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Background

1. Low, middle and high income classifications linked to World Bank lending – eligibility for IDA.

2. 2015 fiscal year
   I. Low-income (34): GNI per capita (WB Atlas Method) ≤ $1,045 in 2013;
   II. Middle-income economies (105): $1,045 > GNI per capita < $12,746;
      (Lower-middle-income and upper-middle-income economies are separated at a GNI per capita of $4,125)
   III. High-income economies (75): GNI per capita ≥ $12,746.

3. 77 IDA-eligible countries; 59 IDA-only; and 18 blend countries. In addition, India is receiving transitional support.
Country Income Classifications and Health

1. Since the financial crisis (2008), increasing demands from external financial partners in health for countries to become "self-sufficient" and prove "value for money" or "results".
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Country Income Classifications and Health

1. Since the financial crisis (2008), increasing demands from external financial partners in health for countries to become "self-sufficient" and prove "value for money" or "results".

2. Income per capita is featuring heavily in the idea that countries should raise more funds domestically and be "weaned" off external support.

3. GAVI eligibility (53): GNI per capita ≤ $1570

4. Also requires co-funding of $0.20 per dose, rising linearly to full cost over time.

5. Global fund: based on income classifications, with modifications. Includes a 15% additional payment on evidence of "willingness to pay".
### Income Classifications and Global Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>G-20 Membership</th>
<th>Disease Burden</th>
<th>Focus of Application</th>
<th>Counterpart Financing*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Income Countries</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-LMI Countries</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>50% focus on specific populations/interventions</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper-LMI Countries</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td>No restriction</td>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper-Middle Income Countries</td>
<td>Not member</td>
<td>Extreme, Severe or High**</td>
<td>100% focus on specific populations/interventions</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UMICs with low/moderate DB, G-20 UMI with less than extreme DB, and High Income Countries are ineligible

---

* Minimum threshold: this is the minimum government contribution to the national disease program, as a share of the total of the government and Global Fund financing for that disease.

** Small Island Economies are eligible if they have a low or moderate disease burden.


[World Health Organization](http://www.who.int)
Capacity to Pay for Health and Income Classifications
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Total health expenditure (minus external resources) per capita vs. GNI per capita
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GNI per capita – WB, World Development Indicators
What would happen if donors withdrew aid?
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### Trends: Government Commitment to Health (un-weighted average)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFR</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMR</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEAR</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPR</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: WHO, Global Health Expenditure Database, [www.who.int/nha](http://www.who.int/nha)
Share of Government Expenditure in GNI

Source: World Bank World Development Indicators
Under-five mortality rate vs. GNI per capita, 2013
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GNI – WB World Development Indicators
Least Developed Countries

A country is classified as a Least Developed Country if it meets three criteria (48 in 2014):

• **Poverty** (adjustable criterion: three-year average GNI per capita of less than US $992, which must exceed $1,190 to leave the list as of 2012)

• **Human resource** weakness (based on indicators of nutrition, health, education and adult literacy) and

• Economic **vulnerability** (based on instability of agricultural production, instability of exports of goods and services, economic importance of non-traditional activities, merchandise export concentration, handicap of economic smallness, and the percentage of population displaced by natural disasters)
Conclusion

• Becoming middle income does not necessarily mean greater spending on health or capacity to spend

• A number of countries would find it impossible to replace instantaneously the current external funding they receive for health if all donors decide to use the WB classifications for providing funding for health

• A continuous index perhaps broader than the HDI to allow a slow phase out of external assistance would be a lot more preferable to ensure affordability of health and health products