Rural Poverty and Gender inequality: cross-cutting challenges

Ahmad Mukhtar
Economist (Trade and Food Security)
FAO Liaison office Geneva
Questions covered…..

Distortions in international agriculture markets affect extreme poor to benefit fully from trade- and what risks are present stemming from this?

How do gender inequalities limit the capacity of women to benefit from trade opportunities?

How can these be overcome and how can trade have a greater impact on poverty reduction by creating economic opportunities for women.
“Trade liberalization is like opening a window…”
(Eugenio Diaz-Bonilla)

The outcome will depend on:

- The “weather” outside
- The degree of openness
- The conditions inside
Agriculture Trade

- Standards
- Subsidies
- Supply Chains
- Climate risks
- Price volatility
- WTO
- RTAs, FTAs
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Trade Policy: Enabling Agriculture Trade

Global
- Multilateral/ WTO disciplines
- Regional/Bilateral Agreements
- International Trade flows

National
- Integration in GVCs
- Rural Economic Integration
- Women economic participation

Operational
- **Enabling**
  - Competition Policy
  - Fiscal and Monetary Policies
  - Social policies
  - Standards, Regulatory compliance
Trade: Opportunities and risks

Provide access to larger and more remunerative markets for exporters.

Lower food prices to domestic consumers through imports and associated competitive pressure for innovation by domestic producers in import competing sectors.

The distribution of the benefits from participation in trade has been very uneven.

The capacity of the countries to participate effectively in trade varies greatly.
Internal constraints to effective participation of developing countries in the global trading system

- Weak ability to undertake assessments of the implications of trade policy changes
- Weak capacity to analyze developments in international markets
- Insufficient human capital specialized in trade rules
- Inadequate legal and regulatory institutional frameworks
- Trade is not adequately mainstreamed into development strategies
- Insufficient buy-in from the private sector and civil society
- Lacking intra-governmental coordination
- Insufficient buy-in from the private sector and civil society
Trade policy effects

Changes in trade policy affect the relative prices of goods and factors

Hence, both food production and consumption are affected

Different impacts on different segments and population groups

For example

- An export ban boosts domestic supplies and reduces prices in the short-run. Good for consumers and domestic food processors, bad for agricultural producers that export.
- Trade liberalization is likely to reduce food prices to consumers, but some farmers may be affected by declining incomes.
Trade: Support to agriculture and agricultural development

- Need to look beyond short-term policy consequences.
- Longer-term food security requires policies that promote *sustained agricultural productivity increases*.
- Consideration to the level of economic structural transformation and the role of agriculture in the economy.

### STAGES OF AGRICULTURAL TRANSFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status of Agriculture</th>
<th>Earlier</th>
<th>Later</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Largely non-commercial production</td>
<td>• Largely non-commercial production</td>
<td>• Commercialized production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fragmented input and output markets</td>
<td>• Fragmented input and output markets</td>
<td>• Functional input and output markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limited risk management instruments</td>
<td>• Limited risk management instruments</td>
<td>• Adequate risk management instruments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Government Action

#### Domestic Support Policy

- Government incentives have a critical role providing access to finance and input and output markets at low cost and low risk, to allow farmers to generate a surplus.

#### Trade Policy

- For a defined period of interventions to promote productivity-enhancing private investment, trade policy can help reduce production risks and provide the stability needed for producers to react positively to the incentives.

#### Phase 1: Investments establishing the basics

- For a defined period of interventions to promote productivity-enhancing private investment, trade policy can help reduce production risks and provide the stability needed for producers to react positively to the incentives.

#### Phase 2: Subsidies kick-starting markets

- The use of interventionist approaches can become increasingly detrimental; instead, it is important for governments to withdraw from market activities and allow the private sector to take over.

#### Phase 3: Withdrawal

- In more mature economies, where input, credit and output markets function more efficiently, it may be appropriate to liberalize agricultural trade policy to release further agriculture growth potential.
Linkages between trade and food security

The links between trade and food security are inherently complex, with several channels of interaction affecting the different dimensions of food security simultaneously.

- **Immediate effects on**: food production, total supply, prices, employment and government revenues
- **In the longer run, effects on**: competition, marketing, infrastructure, value chain development, investments.
## Impacts of trade on food security and nutrition

Trade can have both positive and negative effects on each of the four dimensions of food security. The short and long-term impacts potentially working in different directions can make it difficult to determine a generalizable relationship.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Short term</th>
<th>Medium to Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trade boosts imports and increases the quantity and variety of food available</td>
<td>• Food production may increase due to greater specialization, and productivity improvements may be triggered by greater competition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade may decrease the domestic availability of crops in net exporting countries</td>
<td>• In net food-exporting countries, domestic availability of staples may decline, as production is diverted toward exports; in net food importing countries, some producers are likely to curtail production, forgoing the multiplier effects of agricultural activities in rural areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Short term</th>
<th>Medium to Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food and input prices are likely to decrease for net food importing countries</td>
<td>• Incomes would rise in competitive sectors, due to greater market access, and growth and employment would be supported by export growth and inflow of FDI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic prices of exportable products may increase for net food-exporting countries</td>
<td>• Incomes may decline in import-competing sectors, with some producers transitioning out of agriculture. Also, unequal distribution of gains may occur due to enclave developments in export crops to the detriment of broad-based smallholder food crop production</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Impacts of trade on food security and nutrition

Trade can have both positive and negative effects on each of the four dimensions of food security. The short and long-term impacts potentially working in different directions can make it difficult to determine a generalizable relationship.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utilization</th>
<th>Short term</th>
<th>Medium to Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greater variety of food</strong> available may promote a more balanced diet</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Food safety and quality may improve</strong> if international standards are applied more rigorously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There may be greater consumption of food that is cheaper, high in calories and low in nutritional value</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Prioritization of commodity exports may divert land and resources from traditional and indigenous foods</strong>, which are often superior from a nutritional perspective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Imports mitigate likelihood of shortages</strong> resulting from local production risks</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Global markets are less prone to policy- or weather-induced shocks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countries may be more vulnerable to changes in trade policy by exporters, such as export bans</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Sectors at earlier stages of development may become more susceptible to price shocks and import surges</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Possible positive effects

### Possible negative effects
As food prices increased dramatically in 2006-08 and 2011-2012, there was a greater focus on domestic markets in pursuit of national Food Security objectives.

1. High food prices and volatile global markets
2. Negative implications for purchasing power of the poor, increasing food import bill
3. Concerns for food security
4. Policy responses, in particular trade policy (typically export restrictions and lowering import barriers)
5. Also greater focus on fostering domestic production
6. International agenda: G-20, WTO negotiations on agriculture…
Typical measures for stabilizing domestic agriculture markets

- **Trade policy**
  - Export restrictions
  - Reduction of import duties

- **Support to agriculture**
  - Subsidies (input, output), state purchases
  - Access to credit
  - Technical assistance

- **Support to consumers**
  - Social protection, targeted transfers
  - Food aid and school feeding
  - Subsidized prices on basic foods
To conclude…

- Improve opportunities for the rural poor to access decent farm and non-farm employment
- Improve social protection systems
- Strengthen synergies between social protection measures and food security, improved nutrition, agricultural productivity growth, empowerment of rural women
- Support development of national policies and programmes
- Strengthen social protection programmes
- Empower the rural poor gaining sustainable access to resources and services
FINANCIAL RESOURCES
Women are granted fewer and smaller loans than men.
Women in forestry, fishing and agriculture receive just 7% of total agriculture investment.

PRODUCTIVE RESOURCES
Women make up 43% of the agriculture workforce in developing countries.
If women had equal access to productive resources as men, they could increase yields on their farms by as much as 20% - 30%.

ACCESS TO DECISION-MAKING FORAS
Women hold 14% of management positions in the agricultural sector.
At the UN Climate Change Summits between 2000-2010, only 30% of registered country delegations were women.

KNOWLEDGE AND TECHNOLOGY
2/3 of the world's illiterate adults are women.
One study showed that women's education contributes to 43% reduction in child malnutrition.

LAND & WATER
In developing countries 10% - 20% of all land holders are women.
Farms managed by female-headed households are between half to 2/3 the size of farms run by male-headed households.

SERVICES & MARKET
Agriculture extension services are accessible to only 5% of women that make up the agricultural workforce in developing countries.
Energy services in West Africa have:
- reduced women's daily work by 2-4 hours
- increased women's incomes
- improved education and school enrollment

EQUAL ACCESS TO RESOURCES AND POWER
for

FOOD SECURITY in the face of CLIMATE CHANGE
AVAILABILITY | STABILITY | UTILIZATION | ACCESS

www.fao.org/climatechange/micca/gender
www.fao.org/gender
To conclude

Target women’s multiple trade-offs in the allocation of their time

Reduce gender inequalities in human capital

Capitalize on public works programmes

Strengthen women’s rights and voice through institutional change

Ensure that women are empowered and trained to exercise their rights and participate

Use technology and innovative delivery channels: Women’s work burden is reduced by 20% through improved technologies, services and infrastructure

Water sources in villages can significantly reduce the time spent by women and girls fetching water, and water projects that meet multiple livelihood objectives and take gender issues properly into account are more likely to be sustainable.

Fuel-efficient stoves can reduce firewood requirements by 40–60 percent, in addition to reducing indoor pollution and the time required for cooking. Locally manufactured stoves can also provide income-earning opportunities for rural artisans. Woodlots, agroforestry and improved fallows can further reduce the time spent in collecting firewood by bringing the sources of firewood closer to the home.
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