

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

6.1 Based on the foregoing, we conclude that the determination of the DOC in the section 129 proceeding investigation is not inconsistent with the asserted provisions of Articles 2.4 and 2.4.2 of the *AD Agreement*.

6.2 We therefore consider that the United States has implemented the recommendations and rulings of the DSB in *US – Softwood Lumber V*, to bring its measure into conformity with its obligations under the *AD Agreement*.

6.3 Having found that the United States did not act inconsistently with its obligations under the asserted WTO Agreements, we consider that no recommendation under Article 19.1 of the *DSU* is necessary, and we make none.
