,790 2,016 75,527,277 1,027 1980 206,357 73,074 92,584 79,569 75,342 35,519 45,169 42,397 31,360 31,360 255,244 300,360 210,198 233,252 233,252 58,932 73,569 45,633 232,183 35,629 75,094 146,976 139,534 115,063 2,866 57,718,958 57.718.958 1979 Miscellanoous odible products---Dairy products and birds' aggs---Leather, leather manufactures,---Crude animal and vegetable mat---Furniture and parts thereof-----Fish, crustaceans and molluscs--: Live animals chiefly for food----: Cruda rubbar (Including syntham Cork and wood manufactures (ox----Rubbar manufacturas, nas------Sugar, sugar preparations and -----Boverages------Explosives and pyrotechnic pro---1 Gas, natural and manufactured ----Oyaing, tanning and colouring---Sanitary, plumbing, heating an--******** and wood-----preparations----Total, all itoms exported to LDCs------Dascription Footugar Moat Cork Source 200 20000 20000 20062 597-05 84086 87086 numbar 96 20 SITC

COM.TD/W/403 Page 35

	S
	
	ē
	Dial
	-
•	þγ
	Imports

U.S. Imports by 1 Digit SIIC U.S. Imports for consumption

(Parcant of customs value basis)

100.0000 13.6565 86.3435 100.0000 22.3739 77.6261 100.0000 25.6774 74.3226 100.0000 51.7683 48.2312 100.0000 82.4749 17.5251 100.0000 23.3645 71.1355 100.0000 19.7276 80.2724 100.0000 54.9330 45.0670 59.9406 40.0594 0000.90 1983 100.0000 29.5411 70.4589 100.0000 43.0685 56.9915 100.0000 79.8145 20.1855 100.0000 10.6840 89.3160 100.0000 23.8233 76.1767 100,0000 17.6133 82.3867 100.0000 60.7262 39.2738 100.0000 18.9406 81.0594 100.0000 54.8796 45.1204 000.000 1982 100.0000 19.4651 80.5349 100.0000 82.5295 17.4705 168.8000 10.7693 89.2307 100.0000 24.7005 75.2995 100.0000 16.6249 83.3751 100.0000 63.9770 36.0230 100.0000 31.6389 68.3616 100.0000 54.6478 45.3522 100.0000 32.5457 64.4543 100.0000 1981 100.0000 65.7480 34.0520 100.0000 18.5486 81.4514 100.0000 31.6781 68.3215 100.0000 84.1629 15.8371 100.0000 34.6297 65.3703 100.0000 9.6904 90.1096 100.0000 24.8688 75.1312 100.0000 51.5819 48.4181 100.0000 15.8638 84.1362 100.0000 1980 100.0000 30.4953 69.5047 100.0000 65.3430 34.6570 100.0000 32.0490 67.9510 100.0000 24.6009 75.3991 100.0000 17.8688 82.1312 100.0000 88.0605 11.9395 100.0000 8.8989 95.1011 100.0000 14.8927 85.1073 100.0000 50.7861 49.2119 100.0000 1979 0431 ------ALL OTHER F ------Bovorages & tobacco' Total------ALL OTHER :-----DC 5 1 -----ALL OTHERS -----Mineral fuels, lubricants, DC 51 -----ALL OTHER ! -----Commodities & transactions . DC s 1 -----013] ALL OTHER : -----DCs; ----------------------| DC 5 ; ------ALL OTHER :-----DC5: ---------------------ALL OTHER . ------011s & fats--animal & vog Crude matl--inedible, not Machinery & transport Commodity/Country Food & live animals: Mand goods by chiaf Miscellaneous mand LL OTHER: ----Chemicals: material: articles: aquips fual: prod etci [otal Dac ~ 0 2 \$ 5 • ~ • 9

COM_TD/W/403 Page 36

ŝ

Table

Table 5 (cont.)

• •

U.S. Imports by I Digit SITC U.S. imports for consumption--Continued

- 5 -

(Percant of customs value basis)

s.,	(Parcant c	(rercent of customs value basis)	18 D25157		
Commodi ty/Country	6/61	1980	1961	1982 :	1983
9 Commodities & transactions					
	19.9912 80.0038	21.8970 78.1050	23.8145 1	24.5733 1	26.9479 73.0521
	100.0000	100.0000	100.0000	100,000	100.000
ALL 01868	70.4038	59.1595	68.9768 :	52.5811 : 67.418 :	35.1848 64.8152

SOURCE: Compiled from official statistics of the U.S. Department of Commerce.

COM.TD/W/403 Fage 37

5	0
- 40	5
~	
5	9
-	5
~	••
- N	~
_	

Leading items in U 197

5 Ē

U.S. imports for consumption from LDCs in 1983, 179, 1980, 1981, 1982, and 1983	consumption from 982, and 1983	LDC= in 1983,	Ta	Table 6
Purcant of total customs value	customs value)			
1979	: 1980	1981	1982 :	1983
••	••••	•• ••	•• ••	
••				
31.1573 :	35.8505 :	34.7145 :	34.4946 :	32
8.0483 :	7.3178 :	7.8295 :	8.5698 :	
5.5099 :	5.8097 :	6.2129 :	7.2468 :	
4.3390 :	3.9760 :	4.2543 :	4.5518 :	. 27
3.8984 :	3.7251 :	4.1157 :	4.7855 :	

	والمواجها والمحافية والمحافية والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافظة والمحافية والمحافة والمحافة والمحافة	(Pricant of tota	I customs value)			
517C number	escription :		 096 5	1981	1982 :	1983
	. Patrolaim, patrolaim producter	157	028	2		
5 8	rticles of apparel and clothi			7.714 7 879	.4740 5408	892.
11	: Electrical machinerv. apparatu:	509	808	,	8796	ν 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
76	: Telecommunications and sound r:	.339	. 976	254	5518	500
89	: Miscellaneous manufactures ar:	9	3.7251 :	4.1157 :	4,7855 :	4.0142
07	rorns, moire	099	202	207		
			2 2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	500.	1024.	949
020	and fruit	6.38		502	4070. 7177	22.1
68	: Nun-ferrous metals	3.523	265	765	7071	
75	: Office machines and automatic:	: 0.7506 :	0.7839 :	0.8699 :	1.1534 :	
		••				, , ,
66		.882	.929	.977	.8196	.031
50	Fish, crustaceans and molluscs	2.1090 :	1.6959 :	1.6858 :	1.9331 :	1.9276
69	1	. 543	.366	.507	.6131	.691
/9	Iron and steel	.369	.203	. 745	.3159	. 594
54		. 462	. 446	.541	.6406	.565
5 9	Tautilo under fabrica and de la companya	5 1 3	009			
20		1 682	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	220.	0966.	855.
17	Poker constation markingry and	1000 U	203		1420.	C
28	Mrtalliferous ores and metal s		958	2000. 2000 2000	1610.	C 0 D .
74	<pre>* vectoral industrial machinery a:</pre>	: 0.4697 :	0.5922 :	0.8857		1020 U
			•			
80 i 80 i	Photographic apparatus, eq	. 94	. 88	.002	.9224	116.
5	Iravel goods, handbags and	.805	.750	.804	.8928	.905
.	Cork and wood	. 929	.907	.930	.7652	.897
να	<pre>: rurniture and parts thereof; : bond mobialor / inclusion -in and;</pre>		0.62/4 :	0.6990	0.7425 :	0.8690
5	CATSINAA NPAN	C00.		096.	2062.	.119
	: Crude rubber (including svinthe;	.504	. 123	980	2089	768
	Tobacco and	. 533	484	.527	5415	202
	Gold	0.103	.543	. 525	.6225	.686
5 N	Gas, natural and manufactured	0.2823	0.9405 1	0.9341 :	0.8946 :	0.5989
	Urganic chemicalg	.276	.286	.354	.3345	.566
	: Other transnort annimment	425	007	517	660G	6 2 3
	Meat and meat preparations	0.981	.662	.570	6623	2002
	Fixed vegetable nils and fats	: 0.9722 :	0.5937 1	0.4802 . 1	0.3373 :	0.4217
	Leather, leather manufactures,	0.445	.309	.425	.4105	413
	ပ	0.549	.510	.483	. 4965	.406
	: Rubber manufactures, nes: · Bforeiter	0.320	.315	.318	. 3244	. 395
- ^	_ P	272. 285.		222.	1810.	326
s N	Machinery specialized for part-	200		0 5 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2466.	
5	Paper, paperboard, and article-	0.2464 :	0.1895 :	0.2305 :	0.2444 :	0.2835
))
. 21	Crude fertilizers and crude mi:	0.4352 :	0.4003 :	0.4365 :	0.3664 :	1 - 1 0.2551
	•	••			••	

'COM.TD/W/403 Page 38

Table 6 (cont.)

. •

Leading items in U.S. imports for consumption from LDCs in 1983, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, and 1983--Continued

12,15/84 7:49:50

SITC : number : 58 :			•	•	•	
28 : 28	Description		1980	1981	1982 :	1983
	Artificial resins and plastic:	. 106	. 105	124	156	0.20
81:	Sanitary, plumbing, heating an:	. 140	132	138	991	202
73 :	Metalworking machinaryi	.251	.221	.230	219	2
: 00	Live animals chiefly for food:	0.1635 :	0.1260 :	0.0974 :	0.1643 :	168
	Baverages	169	. 166	. 183	. 184	6.9
	1					
	fork and montaneoutical p:	10140.0	0.1120 :	22	. 142	<u>.</u>
200	fortilizers, manufactured:	056			000	221.
55 :	Essential oils and perfume mat:	. 138	. 128		080	
59 :	Chemical materials and product 1	.074	.076	.08	0.0898 :	0.089
		1	1			
	Miscellaneous edible products:	.055	. 055	.067	.078	.074
910	[extile fibres and their waster:	0.1158 :	0.1089 :	0.1119 :	0.0980 :	0.071
2 Z Z	Fulp and Maste paper:	.025	.045	.074	.069	.050
	cereals and cereal preparation	.043	. 043	.054	.058	. 0,
	UII seeds and oleaginous fruit~~:	. 068	.052	.216	.044	.040
YU	fooding stuff for snimele (not	090	0.00			,
 	Duction, tanning and relationary	220	727.		000.0	.
02 :	Dairv products and hirds' ands:		020.	0.00	020.0	720.
94 :	Animals, live, n.e.s.	012	014	210.	2120.	220.
43 :	Animal and vegetable oils and:	0.0222 :	0.0214 :	0.0205 :	0.0181 :	0.014
••• (,) ,
32 :	Coal, coke and briquettes:	.002	.003	.020	.004	0.
		0.0147 :	0.0139 :	0.0152 :	0.0161 :	0.011
 	Explosives and pyrotechnic pro	.017	10.	.010	.009	.009
21	Hides, skins and furskins, raw:	.027	.020	.026	.020	.006
 92		.000	.006	.002	.001	.00
- 15	Animal, oils and fats:	0.0004 :	0.0020 :	0.0006	0.0002 :	0.000

COM.TD/W/403 Page 39

•

•

-

U.S. 1976 TOP 15 GSP BENEFICIARIES BASED UPON GSP FREE TRADE

	BENEFICIARY	GSP	FREE IMPORTS	% OF TOTAL	*
•	TAIWAN		\$727,987,182	23.0%	-
	HONG KONG		\$ 346,916,594	11.0%	
	KOREA, SOUTH		\$327,459,733	10.4%	
	MEXICO		\$253,062,120	5.0%	
5.	BRAZIL			6.3%	
6.	YUGOSLAVIA		\$154,316,121	4.9%	
7.	ZAMBIA		\$151,218,923	4.3%	
з.	ISRAEL		\$116,155,684	3.7%	
9.	GUATEMALA		\$77,070,449	2.4%	
10.	SINGAPORE		\$73 , 238,336	2.3%	
11.	ARGENTINA		\$71,761,332	2.3%	
12.	INDIA		\$61,434,953	1.9%	
13.	PHILIPPINES		\$59,349,060	1.9%	
14.	BOTSHANA		\$54,317,807	1.7%	
15.	PERU		\$43,654,752	1.4%	
	ALL OTHERS		\$427,107,413	13.5%	
	TOTAL	· \$.	3,160,292,951		

• 🗲

-

Table 8

U.S. 1977 TOP 15 GSP BENEFICIARIES BASED UPON GSP FREE TRADE

•

	BENEFICIARY	GSP FREE IMPORTS	% OF TOTAL
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13.	TAIWAN KOREA, SOUTH HONG KONG MEXICO BRAZIL ISRAEL YUGOSLAVIA SINGAPORE PHILIPPINES ARGENTINA INDIA PORTUGAL		23.5% 13.7% 12.5% 9.5% 8.9% 3.8% 3.0% 2.8% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 1.4% 1.4% 1.1% 1.0%
15.	MALAYSIA All Others	\$33,335,836 \$468,392,358	0.9% 12.1%
	TOTAL	\$3,878,007,353	

.

۶

-

U.S. 1978 TOP 15 GSP BENEFICIARIES BASED UPON GSP FREE TRADE

	BENEFICIARY	GSP FREE IMPORTS	% OF TOTAL
1.	TAIWAN	\$1,433,371,890	27.5%
Ζ.	KOREA, SOUTH	\$647,601,150	12.4%
Ξ.	HONG KONG		10.3%
4.	BRAZIL	\$468,051,054	9.0%
5.	MEXICO	\$458,253,697	8.8%
5.	ISRAEL	\$192,019,422	3.7%
7.	SINGAPORE	\$153,231,359	2.9%
8.	YUGOSLAVIA	\$152,283,027	2.9%
9.	ARGENTINA		2.8%
10.	INDIA	\$120,094,381	2.3%
11.	CHILE	\$37,003,475	1.7%
12.	PERU	\$78,327,224	1.5%
13.	PORTUGAL	\$72,885,091	1.4%
14.	PHILIPPINES	\$72,885,091 \$70,964,637	1.4%
15.	URUGUAY	\$53,939,372	1.0%
	ALL OTHERS	\$ 5 3 0 , 1 9 2 , 2 1 5	10.2%
	TOTAL	\$5,204,219,401	

COM.TD/W/403 Page 43

Table 10

U.S. 1979 TOP 15 GSP BENEFICIARIES BASED UPON GSP FREE TRADE

•

	BENEFICIARY	GSP FRE	E IMPORTS	. *	OF TOTAL	۶
1.	TAIWAN	\$1,72	0,898,541		27.4%	•
2.	KOREA, SOUTH	\$74	9,927,248		11.9%	
3.	HONG KONG	\$62	9,279,665		10.0%	
4.	MEXICO	\$ 5 4.	5,960,542		8.7%	
5.	BRAZIL	\$54	5,532,865		8.7%	
6.	ISRAEL	\$28	2,988,704		4.5%	
7.	SINGAPORE	\$23	1,654,208		3.7%	
8.	YUGOSLAVIA	\$16	6,444,078	.•	2.7%	
9.	INDIA	\$13	7,366,153		2.2%	
10.	PHILIPPINES	\$13	6,761,138		2.2%	
11.	ARGENTINA	\$10	1,625,783		1.6%	
12.	PORTUGAL	59	3,415,517		1.5%	
13.	PERU	\$9	1,659,313		1.5%	
14.	ROMANIA	\$8.			1.3%	
15.	CHILE	\$7	0,773,119		1.1%	
	ALL OTHERS		3,040,255		11.3%	
	TOTAL	\$6,27	9,976,790			

?

-

.

U.S. 1980 TOP 15 GSP BENEFICIARIES BASED UPON GSP FREE TRADE

	BENEFICIARY	GSP FREE IMPORTS	% OF TOTAL
1.	TAIWAN	\$1,811,890,063	25.0%
2.	HONG KONG	\$795,070,607	11.0%
3.	KOREA, SOUTH	\$765,060,829	10.6%
4.	MEXICO	\$506,645,357	7.0%
5.	BRAZIL	\$438,025,926	6.1%
6.	SINGAPORE	\$299,558,837	4.1%
7.	ISRAEL	\$230,321,782	3.2%
8.	ARGENTINA	\$210,824,994	2.9%
9.	YUGOSLAVIA	\$176,763,813	2.4%
10.	COLOMBIA	\$138,771,651	1.9%
11.	INDIA	\$136,650,194	1.9%
12.	PHILIPPINES	\$134,735,090	1.9%
13.	CHILE	\$119,370,050	1.7%
14.	ZANBIA	\$105,326,540	1.5%
15.	THAILAND	\$104,216,247	1.4%
	ALL OTHERS	\$1,265,346,947	17.5%
	TOTAL	\$7,240,079,427	

COM.TD/W/403 Page 45

raye 45

Table 12

U.S. 1981 TOP 15 GSP BENEFICIARIES BASED UPON GSP FREE TRADE

.

	BENEFICIARY	GSP FREE IMPORTS	% OF TOTAL
1.	TAIWAN	\$2,224,907,558	26.5%
Ζ.	KOREA, SOUTH	\$890,091,913	10.6%
3.	HONG KONG	\$795,440,582	9.5%
4.	MEXICO	\$633,478,087	7.5%
5.	BRAZIL	\$514,592,282	6.1%
6.	SINGAPORE	\$382,347,301	4.6%
7.	ISRAEL	\$324,493,693	3.9%
	ARGENTINA	\$278,398,904	3.3%
9.	YUGOSLAVIA	\$189,970,446	2.3%
10.	THAILAND	\$172,782,086	2.1%
11.	INDIA	\$160,898,574	1.9%
12.	PHILIPPINES	\$133,216,835	1.6%
13.	PORTUGAL	\$113,607,134	1.4%
14.	COLOMBIA	\$112,370,330	1.3%
	ROMANIA	\$108,544,433	1.3%
	ALL OTHERS	\$1,359,353,757	15.2%
	TOTAL	\$8,395,499,065	

.

U.S. 1982 TOP 15 GSP BENEFICIARIES BASED UPON GSP FREE TRADE

	BENEFICIARY	GSP	F	RE	E	IM	12(אכ	TS	5	*	CF	Т		L
1.	TAIWAN	\$ 2	2,	33.	3,	38	7	, 7	53	3		2	7.3	7%	
Ζ.	KOREA, SOUTH	\$ 1	,	80	9,	23	1	. 8	37	7		12	z.s	3%	
3.	HONG KONG		\$	794	4,	89	1	, 4	2<	4		ę	9.4	4 %	
4.	MEXICO		S	59	9,	49	4	, 9	3 9	9			7.1	1 %	
5.	BRAZIL		\$	56	3,	87	5	, 1	12	2		6	5.7	1%	
6.	SINGAPORE											5	5.1	1 %	
7.	ISRAEL														
8.	INDIA		ŝ	18	7.	53	4	9	9 (ר					
9.	YUGOSLAVIA ARGENTINA		\$	175	9,	47	9	0	96	5		2	2.1		
10.	ARGENTINA		\$	17:	3,	22	4,	. 3	44	4		2	2.1	1 2	
11.	THAILAND		\$	16	t,	84	1	2	38	3		1	1.5)%	
12.	CHILE		\$	149	э,	99	7	. 5	1 5	3		1	1.3	32	
13.	PHILIPPINES												1.5		
14.	PERU		5	10:	3,	98	1	, 9	52	2		1	. 2	2%	
15.	PORTUGAL		\$	102	2,	63	2	3	9 6	5			1.2		
	ALL OTHERS	\$ 1	, (012	2,	00	7	6	1 0	3		12	2.0) %	
	TOTAL	s	3,4	423	5,	61	۵,	6	83	5					

COM.TD/W/403 Page 47

Table 14

U.S. 1983 TOP 15 GSP BENEFICIARIES BASED UPON GSP FREE TRADE

.

	BENEFICIARY	GSP FREE IMPORTS	% OF TOTAL
1.	TAIWAN	\$2,981,387,842	27.7%
۲.	KOREA, SOUTH	\$1,523,890,366	14.2%
3.	HONG KONG	\$1,102,186,009	10.2%
4.	MEXICO	\$724,594,436	6.7%
5.	BRAZIL	\$632,784,233	5.9%
6.	SINGAPORE	\$512,090,083	4.8%
7.	ISRAEL	\$474,027,816	4.4%
8.	PHILIPPINES	\$257,615,647	2.4%
9.	VENEZUELA	\$239,050,823	2.2%
10.	ARGENTINA	\$224,964,947	2.1%
	INDIA	\$181,361,327	
12.	YUGOSLAVIA	\$162,262,945	1.5%
13.	PERU	\$150,205,034	1.4%
14.	THAILAND	\$118,268,273	1.1%
15.	PORTUGAL	\$106,798,694	1.0%
	ALL OTHERS	\$106,798,694 \$1,372,916,419	12.3%
	TOTAL	\$10,764,404,594	

COM_TD/W/403 Page 48

ANNEX

۶

ANNEX I

BENEFICIARY COUNTRIES IN THE U.S. GENERALIZED SYSTEM OF PREFERENCES.

Independent Countries

Angola	Guinea	Philippines
Antigua and Barbuda	Guinea Bissau	Portugal
Argentina	Guyana	Romania
Bahamas, The	Haiti	Rwanda
Bahrain	Honduras	Saint Lucia
Bangladesh	India	Saint Vincent and
Barbados	Indonesia	The Grenadines
Belize	Israel	Sao Tome and Principe
Benin	Ivory Coast	Senegal
Bhutan	Jamaica	Seychelles
Bolivia	Jordan	Sierra Leone
Botswana	Kenya	Singapore
Brazil	Riribati	Solomon Islands
Brunei	Korea, Republic of	Somalia
Burma	Lebanon	Sri Lanka
Burundi	Lesotho	Sudan
Cameroon	Liberia	Suriname
Cape Verde	Madagascar	Swaziland
Central African	Malawi	Syria
Republic	Malaysia	Taiwan
Chad	Maldives	Tanzania
Chile	Mali	Thailand
Colombia	Malta	Togo
Comores	Mauritania 🐪	Tonga
Congo	Mauritius	Trinidad and Tobago
Costa Rica	Mexico	Tunisia
Cyprus	Morocco	Turkey
Djibouti	Mozambique	Tuvalu
Dominica	Nauru	Uganda
Dominican Republic	Nepal	Upper Volta
Ecuador	Nicaragua	Uruguay
Egypt	Niger	Vanuatu
El Salvador	Oman	Venezuela
Equatorial Guinca	Pakistan	Western Samoa
Fiji	Panama	Yemen (Sanaa)
Gambia, The	Papua New Guinea	Yugoslavia
Ghana	Paraguay	Zaire
Grenada	Peru	Zambia
Guatemala		Zimbabwe

COM_TD/W/403 Page 50

Ncn-Independent Countries and Territories

Bermuda British Indian Ocean Territory Cayman Islands Christmas Island (Australia) Cocos (Keeling) Islands Cock Islands Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas) French Polynesia Gibraltar Heard Island and McDonald Island Hong Kong Macau Montserrat Netherlands Antilles New Caledonia Niue Norfolk Island Pitcairn Islands Saint Christopher-Nevis Saint Helena Tokelau Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands Turks and Caicos Islands Virgin Islands, British Wallis and Futuna Western Sahara