

# **GENERAL AGREEMENT ON TARIFFS AND TRADE**

**RESTRICTED**

COM.TD/SCPM/W/31  
18 November 1985

Limited Distribution

---

Committee on Trade and Development  
Sub-Committee on Protective Measures

Original: English

## **NOTIFICATION BY INDIA**

The information contained in this document was communicated to the secretariat on 4 November 1985 by the Permanent Mission of India with the request that it be circulated.

**SUB-COMMITTEE ON PROTECTIVE MEASURES  
NOTIFICATION BY INDIA OF PROTECTIVE ACTIONS  
TAKEN AGAINST THEIR EXPORTS BY DEVELOPED COUNTRIES**

Sl. No.	Protective measures	Country adopting the measures	Details of products covered	Nature of protectionist action Line and the date from which measures applied	Amount of anti- dumping or countervailing duty imposed	Trade Cover- age	Remarks
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1.	Countervailing U.S.A.	Industrial fasteners	TSUS 646.49	The items of industrial fasten- ers referred to in Column 5 were subjected to a counter- vailing duty(CVD) of 18% with effect from 21 July, 1980 to 31 December, 1980. For the year 1981, a CVD of 19.68% was levied.	As in Col.6.	Imports into US from India of items curr- ently subject to CVD (viz.TSUS has not been proved import of fasteners from India even after 1980).	
2.	Countervailing U.S.A.	Iron metal castings, viz., man-hole covers and frames	TSUS 657.09	With effect from 23 May, 1980 a countervailing duty of 12.9% to 16.8% is being levied by the USA on imports of public works castings referred to in Col.4. Following some changes in the assistance given to the Indian exporters, the CVD was	As in Col.6.	Imports into USA from India amounted to US\$7.09 million in 1983 and US\$ 11.53 million in 1984.	

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
cleanout covers and frames.				reduced to 5.26% for 1981 and 2.80% for 1982 and 2.42% for future imports till next review.				
3. Initiation of anti-dumping duty investigations on castings	U.S.A.	Heavy and light construction castings	TSUS 657.09	On 28 June, 1985, the US ITC made a preliminary determination that there was reasonable indication that industries in the US are materially injured by reason of imports from India and certain other countries. In so far as India is concerned, some of the items covered (viz., mainly man-hole covers) are already subject to CVD the details of which are given at Sl. No.(2).	In 1981, the US Department of Commerce had determined that Indian castings were not being dumped into the USA. Initiation of anti-dumping investigations on this item once again will have adverse effects on export of the item from India into USA. Response to the anti-dumping questionnaire is understood to have been furnished by the Indian exporters.	Imports into USA from India were \$10.3 million in 1983 and \$16.3 million in 1984.		

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
4. Anti-dumping and counter-vailing duty investigations on steel pipes and tubes	U.S.A.	Welded carbon steel	610.3231 610.3241 610.3243 610.3254 610.3258	610.3234 610.3242 anti-dumping and counter-vailing duty investigations on this item in July, 1985. pipes and	610.3252 610.3256 They have made a preliminary determination that imports from India of the item are causing injury to the US domestic industry.	-	-	1) The US ITC have cumulated imports from India, Yugoslavia, Turkey, etc. and have determined injury to domestic industry. As a result of cumulation, even though imports from India account for less than 1% of the total imports of the item into the USA, countervailing and anti-dumping investigations have been initiated on imports of the item into the USA from India also.

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.

taken for the questionnaire to reach India (normally a week), the time taken for the responses to reach Washington (about a week) and the time taken to collect the material from various sources including local authorities. Information required on a number of points in the questionnaire are not germane to the investigation. For example, the firms have been asked to give information relating to not only exports of products under investigation but also all other products manufactured by them and exported to all destinations. Firms were required to

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.

## respond answers

in computer tapes.  
Copies of all invoices concerning sales in the domestic market which run into few thousands have been required to be sent by post.

5. Anti-dumping duty on power on hacksaws

Australia Power hacksaws having 04.45.19 applied to power hacksaws imported into Australia from India and several other countries on or after 29 August, 1980. The Australian Customs representative visited India in February 1984 to re-assess the normal value. In calculating the revised values, no rebate on account of refunded indirect taxes has been given.

CCN 85.01.13

An anti-dumping duty is being applied to power hacksaws imported into Australia from India and several other countries on or after 29 August, 1980. The Australian Customs representative visited India in February 1984 to re-assess the normal value. In calculating the revised values, no rebate on account of refunded indirect taxes has been given.

6. Anti-dumping duty on electric motors

Australia Electric motors having a power rating not less than 0.746 kw. but not exceeding 400 kw.

CCN 85.01.13

The Australian Department of Business and Consumer Affairs initiated anti-dumping proceedings on this product imported from India in January, 1981. They imposed anti-dumping cash security on this item on 8 September, 1981, and this levy has since been continuing. In the calculation of normal value, no rebate on account of refunded indirect taxes has been given by the Australian authorities.

See 1982-83  
Col. 7 Aus 77,000  
1983-84  
Aus 45,556  
from Aus \$87800 in 1980-81 to Aus \$45556 in 1983-84.

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.
7.	Anti-dumping duty on files and rasps	Australia	Files & rasps	CCW 82.03.3	The Australian Department of Business and Consumer Affairs initiated anti-dumping investigation of these products imported from India in December, 1980. They imposed anti-dumping duty on this item in August, 1982. The Australian Customs Representative visited India in February, 1984 to re-assess the normal values. The Australian Government have now agreed to give rebate for the refund of some of the indirect taxes and have reduced the normal value.	1982-83 Aus\$134,000 1983-84 Aus\$ 4,165	As a consequence of the imposition of anti-dumping duty, exports of these items from India to Australia have fallen from Aus\$317,000 in 1981-82 to Aus\$4165 in 1983-84.	
8.	Anti-dumping duty on 12 Hydroxy Stearic Acid	Canada	1.2 Hydroxy Stearic Acid	-	Canada initiated anti-dumping investigation on this product in October, 1981. They made a preliminary determination that the goods were being dumped and imposed provisional anti-dumping duty on 22 February, 1982. As the Government of India took up the matter with the Canadian Government that the procedures laid down in the margin of Anti-dumping Code had not been followed, the Canadian investigators visited India again in July, 1982 and re-assessed the normal value. The anti-dumping duty was considerably reduced in the final determination made in November, 1982.	1979-80 (July-June) Rs.3700000 1980-81 Provisional (July-June) duty will be Rs.2200000 charged in an amount not greater than the margin of dumping.	Normal value has been determined. 1980-81 Provisional (July-June)	

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	
9. Global Quota	Canada	Leather footwear	CCCN 64.02	The Canadian Government imposed global quotas for import of leather footwear into Canada on 9.7.1982 upto 30.11.1984. With effect from 31 December 1982 only lower priced sandals, shoes and boots have been subjected to a global quota. In December, 1984, the Government of Canada decided to continue the quota upto 30.11.1985. Currently all sandals and leather shoes valued at less than Canadian \$ 40 and leather boots valued at less than Canadian \$ 62 are subject to a global quota.	1980- US\$ 2.85 million (approx.)	1981-82 US\$ 0.73 million (approx.)	The Government of Canada had first imposed global quota on import of leather footwear under Article XIX of the GATT. Later they excluded high valued footwear from the global quota. Article XIX does not permit discrimination between low-cost and other suppliers. This is a derogation from the GATT and has been taken in a sector where developing countries have comparative advantage.	1980- US\$ 2.85 million (approx.)	The Government of Canada had first imposed global quota on import of leather footwear under Article XIX of the GATT. Later they excluded high valued footwear from the global quota. Article XIX does not permit discrimination between low-cost and other suppliers. This is a derogation from the GATT and has been taken in a sector where developing countries have comparative advantage.